

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ESTIMATION OF VARIABILITY AND CORRELATION BETWEEN THE QUANTITATIVE MORPHOLOGICAL FEATURES OF SIX TAXA OF BRUGMANSIA Pers. AND FIVE TAXA OF DATURA L. FROM KERALA AND TAMIL NADU.

Dhanya C¹, Devipriya V² and Vijayaraghava Kumar³.

- 1. Post Graduate & Research Department of Botany, Sree Narayana College, Kollam, Kerala.
- 2. Department of Botany, Sree Narayana College, Chempazhanthy, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala.
- 3. Department of Agricultural Sciences, College of Vellavani, Trivandrum.

..... Manuscript Info

Abstract

•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Manuscript History	Genetic variability and correlation studies were made on
Received: 14 August 2017 Final Accepted: 16 September 2017 Published: October 2017	the quantitative morphological features of six taxa of <i>Brugmansia</i> Pers. and five taxa of <i>Datura</i> L. from Kerala and Tamil Nadu. Pedicel length, acuminal peak length and fruit length showed higher values PCV and
Key words:-	GCV reflecting a high degree of variability for these
Datura, Brugmansia,, morphological,	characters. ECV values were not high suggesting less
variability, heritability, genetic gain,	environmental influence in the origin of such variations.
correlations.	All the flower and fruit characters studied showed a high percentage of heritability, above 85%. Maximum
	heritability (98%) was observed for fruit length, followed by 97% for corolla diameter and interacuminal
	peak length. Majority of the floral characters except
	calyx length, free filament length and fruit breadth showed higher values above 60%. Lamina length was found to be highly correlated genotypically to all the other foliar and floral characters studied at 1% level. The pedicel, calyx and corolla traits were highly correlated to most of the floral characters except fruit breadth
	Copy Right, IJAR, 2017,. All rights reserved.

Introduction:-

Brugmansia Pers. and Datura L. are two important genera under the Solanaceae, a very large family of trees, shrubs and herbs including 96 genera and 3000 species distributed all over the tropics, with Central and South America forming the chief centers of distribution (D' Arcy, 1991; Hunziker, 2001). Brugmansia Pers. (Angel's Trumpet) is a small genus which includes six species, one subspecies and five hybrids (*The Plant List*, 2013). The members are small trees or large shrubs, of which three have been reported from South India. The flowering is profuse with very large attractive pendulous flowers of bright colors and unarmed, long spindle shaped or short egg-shaped capsules. Datura L. (Thorn Apple, Jimson weed) is well known as a genus of drug plants with several weedy species. The genus comprises of glabrous or minutely pubescent shrub-like herbs, with large, entire and sinuate or deeply-toothed leaves, large, solitary, white or purple erect flowers and armed, globose capsules. According to The Plant List (2013), the genus comprises of 12 species distributed in the tropical and temperate regions of the world, of which three have been reported from South India.

Corresponding Author:- Dhanya C.

Address:- Post Graduate & Research Department of Botany, Sree Narayana College, Kollam, Kerala.

Assessment of genetic variability and inter-relationship between important quantitative characters and their heritability is a significant prerequisite for evaluating the potentiality of plants to respond to plant improvement programmes. Although this often centers on morphological traits, data from other sources (viz. dermal, anatomical, cytological etc.) have also time and again provided supplementary clues in elucidating systematic interrelationships between members of a plant group. This attains more significance in the case of medicinal plants, where the necessity for plant improvement assumes paramount importance. This paper deals with the variability among different characters, phenotypic, genotypic and environmental coefficients of variation (PCV, GCV and ECV), heritability, expected genetic gain and phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlation coefficients of quantitative morphological characteristics in six taxa of *Brugmansia* Pers. and five taxa of *Datura* L.

