



Journal Homepage: - [www.journalijar.com](http://www.journalijar.com)  
**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF  
 ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)**

Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/2034  
 DOI URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/2034>



### RESEARCH ARTICLE

#### ROLE OF HIGH DENSITY PLANTING AND FERTIGATION ON GROWTH, YIELD AND QUALITY OF BANANA (*MUSA ACUMINATA* L.) CV. GRAND NAINÉ OF RATOON CROP.

\*M. Hanuman Naik<sup>1</sup>, K. Vanajalatha<sup>2</sup>, P. Prasanth<sup>3</sup>, A. Kiran Kumar<sup>4</sup> and M. Mutyala Naidu<sup>5</sup>.

1. Scientist (Hort) Horticultural Research Station, Aswaraopet, khammam.
2. Professor (Horti.) and Dean of Student Affairs, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana State Horticultural University, Hyderabad.
3. Assistant Professor (Hort), College of Horticulture, Mojerla, Mahabubnagar.
4. Senior Scientist(H), H.R.S., Sangareddy, SKLTSU.
5. Senior Scientist (H) & Head, H.R.S., Darsi, Dr.Y.S.R.Horticultural University.

#### Manuscript Info

##### Manuscript History

Received: 27 September 2016  
 Final Accepted: 12 October 2016  
 Published: October 2016

##### Key words:-

Banana, Ratoon crop, Growth, Fertigation, Planting density, Yield Fruit quality.

#### Abstract

The growth parameters of ratoon crop significantly were influenced by plant densities and fertigation levels. The highest pseudostem height (211.88 & 222.30 cm) at shooting stage was recorded in F<sub>1</sub> (100 % RDF) and S<sub>2</sub> (2 x 1.25 x 1.25 m) compared to other treatments. The highest pseudostem girth (66.22 and 65.29 cm), number of suckers per plant (11.32 and 12.07), total number of leaves per plant (31.52 and 31.21), green leaves per plant (13.05 and 13.53) and leaf area (8.80 and 9.42 cm<sup>2</sup>) were registered in F<sub>1</sub> (100 per cent RDF) and S<sub>1</sub> (1.8 x 1.8 m) compared to other treatments at shooting stage of ratoon crop. The least (181.79 days) number of days taken for shooting was recorded in F<sub>1</sub>, but, plant densities did not differ significantly. The least (102.84 and 101.73) number of days taken from shooting to harvesting was registered in F<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>1</sub>. The crop duration was lowest (284.63 and 291.56 days) in F<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>1</sub>. However, the lower levels of fertigation and high density planting (4414 pl/ha) recorded longest crop duration. The highest bunch weight (27.49 and 26.50 kg) was recorded in F<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>1</sub> compared to high density planting and lower fertigation doses and the highest yield (81.64 and 89.74 t/ha) was recorded in F<sub>1</sub> (100 per cent RDF) and S<sub>2</sub> (2.0x2.5x1.25 m spacing). However, highest (6.55 & 6.50 %) reducing sugars and (11.89 & 12.24 %) total sugars was recorded in F<sub>1</sub> and S<sub>1</sub>. The fertigation treatments did not influence TSS, titrable acidity (TA) and non-reducing sugars but in planting densities, highest TA (0.58 %) and non-reducing sugar (5.44 %) was noticed in S<sub>1</sub>. The shelf life of fruits was found significantly influenced by plant densities. In the investigation the longest shelf life (9.43 days) was recorded in S<sub>1</sub>.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2016., All rights reserved.

**Corresponding Author:- M. Hanuman Naik.**

Address:- Scientist (Hort) Horticultural Research Station, Aswaraopet, khammam.

## Introduction:-

Banana an important staple starch fruit for many topical countries, is a number of Musaceae. Banana is the most widely consumed food in the world and is considered as very important fruit crop in the country. In the world scenario, India is the largest producer of bananas accounting to 27.8 per cent of the world production. (FAO, 2015).

Increase in productivity of banana in India is essential to meet the growing demands of ever increasing population. Hence, innovative methods of production that can obtain higher sustainable yields to feed increasing population is the need of the hour. High density planting (HDP) is one of the recent and novel concepts of increasing productivity without affecting the quality of fruits. This system of planting (HDP) has been successfully implemented in fruit crops such as mango (Santhram, 1999), citrus (Goswami *et al.*, 1993) and banana (Sathiamoorthy and Mustafa, 2001), since it results in the optimum utilisation of natural resources.

Moreover, fertigation has vast potential in improving nutrient use efficiency, saving labour towards weeding, energy in application, reducing the cost of production, reducing the environmental pollution and helps in maintaining the soil health besides to meet the specific nutritional requirements of the crop. (Holder and Gumbs, 1983). Commercial banana plantations are now being irrigated through drip in India largely due to dwindling water resources and reducing water table. The drip fertigation can enhance the fertilizer use efficiency by substantial saving of fertilizers up to 30 per cent (Guerra *et al.*, 2004) and thus results in significant increase in total mass of plant, improved phenological characteristics and bunch characteristics, higher fruit yield and quality of banana over conventional fertilizers application.

