Journal Homepage: - www.journalijar.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCED RESEARCH (IJAR)

ISSN NO. 2320-5407

Article DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/19452
DOI URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.21474/IJAR01/19452

RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON NANOSCALE TOPOGRAPHIC MODIFICATION, MAGNETIC NANOPARTICLES, AND THEIR ROLES IN OSSEOINTEGRATION AND BONE TISSUE ENGINEERING

Dr. Santosh Y. Nelogi

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History
Received: 10 July 2024
Final Accepted: 14 August 2024
Published: September 2024

Abstract

Here, we will review together the roles of nanoscale modification of titanium substrates and use of magnetic nanoparticles in osseointegration and bone tissue engineering. The technological advances in nanotechnology that has been achieved create new measures to increase the implants-biological systems for an optimal osseointegration through improving important cell-specific functions. We systematically review nanoscale surface modification techniques of titanium implants, covering their physicochemical properties, influence on cellular behaviors and clinical relevances. The report also lengthy discusses the clinical applicability of magnetic nanoparticles for drug and gene delivery systems, targeted cancer therapy as well bone tissue engineering regulting their intrinsic superparamagnetism properites, biocompatibility with other therapies etc. We present detailed review of these technologies and their potential applications in clinical settings.

Introduction:-

1. An introductory statement

The discipline of biomedical engineering has witnessed the emergence of nanotechnology as a revolutionary force, notably in the domains of implantology and regenerative medicine1. For the purpose of improving the interface between biomedical equipment and biological tissues, the capacity to modify materials at the nanoscale has opened up new pathways of possibility. When viewed in this light, the surface qualities of implants and the utilisation of nanoparticles have emerged as crucial elements in determining the effectiveness of medical treatments, such as the regeneration of bone tissue and dental implants1,2.

The nanoscale topographic alteration of titanium substrates and the application of magnetic nanoparticles in bone tissue engineering are the two primary areas that are the focus of this review. Due to the fact that titanium and its alloys possess superior mechanical qualities and are biocompatible, they are frequently utilised in the field of orthopaedic and dental implants. On the other hand, improving their surface features in order to increase osseointegration, which is the direct structural and functional link between live bone and the surface of a load-bearing artificial implant, continues to be a considerable problem. It is possible for nanoscale surface alterations to have a considerable impact on cell behaviour, which can improve tissue integration and promote implant success. 5–7.

Magnetic nanoparticles, and iron oxide nanoparticles in particular, have garnered a lot of interest in recent years due to the several uses they offer in the field of biomedicine. Because of their one-of-a-kind magnetic qualities, they are

Corresponding Author:- Dr. Santosh Y. Nelogi

able to be controlled by magnetic fields from the outside, which makes them useful for a wide range of applications, such as hyperthermia treatment5, targeted drug administration, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In the field of bone tissue engineering, these nanoparticles have the potential to be utilised in order to direct the regeneration of bone tissue, hence presenting novel opportunities for the treatment of bone illnesses and abnormalities.

The purpose of this study is to offer a full overview of these technologies, including a discussion of their current status, possible uses, and the problems that need to be solved in order to bring these advances into clinical practice.

Within the Field of Bone Tissue Engineering, Magnetic Nanoparticles

Production of Magnetic Nanoparticles and Surface Modification of These Nanoparticles
The magnetic characteristics of magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs), particularly those consisting of iron oxide (Fe3O4), are exceptional, which makes them an excellent choice for a wide range of applications in the field of biomedicine. The synthesis of MNPs requires a number of different processes, such as co-precipitation, thermal decomposition, and microemulsion. Each of these approaches provides control over the size, shape, and magnetic characteristics of the nanoparticles6.

This is the most prevalent technique for synthesising iron oxide nanoparticles, and it involves the chemical reaction of iron salts in an alkaline media. Co-precipitation is the approach that is used the most frequently. The use of this approach makes it possible to produce nanoparticles on a massive scale while maintaining control over their size and content.

Thermal Decomposition:
This method provides improved control over the size of nanoparticles as well as their monodispersity as a characteristic. This process includes the breakdown of organometallic precursors in a solvent that boils at a high temperature in the presence of stabilising chemicals. The end product is nanoparticles that are very uniform and have magnetic characteristics that may be adjusted.

Microemulsion is a technique that includes the production of nanoparticles within the constricted spaces of micelles. This technique enables exact control over the size and shape of the particles. Nanoparticles with specialised surface characteristics may be produced by the process of microemulsion synthesis. These nanoparticles are vital for applications in the field of biomedicine.