Materials and Methods:-

Six taxa of *Brugmansia* and five taxa of *Datura* were collected from different parts of Kerala and Tamil Nadu. A detailed morphological study was conducted collecting data from the foliar and floral parts of fresh plants. The members studied are listed below:

- 1. Brugmansiax candida Pers. 'Charleston'
- 2. Brugmansiax cubensis (V.R.Fuentes) V.R.Fuentes 'Dr.Seuss'
- 3. Brugmansia sanguinea (Ruiz & Pav.) D. Don 'MishaTora'
- 4. Brugmansia sanguinea (Ruiz & Pav.) D. Don 'Oroverde'
- 5. Brugmansia suaveolens(Humb. &Bonpl. exWilld.) Bercht& J. Presl. 'Remembrance'
- 6. Brugmansia suaveolens(Humb. &Bonpl. exWilld.) Bercht& J. Presl. 'Valley White'
- 7. DaturainoxiaMill.
- 8. Daturametel L. var. fastuosa(L.) Saff.
- 9. Daturametel L. var. metel
- 10. Datura metel L. var. rubra
- 11. Datura stramoniumL.

A detailed morphological study was conducted collecting data from the foliar and floral parts of fresh plants. Voucher specimens of the taxa studied are deposited in the herbaria at the Research and Post-Graduate Department of Botany, Sree Narayana College, Kollam (SNCH), Department of Botany. The twenty-two quantitative morphological characters studied are listed below.

Corolla lobe breadth
Acuminal peak length
Anther length
Filament length from base
Free filament length
Stigma length
Style length
Ovary height
Ovary diameter
Fruit length
Fruit breadth

The quantitative morphological data assembled were analyzed statistically for assessing their coefficient of variation (Panse & Sukhatme, 1978), heritability (%) in the broad sense (Jain, 1982), genetic gain expressed as percentage of mean (Singh & Chaudhary, 1985) and phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlation coefficients (Snedecor & Cochran, 1980).

Results and Discussion:-

(i) Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation

The genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation (GCV and PCV) were computed for only twenty two quantitative morphological characters as shown in Table 1. The remaining characters showed only very low values of expression or remained constant. Values of GCV and PCV above 30 % suggest a high degree of variability among taxa with regard to the characters studied. In the present study, most of the characters studied showed values above 30 for GCV and PCV, except internode length, lamina parameters, petiole length, calyx length, filament

length from base and fruit breadth. The GCV and PCV values were above 80 % for acuminal peak length and above 60 % for pedicel length and fruit length.

(ii) Heritability

Heritability in the broad sense was calculated for twenty-two quantitative morphological characters which showed sufficient magnitude of expression, and the results are given in Table 1. Higher values above 60% show that the genotypic variance and phenotypic variance are very close, suggesting that the phenotypic variability is mainly due to the genotypic effect itself and the environmental component has got little effect on the phenotype. Values below 60% reveal the influence of the environment on the phenotype. In the present study, all the flower and fruit characters studied showed a high percentage of heritability, above 85%. Maximum heritability (98%) was observed for fruit length, followed by 97% for corolla diameter and interacuminal peak length. Heritability percentages were 95-96 for pedicel length, corolla diameter, anther length, filament length from base, style length and ovary height. But the vegetative morphological characters relating to the internode and lamina showed lower percentages of heritability below 60%.

(iii) Genetic gain

The values for genetic gain expressed as percentage of mean were computed for only twenty two quantitative morphological characters as shown in Table 1. Higher values of genetic gain reflect greater potential for improvement of a particular character over generations by various plant breeding procedures. In the present study, majority of the floral characters except calyx length, free filament length and fruit breadth showed higher values above 60%. Here also the vegetative morphological characters relating to the internode and lamina showed lower percentages of mean values for genetic gain.

(iv) Genotypic and phenotypic correlation

Correlation is a statistical technique used to measure and describe the simultaneous variation between two or more variables. Correlation between different traits has been attributed to the presence of linked genes and espistatic effect of different genes. Genetic and environmental causes of correlation combine together and give phenotypic correlation. The dual nature of phenotypic correlation makes it clear that the magnitude of genetic correlation cannot be determined from phenotypic correlation (Saleem et al 2006).