In this context, as there is dearth of information regarding optimal schedule of fertigation, and effects of high density planting under different agro climatic zones using cultivar Grand Naine as ratoon crop, which emphasises the need of research on Grand Naine with fertigation and high density planting, to provide appropriate information pertaining to schedule of fertigation and planting density to commercial growers for improving qualitative and quantitative yields, the present study was under taken to standardize fertigation and planting densities for banana cultivar Grand Naine under tropical field conditions of Telangana State for maximizing the quality and yield of ratoon crop.

## Material And Methods:-

The present investigation was conducted during 2013-15 at Horticultural Research Station, Aswaraopet, Khammam Dist. The study was carried out by planting tissue culture banana plant at three spacing levels *viz.*, S<sub>1</sub>-under 1.8x1.8 m (3086 pl/ha), S<sub>2</sub>-2.0x1.25x1.25 m (4414 pl/ha), S<sub>3</sub>- 2.5x1.25x1.25 m (3657 pl/ha) and three fertigation levels *viz.*, F<sub>1</sub>-100 per cent, 75 per cent and 50 per cent (Recommended Dose Fertilizer). The soil of the experimental site is sandy clay loam (deep red to brown soils) and is endowed with good drainage. Field experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications, and nine treatments in each block and they were allotted randomly. For fertigation, straight fertilizers like urea and muriate of potash (granular form) were used whereas phosphorus was applied as basal @ 50 g/plant in the form of single super phosphate for all the treatments.

### Fertigation levels:-

F<sub>1</sub>- 100 % N and K – 300 g N and 300 g K<sub>2</sub>O plant<sup>-1</sup> (652 g urea and 500 g MOP per plant).

F<sub>2</sub> – 75 % N and K – 225 g N and 225 g K<sub>2</sub>O plant<sup>-1</sup> (489 g urea and 375 g MOP per plant).

F<sub>3</sub> – 50 % N and K – 150 g N and 150 g K<sub>2</sub>O plant<sup>-1</sup> (326 g urea and 250 g MOP per plant).

### Details of split application for ratoon crop:-

F<sub>1</sub> – The total quantity of 652.0 g urea and 500 g MOP per plant were applied in 20 equal splits @ 32.6 g urea and 25.0 g MOP (each split) at weekly intervals.

F<sub>2</sub> – The total quantity of 489.0 g urea and 375.0 g MOP per plant were applied in 20 equal splits @ 25.0 g urea and 19.0 g MOP (each split) at weekly intervals.

F<sub>3</sub> – The total quantity of 326.0 g urea and 250.0 g MOP per plant were applied in 20 equal splits @ 16.0 g urea and 12.5 g MOP (each split) at weekly intervals.

In the experiment of ratoon crop, five plants in the each plot were selected to record growth parameters

Growth Parameters like pseudostem height (cm), pseudostem girth, number of suckers, total number of leaves, green leaves at shooting, green leaves at harvest were recorded at 3<sup>rd</sup> month, 5<sup>th</sup> month, 7<sup>th</sup> month after planting and at shooting stage from the base of the stem to the axil of the youngest leaf and expressed in centimeters. Flowering characters such as number of days taken for shooting, number of days taken from shooting to harvest, Fruit characters such as bunch weight (kg), number of hands, number of fingers in second hand (from stalk end region) fruit length, fruit girth (cm), yield (t/ha) and fruit quality parameters such as TSS, total sugar content, reducing sugar content and titrable acidity ascorbic acid and shelf life were recorded at edible ripe stage.

## Results And Discussion:-

### GROWTH PARAMETERS

The data pertaining to growth parameters at shooting stage of banana cv. Grand Naine as influenced by plant density and fertigation are presented in table – 1.

#### Pseudostem Height (cm):-

At shooting stage, the highest pseudostem height (211.88) was recorded with F<sub>1</sub> significantly followed by F<sub>2</sub> (206.42) and F<sub>3</sub> (202.44). In plant densities, the highest pseudostem height (222.30) was noticed in S<sub>2</sub>, which was significantly superior over S<sub>3</sub> (205.07) and S<sub>1</sub> (193.36). The interaction of plant densities and fertigation was found significant. The highest pseudostem height (198.42) was recorded in S<sub>1</sub>x F<sub>1</sub>, and was on par with S<sub>1</sub>x F<sub>2</sub> (193.07), however it was in turn on par with S<sub>1</sub>x F<sub>3</sub> (188.58). In all remaining interaction effects higher dose of fertigation with all densities recorded highest pseudostem height followed by lower doses.

