



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

Journal homepage: <http://www.journalijar.com>  
Journal DOI: [10.21474/IJAR01](https://doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01)

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL  
OF ADVANCED RESEARCH

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

## -ASPARAGINASE ACTIVITY OF SOME EARTH-WORM BORNE BACTERIA

B. Vijayapal Reddy, S.Syam Prasad, Darcusjoy and S.M. Reddy

Department of Botany, Kakatiya University, Warangal.

**Manuscript Info****Manuscript History:**

Received: 14 April 2016  
Final Accepted: 19 May 2016  
Published Online: June 2016

**Key words:**

L-L-asparaginase, Earth worm,  
Carbon source, Nitrogen source,  
*Micrococcus*

**\*Corresponding Author**

B. Vijayapal Reddy.

**Abstract**

Asparaginase activity of some earthworm-borne bacteria and actinomycetes was assayed. Though all the bacteria under study secreted asparaginase, the degree of production of asparaginase varied with the organism. *Micrococcus roseus* and *Rhodococcus sp.* secreted maximum L-asparaginase. Where as *Flavimonas orhyzihabitans*, *Xanthomonas maltophilia* was found to be poor in the secret of L-asparaginase. *M. roseus*, *Rhodococcus sp.*, *B.mycoides* and *B.macerans* opted for D.mannose and mannitol; lactose and sorbose; maltose and starch; galactose respectively nitrogen source differed among the bacteria under study.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2016., All rights reserved.

**Introduction:-**

In recent times L-asparaginase has gained importance in view of its therapeutic value as an antitumor agent (Hill et al., 1967). Hypocholesterolemi and hepateclipidotic effect of L-asparaginase activity forced continued search for alternative sources of this enzyme (Raj Kumari and Reddy, 1989; Elizabeth et al., 1991) and bacteria (Krishna Reddy and Reddy, 1987a, Krishna Reddy and Reddy, 1987b,) are reported to secrete L-asparaginase. Scheetz et al. (1971) and Imeda et al. (1973) have reported antineoplastic and immunological specificity of L-asparaginase studied by them. L-asparaginase from E.coli is now commercially available and is being used for clinical trials. However, no such studies were undertaken on the production of L-asparaginase by earthworm – borne microorganisms. Hence, it was considered worthwhile to study the production of L-asparaginase by some earthworm-borne bacteria. Influence of carbon and nitrogen sources in the nutrient medium was also investigated.

**Materials and methods:-**

Secretion of L-asparaginase by some earthworm-borne bacteria (as listed in table-1) was assessed by growing these bacteria in nutrient broth 24,48,72 and 96 hr at 30<sup>0</sup> C for 4 days. The biomass attained by bacteria by the end of incubation period was assessed by turbidity of culture at 660 nm. The culture suspension was taken in vial and turbidity of the culture was determined at 660 nm and the biomass is expressed in optical density. The culture broth was centrifuged at X 3000 for 30 min. the clear supernatant was dialysed against distilled water over night and served as an enzyme.

The activity of L-asparaginase was assayed as suggested by Peterson and Ciegter (1969). The reaction mixture consisting of 0.2 ml enzyme, 0.9 ml, 0.1 ml sodium borate buffer (pH 8.5) and 7 ml 0.04 L-asparagine was incubated at 37<sup>0</sup>C for 20 min. The enzyme action was stopped by the addition of 0.5 ml of trichloroacetic acid (1%). The enzyme activity is expressed in internal units. The experiment was repeated thrice as the difference among replicates was marginal, average of three was taken.

## Results and Discussion:-

Table-1 reveals that all the bacteria under study secreted L-asparaginase which is increased with the progress of incubation period. However, the present bacteria differed significantly in the degree of production of L-asparaginase. *Rhodococcus* sp. and *M. roseus* secreted maximum L-asparaginase, while *M. maltophilia* and *M. kristinae* were poor producers of L-asparaginase. *Flavimonas oryzihabitans* failed to secrete L-asparaginase upto 48 hrs of incubation. The enzyme activity was meager, but produced maximum enzyme at 72 hours of incubation. Similarly *B. brevis* produced maximum L-asparaginase by 48 hours of incubation period. Rest of the bacteria secreted intermediate amount of L-asparaginase. *B. brevis*, *E. coli*, *M. lutea*, *P. vulgaris*, *P. aeruginosa* and *F. oryzihabitans* recorded a gradual increase in the growth till the end of 4 days incubation period. On the other hand *M. kristinae* reached maximum cell biomass by 72 hours of incubation. PH drift in most of the media growing bacteria was mostly towards alkaline side.