The genotypic and phenotypic correlations between pairs of selected quantitative morphological characters are summarized in Tables 2 a-c. High correlations above 0.26 and 0.33suggest high genetic association between the two characters at 5% and 1% levels of significance. In the present study lamina length was found to be highly correlated genotypically to all the other foliar and floral characters studied at 1% level. The pedicel, calyx and corolla traits were highly correlated to most of the floral characters except fruit breadth. Significant positive genotypic correlations were observed between the following character combinations at 5% * and 1% ** levels of significance.

Acknowledgement:-

Petiole length

Pedicel length

Calyx length

Calyx mouth breadth

6

7

8

9

The first author gratefully acknowledges the University of Kerala for financial support and the Principals, Sree Narayana Colleges, Kollam and Chempazhanthy for facilities provided in connection with this work.

quantita	quantitative morphological characters of eleven taxa of Brugmansia Pers. and Datura L.												
Sl.No	Characters	Phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV)	Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV)	Heritability (%)	Genetic gain (%)								
1	Internode length	44.76	24.35	0.30	27.29								
2	Lamina length	21.41	14.84	0.48	21.20								
3	Lamina breadth	21.29	10.74	0.25	11.16								
4	Lamina area	33.21	18.21	0.30	20.57								
5	Lamina perimeter	23.43	17.97	0.59	28.40								

24.92

67.99

29.77

35.32

33.61

69.46

31.07

38.16

Table 1:- Phenotypic, genotypic and environmental coefficients of variation, heritability and genetic gain of quantitative morphological characters of eleven taxa of Brugmansia Pers. and Datura L.

38.08

137.08

58.77

67.34

0.55

0.96

0.92

0.86

10	Corolla length	36.44	35.67	0.96	71.94
11	Corolla diameter	51.18	50.38	0.97	102.14
12	Corolla lobe breadth	54.14	52.44	0.94	104.64
13	Interacuminal peak length	86.72	85.61	0.97	174.08
14	Anther length	52.22	51.07	0.96	102.90
15	Filament length from base	29.00	27.94	0.93	55.44
16	Free filament length	37.31	36.42	0.95	73.23
17	Stigma length	57.52	54.19	0.89	105.16
18	Style length	31.44	30.67	0.95	61.64
19	Ovary height	52.43	51.37	0.96	103.68
20	Ovary diameter	38.06	35.95	0.89	70.03
21	Fruit length	61.93	61.41	0.98	125.45
22	Fruit breadth	27.19	25.04	0.85	47.49

 Table 2a: Phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlation coefficients of quantitative morphological characters in eleven taxa of *Brugmansia* Pers. and *Datura* L. (* significant at 5% level ** significant at 1% level)

Characte	rs	Intern ode length	Lami na length	Lami na bread th	Lami na area	Lamin a perime ter	Petio le lengt h	Pedic el length	Calyx length	Calyx mout h bread th	Corol la length	Coroll a diame ter
Intern	Р	1.0								- CH		
ode	G	1.0										
length	E E	1.0										
Lomin	D	1.0	1.0									
	1	0.4450	1.0									
a Ionath	G	0.4430	1.0									
length	U	- 0.9067	1.0									
	F	0.9007	1.0									
	Ľ	0 1704	1.0									
Lamin	Р	0.1701	-	10								
a	-	0 3124	0.226	1.0								
breadt		*	2									
h	G		-	1.0								
	-	0.6433	0.496									
		**	4									
	Е		-	1.0								
		0.1875	0.084									
			5									
Lamin	Р	-	0.620	-	1.0							
a area		0.3298	0**	0.085								
				0								
	G	-	0.593		1.0							
		0.4031	8**	0.529								
				4**								
	E	-	0.654	-	1.0							
		0.2987	2**	0.320								
				5				ļ				
Lamin	Р	-	0.293	0.448	0.246	1.0						
a	_	0.0479	6*	5**	9							
perime	G	-	0.490	0.409	0.759	1.0						
ter	_	0.0364	1**	6**	8**							
	E	-	0.071	0.523	-	1.0			1	1	1	