The pseudostem height was highest in S<sub>2</sub> high density planting (4414 pl/ha) and higher dose of fertigation (RDF 100%). As the density increases and fertigation levels decreases the plant height got reduced. An increase in pseudostem height with increase in plant population might be due to increased plant population per unit area, which provided less space for individual plant and in search of light perhaps the plant attained tall growth. Stover and Simmonds (1987) observed intensification of inter-plant competition when two or more followers were allowed to develop till maturity. Similar results were also recorded by Athani *et al.* (2009) and Sarwly *et al.* (2012).

#### Pseudostem Girth (cm):-

The highest pseudostem girth (65.29) was noticed in S<sub>1</sub>, which was on par with S<sub>3</sub> (62.50), however it did not differ significantly with S<sub>2</sub> (60.13). The interaction effect was however non significant. The girth was significantly influenced by plant densities and fertigation at shooting stage. The highest girth (66.22) was recorded in F<sub>1</sub> which was superior over to F<sub>3</sub> (58.21) but on par with F<sub>2</sub> (63.48). In interaction effects, S<sub>1</sub> with three fertigation levels was non significant. However, S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> with higher dose of fertigation were superior over lower doses.

The thicker pseudostem in lower density planting (S<sub>1</sub>) might be due to less competition for moisture, nutrient and sunshine. Height and girth of pseudostem are important attributes which decide the further reproductive traits. In general, the application of higher level of nutrients (F<sub>1</sub>) increased the plant height and girth. This was in confirmation with earlier reports that the increased application of nitrogen and potash increases the plant height and girth (Srinivas *et al.* 2001 and Ashok *et al.* 2009). Hence, lower density planting and higher fertigation levels might have contributed towards thicker pseudostem in the present study compared to high density system.

#### Number of Suckers per Plant:-

At shooting stage, the highest number of suckers (11.32) were noticed in F<sub>1</sub>, which was on par with F<sub>2</sub> (11.00), whereas, both the treatments were superior over F<sub>3</sub> (10.54). The plant densities significantly influenced the number of suckers per plant. The highest number (12.07) was recorded in S<sub>1</sub>, which was significantly followed by S<sub>3</sub> (10.89) and S<sub>2</sub> (9.90). The interaction between S<sub>2</sub> and three levels of fertigation was significant. The highest number of suckers (10.62) were registered in S<sub>2</sub>x F<sub>1</sub> which was significantly superior over S<sub>2</sub>x F<sub>2</sub> (9.94) and S<sub>2</sub>x F<sub>3</sub> (9.14). The treatment S<sub>1</sub>x F<sub>1</sub> (12.20) was on par with S<sub>1</sub>x F<sub>2</sub> (12.14), but superior over S<sub>1</sub>x F<sub>3</sub> (11.86). The interaction effect of S<sub>3</sub> with three fertigation levels was not significant. In the present study, the number of suckers per plant was more under higher fertigation levels and wider density planting system. In the lower density planting system the numbers

of suckers per plant were less. As the fertigation level decreases and density increases less number of suckers per plant was produced.

#### **Total number of Leaves per Plant:-**

At shooting stage, the highest number of leaves per plant (31.62) was recorded in  $F_1$  followed by  $F_2$  (31.11) and  $F_3$  (28.33). In plant densities, the highest (31.21) leaves per plant was recorded in  $S_1$  which was significantly superior over  $S_3$  (30.28) and  $S_2$  (29.57). The interaction of  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  densities with  $F_1$  recorded highest number of leaves per plant and with  $F_3$  resulted lowest number of leaves.

#### **Number of Green Leaves per Plant:-**

At shooting stage, the highest number of green leaves per plant (13.65) was recorded in  $F_1$  followed by  $F_2$  (12.96) and  $F_3$  (11.94). In plant densities,  $S_1$  produced highest number of green leaves per plant (13.53), which was superior over  $S_2$  (12.46) and  $S_3$  (12.56), but both the treatments were on par with each other. The interaction of  $S_1 \times F_1$  recorded highest number of green leaves (14.95) compared to  $S_1 \times F_2$  (13.02) and  $S_1 \times F_3$  (12.63). The number of green leaves per plant was significantly influenced by plant densities and fertigation levels. The number of green leaves gradually increased up to 5<sup>th</sup> months after ratooning, later decreased towards harvesting. At all the stages of crop growth, the highest number of green leaves per plant was recorded in higher fertigation ( $F_1$ ) dose and wider spacing ( $S_1$ ) and least in lower dose of fertigation and closer spacing ( $S_2$ ). The highest number of functional leaves in lower plant density may be due to less competition for soil moisture, nutrient and light intensity at shooting and harvesting stages as compared to closer spacing ( $S_2$ ) with higher plant population.