Carbon and nitrogen sources present in the medium had significant influence on the production L-asparaginase by the bacteria under study (table- 2). Mannitol and maltose, lactose and L-sorbose induced maximum L-L-asparaginase in *M. roseus* and *Rhodococcus* sp. Respectively. On the other hand, *B. mycoides* preferred maltose and starch for production of L-asparaginase. *B. macerans* secreted comparatively more enzyme in medium containing D-galactose and mannitol. *Rhodococcus* sp. produced minimum amount of L-asparaginase in medium containing D-glucose, maltose, dextrin and succinic acid. Lactose and L-sorbose and D-mannose and succinic acid were poor sources for the production of L-asparaginase by *B. mycoides* and *B. macerans* respectively. succinic acid, citric acid and tartaric acid were responsible for inhibition of L-asparaginase production by *M. roseus*, and *B. mycoides*, while *B. macerans* was inhibited for growth and enzyme production

Ammonium sulphate, ammonium molybdate and L-histidine were good sources of nitrogen for production of L-asparaginase by the bacteria under study. On the other hand, ammonium molybdate was the good source of nitrogen for *M. roseus*. *M. roseus* secreted least amount of L-asparaginase in medium containing  $\beta$ -alanine, L-histidine and p-aminobenzoic acid, while *Rhodococcus* sp. secreted least amount of L-asparaginase in the presence of potassium nitrate, sodium nitrate and L-histidine. *B. mycoides* also responded poorly towards potassium nitrate, barium nitrate, L-aspartic acid and p-aminobenzoic acid. In general ammonia salts were most favoured nitrogen sources for L-asparaginase production. *M. roseus* preferred DL-tryptophan, L-tyrosine and barium nitrate for its growth, while *Rhodococcus* sp. achieved good biomass in the medium containing ammonium sulphate, L-tyrosine and ammonium molybdate. On the other hand, *B. macerans* preferred urea, glycine, DL-methionine, L-tyrosine and L-histidine for its growth. No positive correlation could be observed between growth and L-asparaginase production. Krishna Reddy and Reddy (1990) have also reported the significant influence of nutrients present in the medium on the production of L-asparaginase by bacteria studied by them.

From the present investigation it can be concluded that *Micrococcus roseus* can be exploited for large scale production of this enzyme.

Thanks are due to Head, Department of Botany, Kakatiya University for kind encouragement.

Table 1: L-asparaginase production by some earthworm-borne bacteria

Name of the bacterium	Growth (O.D)				pH				L-asparaginase * (IU)			
	24 hrs	48 hrs	72 hrs	96 hrs	24 hrs	48 hrs	72 hrs	96 hrs	24 hrs	48 hrs	72 hrs	96 hrs
<u>Bacillus anthracis</u>	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	6.6	6.8	6.8	7.5	53.6	62.7	63.5	84.7
<u>Bacillus brevis</u>	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	6.5	7.1	7.4	7.5	18.6	95.7	89.8	85.6
<u>Bacillus macerans</u>	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.4	6.6	7.2	6.8	7.5	46.6	31.3	42.4	84.7
<u>Bacillus mycoides</u>	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.3	7.2	6.8	6.5	7.2	29.6	52.5	67.8	63.5
<u>Escherichia coli</u>	0.4	0.7	0.7	1.0	6.5	7.0	7.4	7.5	59.3	25.5	23.7	41.5
<u>Flavimonas oryzihabitans</u>	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.5	6.8	7.0	7.0	7.5	-	-	16.9	10.2
<u>Micrococcus kristinae</u>	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	6.5	6.8	7.0	7.2	16.9	33.9	27.1	29.6
<u>Micrococcus lutea</u>	0.2	0.5	0.6	0.7	6.5	6.8	7.0	7.2	25.5	39.8	63.5	53.6
<u>Micrococcus roseus</u>	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.3	6.4	7.1	6.8	7.4	17	38.1	63.5	149.1
<u>Proteus vulgaris</u>	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.8	6.5	7.0	7.4	7.5	25.5	63.5	89.8	75.7
<u>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</u>	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.9	6.8	7.0	7.4	7.4	25.5	53.6	59.3	63.5
<u>Rhodococcus sp.</u>	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.4	6.6	7.0	7.2	7.5	21.2	42.4	59.3	149.1
<u>Xanthomonas maltophilia</u>	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	5.5	6.0	7.0	7.2	17	25.5	18.6	25.5

\* IU = International Units - one international unit of L-asparaginase is that amount of enzyme which liberate 1  $\mu$  mole of ammonia

Table2: Effect of carbon and nitrogen sources on the production of L-asparaginase by some eartworm borne bacteria