		0.0607	2	6**	0.135 5							
Petiole	Р	-	0.214	0.099	0.143	0.1784	1.0					
length	G	- 0.0171	0 414	0217	5 0.483	0 3416	1.0					
		0.2242	0**	4	1**	**	1.0					
	Е		0.002	0.030	-	-	1.0					
		0.1303	4	5	0.094	0.0371						
Pedicel	Р	-	0.441	-	0.293	0.0134	0.062	1.0				
length		0.3273	3**	0.266	1*		4					
	G	_	0.627	2	0.546	0.0124	0.079	1.0				
	U	0.6519	6**	0.591	0.540	0.0124	1	1.0				
				7								
	E	0 1140	0.104	0.146	0.000	0.0312	0.036	1.0				
		0.1148	8	0.146	3		3					
Calyx	Р	-	0.297	-	0.050	-	-	0.634	1.0			
length		0.5030	2*	0.326	7	0.2624	0.056	3**				
	G		0.493	0	0.133		7	0.661	1.0			
	U	0.9234	3**	0.679	0.155	0.3850	0.077	4**	1.0			
				4			5					
	E	-	-	0.009	-	0.1123	-	0.238	1.0			
		0.0901	0.148	9	0.080		0.008	0				
Calyx	Р	-	0.557	-	0.302	0.0650	0.216	0.799	0.541	1.0		
mouth		0.3613	4**	0.225	1*		8	8**	1**			
breadt h	G	-	0.841	-	0.633	0.0721	0.282	0.864	0.560	1.0		
		0.6787	6**	0.567	1**	0.0721	3*	7**	7**	110		
	-		0.0.62	9		0.0550	0.000	0.010	0.404	1.0		
	E	- 0.0617	0.063	0.121	-	0.0570	0.090	0.212	0.404 3**	1.0		
		0.0017	0	0	8		0	5	5			
Coroll	Р	-	0.522	-	0.174	-	0.119	0.662	0.842	0.661	1.0	
a Iongth		0.5599	9**	0.376	2	0.1566	6	1**	6**	/**		
icingtin	G	-	0.750	-	0.320	-	0.173	0.691	0.899	0.735	1.0	
		1.0461	2**	0.787	2*	0.2461	4	5**	9**	5**		
	Б		0.002	9	0.012	0.2162					1.0	
	E	- 0.0159	0.093	0.072	0.013	0.2105	- 0.046	- 0.010	- 0.028	- 0.061	1.0	
			_				2	9	7	1		
Coroll	Р	-	0.441	-	0.171	-	0.194	0.403	0.764	0.383	0.844	1.0
a diamet	G	-	0.647	-	0.312	-	4	0.415	0.801	0.416	0.882	1.0
er		0.9997	0**	0.532	0	0.0861	9*	6**	0**	4**	8**	
			0.077	5					0.455	0.0.17		
	E	0 1172	0.002	-	0.022	-	-	0.094	0.185 9	0.068	- 0.183	1.0
		0.1172		5	5	0.1411	4	0	7	U	2	

Table 2b:- Phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlation coefficients of quantitative morphologicalcharacters in eleven taxa of Brugmansia Pers. and Datura L. (* significant at 5% level ** significant at 1% level)