#### **Leaf Area (sq. m. plant<sup>-1</sup>):-**

During shooting stage, the highest leaf area (8.80) was recorded in  $F_1$ , which was significantly superior over  $F_2$  (8.41) and  $F_3$  (8.20). In plant densities  $S_1$  registered highest (9.42) leaf area, which was significantly followed by  $S_3$  (8.16) and with lowest value in  $S_2$  (7.84). In  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  interactions with fertigation levels, the highest values were recorded with  $F_1$  level and lowest in  $F_3$ . The leaf area gradually increased during crop growth. At shooting stage highest leaf area was noticed. The leaf area was highest in higher dose of fertigation ( $F_1$ ), wider plant spacing ( $S_1$ ) and lowest in closer spacing ( $S_2$ ) and lower dose of fertigation ( $F_3$ ). The higher leaf area at shooting stage is due to a larger number of leaves accompanied with bigger size of leaves. Simmonds (1966) also reported that the leaves attain the maximum size towards the flowering and sharply decline towards the end. Similar results were recorded by Nankinga *et al.* (2005), Athani *et al.* (2009) and Sarrwy *et al.* (2012).

The total number of leaves produced during the growth was influenced by mineral nutrition. It was evident from the data that higher amount of nutrient application resulted in more number of leaves leading to increased leaf area and leaf area index. The increased production of leaves may help to synthesize more photosynthates and flowering stimulus which leads to early shooting. The effective leaf area available for photosynthetic activity might have positively influenced the growth and development of fruits and thus the total yield (Aphsara and Sathiamoorthy, 1997 and Kumar and Nalina, 2001). The different workers on banana have reported that higher levels of nitrogen and potash promote production of more leaves resulting in increased leaf area which have positive correlation with bunch weight (Pandey *et al.*, 2001 and Srinivas *et al.*, 2001).

#### **FLOWERING AND FRUITING CHARACTERS:-**

The data recorded on flowering, fruiting and yield characters of ratoon crop of banana as influenced by plant density and fertigation are presented in table-2.

#### **Number of days taken for shooting:-**

The plant density did not differ significantly with respect of number of days taken for shooting (fig. 4.20). However, the fertigation differed significantly, the lowest number of days taken for shooting (181.79) was recorded in  $F_1$ , which was significantly followed by  $F_2$  (197.37) and  $F_3$  (194.70). In interaction of  $S_1$  and  $S_3$  with three fertigation levels was not significant. Whereas,  $S_2 \times F_1$  registered lowest (182.89) days to shooting, and  $S_2 \times F_2$  recorded higher number of days for shooting.

The extension of crop duration from planting to shooting and to harvest under narrow spacing ( $S_2$ ) could be attributed to lower leaf production and poor photosynthetic activity. Such extended vegetative or reproductive cycle with increase in plant density were in line with the results of Badgajar and Gowade (2007), Sarrwy *et al.* (2012).

Robinson and Nel (1988) suggested that reduced temperature inside the canopy under high density planting could be the reason for increased duration especially under subtropical conditions.

#### **Number of days taken from shooting to Harvesting:-**

The plant densities and fertigation levels significantly influenced the days taken from shooting to harvesting (fig. 4.21). The least number of days (102.84) was registered in  $F_1$ , which was significantly superior over  $F_2$  (108.83) and  $F_3$  (114.94). In plant densities,  $S_1$  recorded lowest number of days (101.73), which was superior over  $S_3$  (110.47) and  $S_2$  (114.41). The interaction of plant densities and fertigation was significant. In all the interaction effects  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  with  $F_1$  recorded lowest number of days taken from shooting to harvesting. In the present study, days to shooting and harvesting were significantly influenced by plant density. The duration has increased with higher plant density ( $S_2$ ). Reduced duration recorded in higher dose of fertigation ( $F_1$ ) and wider spacing ( $S_1$ ) may be attributed to higher number of leaves and more leaf area recorded during vegetative period leading to better photosynthetic activity. The other reason may be more leaf surfaces exposed to light in wider spacing ( $S_1$ ), which increased the metabolism of the plant causing early physiological maturity and flowering. The positive effect of nitrogen and potash in production of more number of leaves with better photosynthetic activity resulted in higher C:N ratio for early shooting and faster bunch development has been indicated by Turner and Barkus (1982).

#### **CROP DURATION (Days):-**

The crop duration was lowest (284.63) in  $F_1$ , followed by  $F_2$  (306.22) and  $F_3$  (309.64). Plant densities significantly influenced crop duration, with least duration (291.56) in  $S_1$  followed by  $S_3$  (300.99) and  $S_2$  (307.94). The interaction effect of densities and fertigation was significant  $S_1, S_2$  and  $S_3$  interaction with  $F_1$  recorded lowest was crop duration and rest of interactions were on par to each other with highest duration (fig).