Carbon Source	Name of the Bacterium											
	M.roseus			Rhodococcus sp.			B.mycooides			B.macerans		
	Growth (O.D)	PH	Asparaginase *(I.U)	Growth (O.D)	PH	Asparaginase *(I.U)	Growth (O.D)	PH	Asparaginase *(I.U)	Growth (O.D)	PH	Asparaginase *(I.U)
D-Glucose	0.2	6.8	21.2	0.1	7.2	8.5	0.3	7.0	16.9	0.3	7.4	16.9
Sucrose	0.1	6.8	31.3	0.1	7.3	14.4	0.4	7.0	18.9	0.4	7.3	12.7
D-Fructose	0.2	6.5	29.7	0.2	7.1	10.2	0.4	7.4	27.1	0.3	7.4	14.4
Lactose	0.2	7.0	29.6	0.2	7.4	29.6	1	6.8	12.7	0.3	7.5	14.4
Maltose	0.1	6.5	38.1	0.2	7.2	8.9	0.3	7.5	31.3	0.3	7.5	12.7
D-Mannose	0.2	7.0	31.3	0.2	7.4	12.7	0.2	7.5	23.7	0.2	7.5	8.9
Xylose	0.5	7.4	27.1	0.2	7.5	18.6	0.3	7.5	25.4	0.6	7.5	29.6
D-Galactose	0.4	6.8	33.9	0.2	7.5	22.9	0.3	7.0	16.9	0.7	7.5	31.3
L-Sorbose	0.2	7.0	12.7	0.3	7.5	29.6	0.2	6.8	14.4	0.5	7.5	13.1
Starch	0.2	7.2	31.3	0.3	7.5	27.1	0.3	7.0	31.3	0.6	7.5	21.2
Dextrin	0.1	6.8	36.4	0.1	7.1	8.5	0.3	7.5	21.2	0.6	7.4	27.1
Mannitol	0.1	7.1	38.9	0.1	7.2	16.9	0.5	7.5	29.6	0.7	7.5	31.3
Succinic acid		5.0		0.1	7.1	5.9	0.1	5.5		0.6	7.0	6.8
Citric acid	0.1	5.5		0.1	7	10.2		5.0		0.1	6.5	
Tartaric acid		5.0		0.1	5.5			5.0		0.1	5.5	
Control	0.3	7.5	40.7	0.1	7.4	8.5	0.3	7.6	50.8	0.3	7.5	8.5
<u>Nitrogen Source</u>												
DI-Tryptophan	1.5	8.0	21.2	1.0	7.5	21.2	0.1	7.5	25.4	0.8	7.6	18.6
Potassium nitrate	0.9	7.6	29.6	0.1	6.5	18.6	0.1	5.5	16.9	0.1	6.8	16.9
Sodium nitrate	0.8	7.5	38.1	1.2	8	16.9	0.1	5.5	52.5	0.3	6.0	14.4
Ammonium nitrate	0.8	7.6	21.2	1.0	7.4	131.3	0.3	5.5	105.9	0.3	5.0	149.1
Ammonium sulphate	0.6	7.0	127.1	1.0	7.4	211.8	1.0	7.6	144	0.9	7.2	211.8
Ammonium mylobdate	0.8	5.5	144.8	0.8	5.5	127.1	1.0	5.5	169.4	0.9	5.4	211.8
Urea	0.7	7.6	131.3	1.2	7.6	31.3	0.1	7.5	63.5	1.0	7.6	50.8
Glycine	0.6	7.5	59.3	0.1	6.5	29.6	0.5	7.5	84.7	1.0	7.0	94.9
L-Argine	0.8	7.6	33.9	0.9	7.6	35.6	0.4	7.5	127.1	1.0	7.6	127.1
Methionine	0.8	7.5	36.4	0.6	7.6	22.9	0.3	6.0	36.4	1.0	8.0	115.2
L-Aspartic acid	0.1	5.0	21.2	0.1	5.0	40.7	0.2	6.0	18.6	0.1	5.0	21.7
B-Alanine	0.8	7.6	12.7	0.8	7.6	16.9	0.5	7.5	74.5	1.0	7.6	55.1
L-Tyrosine	1.5	7.5	67.8	1.5	7.6	74.5	1.5	7.0	80.5	1.5	7.6	97.4
L-Histidine	1.0	7.6	16.9	1.2	7.4	12.7	0.3	7.4	123.7	1.0	7.6	211.8
Barium nitrate	1.4	7.5	84.7	0.6	7.2	50.8	0.2	6.3	16.9	0.5	5.5	33.9
P-Amino benzoic acid	0.1	5.5	18.6	0.3	5.0	33.9	0.1	5.5	18.6	0.3	5.0	16.9
Control	1.2	8.0	61.8	1.4	8.4	8.5	0.5	7.5	63.5	0.1	6.5	18.6

\*IU - Internatinal units ; Oneinternation unit of L-asparaginase is that amount of enzyme which liberates 1  $\mu$  mole of ammonia.

**References:-**

1. Hill et.al., 1968. New procedures for purification of L-asparaginase with yield from Escherichia coli J.Bacterial. 95(6): 2117-2123
2. Raj Kumari and Reddy 1989., Asparaginase production by some species of penicillium. Nat.acad. sci. letters 12: 267-269
3. Elizabeth et.al., 1991 purification and characterization of an L-asparaginase from Fusarium solani. Indian .J. microbial Ecol. 2:61-70.
4. Krishna Reddy and Reddy; 1990. Effect of C and W sources on asparaginase production by bacteria. Indian J. microbial 30:81-83
5. Krishna Reddy and Reddy ; 1987. Synthesis of IAA by seed-borne fungi of maize (zeamays L.). Nat. Acad. Sci. letters 10: 267-269.
6. Scheetz et.al. 1971. Purification and properties of an L-asparaginase from Fusarium trinectum arch. Biochem biophys. 142 : 184-189
7. Imeda et.al 1973 Asparaginase and glutaminase activities of microorganisms Journ. Gen.microbiol. 76:85-99.