Characters		Intern ode length	Lami na lengt h	Lami na brea dth	Lami na area	Lamin a perim eter	Petio le lengt h	Pedice l length	Calyx lengt h	Calyx mout h bread	Corol la lengt h	Corol la diame ter
										th		
Corolla lobe breadth	Р	- 0.5503	0.467 3**	- 0.249 2	0.206 7	- 0.0322	0.206 7	0.3982 **	0.784 7**	0.424 2**	0.841 0**	0.950 9**
	G	- 1.0556	0.678 4**	- 0.522 9	0.341 3**	- 0.0499	0.274 3*	0.4342 **	0.821 7**	0.464 6**	0.893 7**	0.985 2**
	E	0.0285	0.065 1	0.029 6	0.122 2	0.0304	0.058 3	- 0.2646	0.309 8*	0.081 7	- 0.127 8	0.266 6*
Interacu minal peak	Р	- 0.4340	0.222 4	- 0.166 5	0.149 8	- 0.0274	- 0.060 6	0.4671 **	0.737 3**	0.194 9	0.653 2**	0.795 5**
length	G	- 0.7704	0.322 0*	- 0.353 6	0.280 3*	- 0.0549	- 0.087 8	0.4800 **	0.777 6**	0.213 2	0.664 8**	0.819 3**
	E	- 0.1510	0.017 6	0.069 2	- 0.014 8	0.1380	0.033 6	0.1032	0.036 6	0.001 9	0.328 6**	- 0.016 2
Anther length	Р	- 0.5476	0.369 0**	- 0.401 5	0.039 9	- 0.2516	0.059 2	0.4911 **	0.843 6**	0.402 8**	0.900 6**	0.878 9**
	G	- 1.0315	0.563 8**	- 0.792 7	0.060 8	- 0.3274	0.086 1	0.5115 **	0.885 1**	0.442 5**	0.931 4**	0.912 1**
	E	0.0070	- 0.088 3	- 0.057 4	0.041 5	- 0.0450	- 0.023 2	0.0341	0.236 3	0.029 2	0.205 8	0.023 0
Filament length - base	Р	- 0.3908	0.342 0**	- 0.340 4	0.031 3	- 0.3652	- 0.032 8	0.5475 **	0.719 8**	0.676 8**	0.792 7**	0.533 3**
	G	- 0.7009	0.524 1**	- 0.748 2	0.085 9	- 0.5338	0.015 6	0.5851 **	0.783 0**	0.767 9**	0.840 2**	0.555 3**
	E	- 0.1043	- 0.041 6	0.099 8	- 0.062 4	0.1694	- 0.243 9	- 0.0763	- 0.038 3	- 0.076 5	0.006 5	0.144 6
Free filament length	Р	0.1461	0.009 0	- 0.090 8	0.082 2	- 0.2615	- 0.042 8	0.5169 **	0.136 7	0.513 7**	0.126 7	- 0.222 4
	G	0.2547	- 0.000 8	- 0.198 1	0.122	- 0.3575	- 0.068 5	0.5435 **	0.164 7	0.579 2**	0.123 5	- 0.216 7
	E	0.0596	0.060 8	0.036 0	0.091 9	0.0446	0.046 5	- 0.0537	- 0.278 7	- 0.116 2	0.195 6	- 0.368 1
Stigma	Р	-	0.463	-	0.130	0.0755	0.175	0382	0.490	0.393	0.724	0.753