#### **FRUIT PARAMETERS:-**

##### **Bunch weight (kg):-**

The bunch weight in ratoon crop was significantly affected by plant density and fertigation levels. The highest bunch weight (27.49) was recorded in  $F_1$ , which was significantly followed by  $F_2$  (26.10) and  $F_3$  (24.38). In plant densities,  $S_1$  recorded highest bunch weight (26.50) and was superior over  $S_3$  (26.06) and  $S_2$  (25.41). The interaction was also significant,  $S_1 \times F_1$  registered highest bunch weight (28.14) and lowest in  $S_2 \times F_3$  (23.38).

The reduction of bunch weight with increasing plant density ( $S_2$ ) may be due to excessive interception of light by the enhanced canopy, which lead to increased growth characters like plant height in search of light at expense of fruit characters. In contrast, when the plant population was low ( $S_1$ ), more leaf surface was exposed to sunlight and indirectly greater amount of assimilates accumulated in plant leading to increased bunch weight. Moreover, more availability of nutrient at higher fertigation dose ( $F_1$ ) resulted in more bunch weight.

##### **Number of hands and fingers in second hand:-**

The effect of plant densities and fertigation levels was not significant pertaining to number of hands and fingers in second hand in ratoon cropping.

##### **Fruit length and girth (cm):-**

The fruit length and girth were not significantly affected by plant densities and fertigation levels. In the present study, even though physical parameters were statistically non-significant, variations were noticed. It was observed that member of hands, fingers per hand fruit length and strength have decreased with increasing plant population and increased with higher density and higher fertigation levels.

##### **YIELD (t/ha):-**

The fruit yield was significantly influenced by plant densities and fertigation levels. The highest yield was recorded in  $F_1$  (81.64), which was significantly superior over  $F_2$  (77.51) and  $F_3$  (72.23). In plant densities the highest yield was registered in  $S_2$  (89.74), which was significantly superior over  $S_3$  (76.25) and  $S_1$  (65.39).

The interaction effects of plant densities and fertigation levels was significant. Similar trend was noticed in all interaction effects with highest yield in  $F_1$  interaction with  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  followed by  $F_2$  and  $F_3$ . However,  $S_2 \times F_1$  recorded highest yield (96.14) and significantly followed by  $S_2 \times F_2$  (90.53) and  $S_2 \times F_3$  (82.55).

It can be concluded from the data that highest yield was noticed in higher dose of fertilizers along with high density planting system compared to lower density planting. The increased number of hands, fingers and finger girth might have resulted in increased bunch weight per plant under wider spacing  $S_1$  (1.8×1.8 m). However, the per hectare yield was reduced under normal spacing ( $S_1$ ) due to lesser plant density as compared to closer spacing ( $S_2$ ). The increase in yield per unit area under HDP can be attributed to increase in plant population per unit area (Ahmed and Mannan, 1970). The higher yield in  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  treatments may be attributed to constant and continuous supply of nutrients in solution form at optimum level to the wetted area of the root zone.

#### **FRUIT QUALITY:-**

The data pertaining to fruit quality parameters of banana cv. Grand Naine ratoon crop as influenced by plant density and fertigation are furnished in table-3.

#### **Total soluble solids (<sup>o</sup>Brix):-**

The results related to TSS as influenced by plant densities and fertigation are presented in table. The TSS of fruits was not affected by plant densities and fertigation levels in cv. Grand Naine in ratoon crop. Similar, result was also reported by Chaudari and Baruah (2010) in cv. Jahaji (AAA) of banana.

#### **Reducing Sugar, Non-reducing Sugar and Total sugars (%):-**

The effect of plant densities and fertigation on reducing and total sugar content in fruits was found to be significant. The highest content of reducing sugars (6.55) was observed in  $F_1$  and lowest in  $F_3$  (6.16). In plant densities, the highest reducing sugar content (6.80) was noticed in  $S_1$ , significantly followed by  $S_3$  (6.28) and  $S_2$  (6.01). The interaction of plant densities and fertigation showed significant influence on reducing sugars in  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  interaction but not in  $S_3$ . The highest content of reducing sugar (7.08) was noticed in  $S_1 \times F_1$  and lowest  $S_2 \times F_3$  (5.60).

The highest content of total sugars (11.89) in cv. Grand Naine fruits of ratoon crop was recorded in  $F_1$ , superior to  $F_2$  (11.65) and  $F_3$  (11.32). In plant densities  $S_1$  registered highest content of total sugars (12.24) which was significantly followed by  $S_3$  (11.61) and  $S_2$  (11.00). The interaction effect of plant densities and fertigation on levels of total sugars of fruits was found significant. In all interaction effects the plant densities with higher dose (100 per cent RDF) of fertigation registered highest total sugars and it reduced with decrease in fertigation levels.