length		0.4036	5**	0.231	5		9	8**	3**	0**	7**	7**
	G	-	0.746	-	0.336	0.0927	0.219	0.3906	0.515	0.442	0.781	0.787
		0.9185	6**	0.592 5	9**		2	**	8**	6**	1**	9**
	Е	0.2381	-	0.172	-	0.0398	0.101	0.3301	0.257	0.055	0.063	0.389
			0.099	2	0.155		3	**	0	3	4	7**
Style	Р	-	0.373	-	0.054	-	0.046	0.5982	0.801	0.644	0.881	0.652
length		0.4265	2**	0.423 1	8	0.4124	2	**	7**	3**	5**	5**
	G	- 0.8289	0.546 9**	- 0.857 1	0.039 1	- 0.5322	0.055 3	0.6267 **	0.871 1**	0.736 6**	0.918 5**	0.693 8**
	E	0.0721	0.020 9	- 0.007 0	0.184 5	- 0.1004	0.041 7	- 0.0027	- 0.200 8	- 0.249 0	0.095 9	- 0.348 6
Ovary height	Р	- 0.4044	0.492 7**	- 0.358 2	0.239 0	- 0.0002	0.030 7	0.9298 **	0.671 0**	0.785 6**	0.691 3**	0.433 5**
	G	- 0.7694	0.716 9**	- 0.707 9	0.425 8**	0.0317	0.026 0	0.9626 **	0.722 6**	0.860 1**	0.732 6**	0.441 8**
	E	0.0334	0.039 5	- 0.048 4	0.061 2	- 0.1871	0.088 4	0.1640	- 0.129 3	0.074 7	- 0.279 0	0.211 1
Ovary diameter	Р	0.1750	0.056 1	- 0.181 2	- 0.020 4	- 0.1654	- 0.063 4	0.4373 **	0.005 8	0.472 8**	- 0.000 9	- 0.354 1
	G	0.3041 *	0.128 5	- 0.316 6	0.367 0**	- 0.1649	- 0.135 0	0.4708 **	0.016 5	0.562 0**	0.011 2	- 0.373 0
	E	0.0682	- 0.119 4	- 0.107 0	- 0.047 2	-0.2182	0.142 2	0.0271	- 0.097 8	- 0.151 0	- 0.170 2	- 0.123 4
Fruit length	Р	-0.4524	0.388 3**	- 0.408 0	0.046 7	- 0.0462	- 0.083 9	0.7100 **	0.787 3**	0.444 9**	0.736 1**	0.687 0**
	G	- 0.8592	0.568 2**	- 0.811 1	0.100 6	- 0.0649	- 0.116 7	0.7270 **	0.827 4**	0.482 2**	0.765 2**	0.703 6**
	E	0.1019	- 0.025 8	- 0.019 9	- 0.074 6	0.0385	0.021 8	0.1672	0.026 5	0.047 2	- 0.257 7	0.012 3
Fruit breadth	Р	0.3001 *	0.065 8	- 0.099 7	- 0.065 9	0.0019	- 0.070 3	0.1771	- 0.215 7	0.255 1	- 0.215 7	- 0.454 9
	G	0.5520 **	0.006	- 0.180 1	- 0.202 9	- 0.0163	- 0.091 6	0.1844	- 0.234 8	0.301	- 0.245 5	- 0.501 0
	E	0.0723	0.220	- 0.047 7	0.111 9	0.0537	- 0.029 7	0.1370	- 0.076 7	- 0.010 0	0.070 9	- 0.013 2

 Table 2c: Phenotypic, genotypic and environmental correlation coefficients of quantitative morphological characters in eleven taxa of Brugmansia Pers. and Datura L. (* significant at 5% level ** significant at 1% level)

Characters		Corol	Acumi	Anthe	Filam	Free	Stigm	Style	Ovar	Ovary	Frui	Fruit
		la	nal	r	ent	filame	a	length	У	diame	t	brea
		lobe	peak	length	length	nt	length		height	ter	leng	dth
		bread	length		-base	length					th	
	n	th										
Corolla	P	1.0										
lobe	G	1.0										
	E D	1.0	1.0									
Interacu	Р	0./6/	1.0									
minai	0	0,002	1.0									
longth	G	0.805	1.0									
length	Б	/	1.0									
	Е	-	1.0									
		6										
Anther	Р	0.846	0 7390	10								
length	1	1**	**	1.0								
	G	0.903	0.7561	1.0								
		8**	**									
	Е	-	0.2692	1.0								
		0.193	*									
		8										
Filament	Р	0.555	0.2933	0.643	1.0							
length -		5**	*	9**								
base	G	0.588	0.2919	0.667	1.0							
		3**	*	3**								
	Е	0.099	0.3661	0.271	1.0							
		3	**	9*								
Free	Р	-	-	-	0.444	1.0						
filament		0.228	0.1762	0.147	8**							
length		1		8								
	G	-	-	-	0.497	1.0						
		0.231	0.1831	0.147	0**							
	Г	5	0.0042	1		1.0						-
	E	-	0.0043	-	-	1.0						
		0.170		0.105	0.380							
Stiame	Р	7 0.683	0 5242	0.7/1	0 303		1.0					
length	1	1**	0.3242 **	0.741 2**	0.393	- 0.252	1.0					
length		1		2	0	6						
	G	0.757	0.5613	0.793	0.455	-	1.0					
		9**	**	2**	2**	0.265	110					
		-				5						
	Е	-	0.0418	0.148	-	-	1.0					
		0.102		0	0.222	0.116						
		3			3	5						
Style	Р	0.669	0.4311	0.770	0.908	0.387	0.482	1.0				
length		0**	**	5**	0**	2**	0**					
	G	0.715	0.4499	0.807	0.980	0.372	0.538	1.0				
		7**	**	1**	5**	0**	5**					
	E	-	-	0.010	-	0.689	-	1.0				