The influence of fertigation levels and interaction of plant densities and fertigation was found non significant for non reducing sugars of banana fruit. However, the plant densities significantly influenced the content of non-reducing sugars. The highest content of non-reducing sugars was recorded in  $S_1$  (5.44), which was on par with  $S_3$  (5.33) and significantly lowest content of non-reducing sugars of fruits was registered in  $S_2$  (5.00). The sugar content in banana fruit increased with wider spacing and higher fertigation doses and decreased with increase in spacing and decrease in fertigation levels.

#### **Ascorbic acid (mg/100g pulp):-**

The ascorbic acid content of fruit affected by plant densities and fertigation levels in cv. Grand Naine is presented in table. The results recorded revealed that, the ascorbic acid content of fruit was not significantly influenced due to plant densities, fertigation levels and their interactions.

#### **SHELF LIFE (Days):-**

The shelf life of banana fruit as influenced by fertigation levels and interaction of plant densities and fertigation was found non significant. However, the plant densities significantly influenced the shelf life of fruits. The longest shelf life (9.43) was recorded in  $S_1$ , which was on par with  $S_3$  (8.70) and superior over  $S_2$  (7.48). The shortest shelf life was observed in high density planting ( $S_2$ ). The longest shelf life in wider spacing ( $S_1$ ) may be due to more synthesis of photoassimilates and accumulation of maximum starch compounds in the fruits compared to high density planting. This higher accumulated sugars and acids might have acted as substrates for respiration during storage and enhanced storage life.

**Table 1:-** Effect of Spacing and fertigation on Growth parameters at shooting stage of Banana cv.Grand Naine (Ratoon Crop).

Tretments	Pseduoste m height (cm)	Pseduoste m girth (cm)	No of suckers/plan t <sup>-1</sup>	Total no of leaves/plan t <sup>-1</sup>	Green leaves/plan t <sup>-1</sup>	Leaf area(sq.m.plan t <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Factor A Spacing</b>						
S <sub>1</sub>	193.36	65.29	12.70	31.21	13.53	9.42
S <sub>2</sub>	222.30	60.13	9.90	29.57	12.46	7.84
S <sub>3</sub>	205.07	62.50	10.89	30.28	12.56	8.16
<b>Factor B Fertigation</b>						
F <sub>1</sub>	211.88	66.22	11.32	31.62	13.65	8.80
F <sub>2</sub>	206.42	63.48	11.00	31.11	12.96	8.41
F <sub>3</sub>	202.44	58.21	10.54	28.33	11.94	8.20
<b>Factor Ax B Spacing and Fertigation intractions</b>						
S <sub>1</sub> x F <sub>1</sub>	198.42	68.76	12.20	32.18	14.95	9.94
S <sub>1</sub> x F <sub>2</sub>	193.07	64.32	12.14	31.84	13.02	9.27
S <sub>1</sub> x F <sub>3</sub>	188.58	62.78	11.86	29.62	12.63	9.06
S <sub>2</sub> x F <sub>1</sub>	228.43	63.92	10.62	30.86	12.96	8.13
S <sub>2</sub> x F <sub>2</sub>	20.32	62.84	9.94	30.62	12.87	7.83
S <sub>2</sub> x F <sub>3</sub>	218.15	53.64	9.14	27.24	11.54	7.55
S <sub>3</sub> x F <sub>1</sub>	208.78	65.99	11.14	31.83	13.05	8.34
S <sub>3</sub> x F <sub>2</sub>	205.86	63.28	10.92	30.88	12.98	8.13
S <sub>3</sub> x F <sub>3</sub>	200.58	58.22	10.62	28.14	11.64	8.00
Factor A (SE m ±)	1.42	1.58	0.12	0.06	0.08	0.05
Factor A (CD 5%)	4.29	4.77	0.37	0.20	0.26	0.15
Factor B (SE m ±)	1.42	1.58	0.12	0.06	0.08	0.05
Factor B (CD 5%)	4.29	4.77	0.37	0.20	0.26	0.15
Factor Ax B (SE m ±)	2.47	2.75	0.21	0.11	0.15	0.09
Factor Ax B (CD 5%)	7.43	8.26	0.64	0.35	0.46	0.26

**Table 2:-** Effect of Spacing and Fertigation on flowering, fruiting and yield caracters of Banana cv.Grand Naine (Ratoon Crop).