		0.133	0.0591	0	0.225	2**	0.173					
		7			1		3					
Ovary	Р	0.460	0.4362	0.550	0.588	0.404	0.379	0.645	1.0			
height		0**	**	1**	3**	8**	3**	4**				
	G	0.483	0.4538	0.583	0.622	0.418	0.413	0.672	1.0			
		0**	**	2**	3**	1**	0**	8**				
	E	0.033	-	-	0.018	0.114	-	0.055	1.0			
		3	0.0868	0.209	5	4	0.028	6				
				3			6					
Ovary	Р	-	-	-	0.358	0.839	-	0.248	0.412	1.0		
diameter		0.345	0.3736	0.238	2**	7**	0.260	9	0**			
		1		7			8					
	G	-	-	-	0.390	0.909	-	0.262	0.437	1.0		
		0.378	0.3931	0.254	7**	4**	0.295	9*	8**			
		1		0			1					
	Е	0.012	-	-	0.029	0.011	0.017	0.090	0.102	1.0		
		2	0.1319	0.057	5	9	4	7	0			
				5								
Fruit	Р	0.661	0.7679	0.787	0.432	-	0.568	0.591	0.783	0.004	1.0	
length		6**	**	5**	0**	0.001	2**	2**	7**	3		
						6						
	G	0.691	0.7902	0.819	0.460	-	0.610	0.609	0.797	0.003	1.0	
		8**	**	6**	8**	0.003	1**	0**	3**	6		
						4						
	Е	-	-	-	-	0.059	-	0.072	0.354	0.021	1.0	
		0.091	0.2676	0.274	0.235	0	0.040	6	3**	5		
		7		8	1		3					
Fruit	Р	-	-	-	0.101	0.658	-	-	0.156	0.819	-	1.0
breadth		0.480	0.4865	0.416	1	0**	0.326	0.003	9	5**	0.12	
		0		4			1	3			95	
	G	-	-	-	0.118	0.730	-	-	0.177	0.934	-	1.0
		0.528	0.5338	0.454	6	9**	0.413	0.003	3	7**	0.14	
		5		4			0	5			53	
	Е	-	-	-	-	0.012	0.245	-	-	0.048		1.0
		0.090	0.0208	0.088	0.038	3	7	0.001	0.039	0	0.06	
		0		9	9			5	2		19	

References:-

- 1. DArcy, W. G. 1991. The Solanaceae since 1976, with a review of its biogeography. Pp. 75-137 in Solanaceae III, eds. J. G. Haw kes, R. N. Lester, M. Nee, and N. Estrada. Kew: Royal Botanic Gardens and Linnean Society of London.
- 2. Hickey, L.J.1979 A revised classification of the architecture of dicotyledonous leaves ; *In anatomy of dicotyledons*, vol.1 (2nd Ed.); pp 25-39 eds C R Metcalfe & L.Chalk (Oxford, U.K.: Claredon Press)
- 3. Hunziker, A.T. 2001. Genera Solanacearum: The genera of Solanaceae Illustrated, Arranged According to a New Sys tem. Gantner, Ruggell (Liechtenstein).
- 4. Jain J.P .1982 . Statistical Techniques in Quantitative Genetics Tata McGraw Hill New Delhi
- 5. Panse V.G. & P.V. Sukhatma P.V. 1985. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers* Indian Council for Agricultural Research New Delhi
- 6. Saleem U., Khaliq I. Mahmood T. & M.Rafique . 2006 . Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients between yield and yield components in wheat *J Agric Res* 44 (1)
- 7. Singh R.K. and Chaudhary B.D. 1985. *Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis* Kalyan Publishers New Delhi
- 8. Snedecor C.W. and Cochran W.G. 1980. Statistical Methods 7th ed The Iowa State Univ Press
- 9. The Plant List, 2013. Version 1.1. Published on the Internet; http://www.theplantlist.org/ (accessed 1st January).