Tretments	No. of .days taken for shootin g	No. of. days taken from shooting to harvestin g	Crop Duration(days )	Bunc h weigh t (kg)	no .of hands	No of finger s in 2 <sup>nd</sup> hand	Fruit length(cm )	Fruit girth ( cm)	Yiel d (t/ha )
<b>Factor A Spacing</b>									
S <sub>1</sub>	189.81	101.73	291.56	26.50	10.42	18.80	18.63	13.97	65.39
S <sub>2</sub>	193.53	114.41	307.94	25.41	9.15	17.84	17.53	13.18	89.74
S <sub>3</sub>	190.52	110.47	300.99	26.06	9.63	18.12	18.04	13.55	76.25
<b>Factor B Fertigation</b>									
F <sub>1</sub>	181.79	102.84	284.63	27.49	9.92	18.42	18.31	13.77	81.64
F <sub>2</sub>	197.37	108.83	306.22	26.10	9.76	18.27	18.04	13.56	77.51
F <sub>3</sub>	194.70	114.94	309.64	24.38	9.52	18.07	17.85	13.37	72.2

									3
<b>Factor Ax B Spacing and Fertigation interactions</b>									
S <sub>1</sub> x F <sub>1</sub>	180.84	95.96	276.80	28.14	10.67	19.01	18.96	14.20	69.4 4
S <sub>1</sub> x F <sub>2</sub>	194.76	102.84	297.67	26.31	10.36	18.85	18.62	13.92	64.9 3
S <sub>1</sub> x F <sub>3</sub>	193.82	106.38	300.20	25.05	10.22	18.54	18.32	13.79	61.8 2
S <sub>2</sub> x F <sub>1</sub>	182.89	109.84	292.73	27.23	9.25	17.92	17.84	13.38	96.1 4
S <sub>2</sub> x F <sub>2</sub>	200.96	112.72	313.68	25.64	9.21	17.84	17.50	13.26	90.5 3
S <sub>2</sub> x F <sub>3</sub>	196.74	120.68	317.42	23.38	9.00	17.76	17.24	12.90	82.5 5
S <sub>3</sub> x F <sub>1</sub>	181.64	102.72	284.36	27.12	9.84	18.33	18.14	13.74	79.3 5
S <sub>3</sub> x F <sub>2</sub>	196.38	110.94	307.32	26.35	9.72	18.12	18.00	13.50	77.0 9
S <sub>3</sub> x F <sub>3</sub>	193.54	117.76	311.30	24.72	9.33	17.92	17.98	13.41	72.3 3
Factor A (SE m ±)	1.93	1.70	1.42	0.12	0.39	0.73	0.57	0.35	0.11
Factor A (CD 5%)	NS	5.13	4.27	0.39	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.35
Factor B (SE m ±)	1.93	1.70	1042.00	0.12	0.39	0.73	0.57	0.35	0.11
Factor B (CD 5%)	5.80	5.13	4.27	0.39	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.35
Factor Ax B (SE m ±)	3.34	2.95	2.46	0.24	0.67	1.28	1.00	0.61	0.20
Factor Ax B (CD 5%)	10.05	8.88	7.40	0.79	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.61

**Table 3:-** Effect of spacing and fertigaion on fruit quality parameters of Banana cv.Grand Naine (Ratoon crop).

Tretments	TSS <sup>o</sup> (Brix)	Titrrable acidity(%)	Non-Reducing Sugars(%)	Total Sugars (%)	Reducing Sugars(%)	Ascorbic acid(mg/100g pulp)	Self life(days)
<b>Factor A Spacing</b>							
S <sub>1</sub>	19.31	0.48	5.44	12.24	6.80	10.39	9.43
S <sub>2</sub>	17.90	0.58	5.00	11.00	6.01	9.96	7.48
S <sub>3</sub>	18.05	0.58	5.33	11.61	6.28	10.05	8.70
<b>Factor B Fertigation</b>							
F <sub>1</sub>	18.74	0.50	5.34	11.89	6.55	10.50	8.75
F <sub>2</sub>	18.82	0.55	5.26	11.65	6.39	10.02	8.58
F <sub>3</sub>	17.71	0.59	5.17	11.32	6.16	9.87	8.28
<b>Factor Ax B Spacing and Fertigation interactions</b>							
S <sub>1</sub> x F <sub>1</sub>	19.62	0.44	5.52	12.60	7.08	10.94	9.50
S <sub>1</sub> x F <sub>2</sub>	20.20	0.49	5.49	12.19	6.70	10.26	9.45
S <sub>1</sub> x F <sub>3</sub>	18.12	0.52	5.32	11.94	6.62	9.98	9.33
S <sub>2</sub> x F <sub>1</sub>	18.15	0.55	5.05	12.29	6.24	10.23	7.76
S <sub>2</sub> x F <sub>2</sub>	18.00	0.57	4.98	11.16	6.18	9.84	7.43
S <sub>2</sub> x F <sub>3</sub>	17.56	0.63	4.96	10.56	5.60	9.80	7.26
S <sub>3</sub> x F <sub>1</sub>	18.46	0.52	5.45	11.77	6.32	10.34	8.98
S <sub>3</sub> x F <sub>2</sub>	18.25	0.59	5.32	11.60	6.28	9.96	8.86
S <sub>3</sub> x F <sub>3</sub>	17.44	0.62	5.22	11.47	6.25	9.84	8.25
Factor A (SE m ±)	0.74	0.009	0.07	0.06	0.064	0.26	0.42
Factor A (CD 5%)	NS	0.027	0.22	0.19	0.20	NS	NS
Factor B (SE m ±)	0.74	0.009	0.07	0.06	0.064	0.26	0.42
Factor B (CD 5%)	NS	NS	NS	0.19	0.20	NS	NS
Factor Ax B (SE m ±)	1.29	0.015	0.13	0.109	0.11	0.45	0.73
Factor Ax B (CD 5%)	NS	0.047	NS	0.330	0.33	NS	NS



**References:-**

1. Ahmed, K and Mannan, A. 1970. Effect of size of pit and spacing on the performance of Amritsagar banana. *Punjab Fruit Journal*. 32 (110/111): 7-13.
2. Apshara, E. and Sathiamoorthy, S. 1997. Effect of planting density and spacing on growth and yield of banana cv. Nendran (AAB). *South Indian Horticulture*. 47 (1-6): 1-3.
3. Ashok, K, Arvind, K, Singh, H.K, Kumari, N and Pramod, K. 2009. Effect of fertigation on banana biometric characteristics and fertilizer
4. Athani, S.I, Revanappa and Dharmatti, P.R. 2009. Effect of plant density on growth and yield in banana. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural sciences*. 22 (1): 143-146.
5. Badgujar, C.D and Gowade, M.H. 2007. Effect of planting density on growth, duration and yield of Basrai (AAA) banana. *Orissa Journal of Horticulture*. 35 (1).
6. Chaudhuri, P and Baruah, K. 2010. Studies on planting density in Banana Cv. 'Jahaji' (AAA). *Indian Journal of Hill Farming*. 23(2) : 31-38.
7. FAO 2015 Food and Agriculture Organization Rome.
8. Goswami, A.M, Saxena, S.R and Kurien, S. 1993. High density planting in citrus. *Advances in Horticulture*. 2: 645-648.
9. Guerra, Amilton, G. Zanai Jose, R. Natale William and Pavani Luiz, C. 2004. Frequency of fertigation with nitrogen and potassium applied by micro sprinkler system on prta-ana banana plant. *Engenharia Agricola*. 24:80-88.
10. Holder, G.D and Gumbs, F.A. 1983. Effects of nitrogen and irrigation on the growth and yield of bananan. *Tropical Agriculture*. Trinidad. 60 (3): 179-183.
11. Kumar, N and Nalina, L. 2001. Research on high density planting of banana in Tamilnadu- A Resume. *South Indian Horticulture*. 49 (Special): 1-5.
12. Nankinga, C.K, Magara, E, Gold, C.S, Kawuki, R.S, Erima, R, Ragama, P, Gowen, S.R and Tushemereirwe, W. 2005. Respoanse of East African Highland bananas to plant density in Uganda. *Proceedings of African Crop Science Conference*. 7: 1183-1186.
13. Pandey, S.D, Jayabaskaran, K.J, Laxman, R.H, Santhi, V.P, \*Panse, V.G and Mustaffa, M.M. 2001. Effect of irrigation, N fertigation and planting geometry on growth and yield of banana cv. Nendran. *South Indian Horticulture*. 49: 76-79.
14. Robinson, J.C and Nel, D.J. 1988. Plant density studies with banana (cv. Williams) in a subtropical climate. I. Vegetative Morphology, Phenology and plantain micro climate. *Journal of Horticultural Sciences*. 63 (2): 303-313.
15. Santharam. 1999. High density planting in Mango. Chadha, K.L. and Pareek, O.P. (eds) *Advances in Horticulture*, Fruit crops. 2: 641-644.
16. Sarrwy, S.M.A, Mostafa, E.A.M and Hassan, H.S.A. 2012. Growth, yield and fruit quality of Williams banana as affected by different planting distances. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*. 7 (5): 266-275.
17. Sathiamoorthy, S and Mustaffa, M.M. 2001. High density planting system in bananas and plantains – An overview. *South Indian Horticulture*. 49: 6-9.
18. Simmonds, N.W.1966. Bananas 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. London and Newyork : Longman
19. Srinivas, K. Reddy, B.M.C. Chandra Kumar, S. Gowda, S.T. Raghupati, H.B and Padma, P. 2001. Growth, yield and nutrient uptake of Robusta banana in relation to N and K fertigation. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. 58 (4): 287-293.
20. Sarrwy, S.M.A, Mostafa, E.A.M and Hassan, H.S.A. 2012. Growth, yield and fruit quality of Williams banana as affected by different planting distances. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*. 7 (5): 266-275.
21. Stover, R.H and Simmonds, N.W. 1987. *Bananas*. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Longmans Group Ltd., London. 252.
22. \*Turner, D.W and Barkus, B. 1982. The uptake and distribution of mineral nutrients in the banana in response to supply of K, Mg and Mn. *International Banana Nutrition News letter*. 5: 25-26.