In order to guarantee the biocompatibility and functionalisation of MNPs for particular applications, surface modification of these particles is very necessary. Some examples of common surface changes are:

Polymer Coating:
Polymers like polyethylene glycol (PEG) and poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) are frequently used to coat MNPs. These polymers offer a biocompatible surface that lessens the immunogenicity of the MNPs and increases the amount of time they spend in circulation in the bloodstream.

Silica Coating:
MNPs that have been coated with silica provide a flexible platform that may be further functionalised with biomolecules like antibodies or medicines. Along with providing stability, the silica coating also inhibits the nanoparticles from aggregating into larger particles.

Ligand Exchange:
This technique includes substituting the surface ligands of MNPs with compounds that impart certain functionality, such as targeting ligands or therapeutic molecules. This technique is also known as "ligand exchange." In the field of biomedicine, the process of ligand exchange enables the production of nanoparticles that possess several functions and features that may be tuned to specific uses.

In the field of bone regeneration, applications
A large amount of promise has been demonstrated by magnetic nanoparticles in the field of bone tissue engineering, notably in the area of directing the regeneration of bone tissue. Their capacity to react to magnetic fields from the outside world enables a number of novel ways, including the following:

Magnetic Targeting:
By utilising an external magnetic field, MNPs are able to be guided to particular locations within the body if they are targeted. With this feature, MNPs may be concentrated at the location of a bone defect, so boosting the localised release of growth factors or stem cells to accelerate bone healing. This skill is particularly important in the field of bone regeneration.It is 7-11.

Magnetic Stimulation:
Research has demonstrated that the application of a magnetic field to scaffolds that are loaded with mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) can stimulate the proliferation of MSCs and cause them to differentiate into osteoblasts throughout the process. Magnetic stimulation activates mechanotransduction pathways, which imitate the natural mechanical environment of bone tissue12-15. This result is attributable to the mechanotransduction pathways that are engaged by the stimulation.

Scaffold Integration:
MNPs may be inserted into scaffolds that are utilised in bone tissue creation. This allows them to provide structural support as well as magnetic responsiveness. These magnetically sensitive scaffolds have the potential to be utilised in the process of guiding the regeneration of intricate bone structures, which presents a promising method for the treatment of massive bone defects16-20.

Hyperthermia-Assisted Bone Regeneration:
The capacity of MNPs to create heat when subjected to an alternating magnetic field has been investigated for the purpose of hyperthermia-assisted bone regeneration. It is possible to employ this method to selectively heat and destroy tumour cells in bone cancer while simultaneously stimulating bone rebuilding in the healthy tissue that is around the affected area21-25.

Challenges and Prospective Courses of Action
There are a number of obstacles that need to be overcome before magnetic nanoparticles can be utilised in therapeutic settings, despite the fact that they have so much potential.

Biosafety:
There are still questions regarding the possible toxicity of MNPs as well as their long-term biocompatibility. Numerous studies have demonstrated that although MNPs are typically well tolerated, the accumulation of these particles in particular organs, such as the liver and the spleen, may provide potential dangers. It is necessary to do more research in order to get a comprehensive understanding of the behaviour of MNPs in vivo and to devise methods to reduce the likelihood of harmful consequences 26-29.

The efficiency of magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) in bone tissue engineering is dependent on their magnetic characteristics, which need to be carefully optimised for specific applications. This optimisation process is part of the process of optimising magnetic properties. The nanoparticles' size, shape, and magnetic susceptibility may all be controlled in this manner in order to accomplish the desired biological effects.30–35.

Overcoming Regulatory and Manufacturing problems In order to successfully implement MNP-based medicines in clinical settings, it will be necessary to resolve the problems that are associated with manufacturing and regulatory compliance. When it comes to medical equipment, this involves ensuring that manufacturing quality is constant, that scalability is maintained, and that regulatory criteria are adhered to.36–39.

The development of next-generation MNPs that have improved biocompatibility, multifunctionality, and qualities that can be tuned or altered should be the primary emphasis of future research. In addition, the combination of MNPs with other developing technologies, such as gene editing and sophisticated imaging techniques, has the potential to enable the development of new opportunities for personalised and precision medicine.

Nanoparticles with Multiple Functions for the Treatment of Cancer and the Delivery of Drugs

Targeted Drug Delivery
Polymeric micelles (PMs) and magnetic nanoparticles have recently emerged as potentially useful platforms for the delivery of drugs in a targeted manner, notably in the treatment of cancer. Through the process of concentrating

anticancer medications at the location of the tumour while minimising their exposure to healthy tissues, targeted drug delivery systems have the potential to increase the therapeutic index of these therapies.

Amphiphilic block copolymers are responsible for the formation of polymeric micelles (PMs), which are nanoscale structures that self-assemble independently. The micelles in question possess a hydrophobic core that is capable of encapsulating hydrophobic medications like paclitaxel, as well as a hydrophilic shell that improves the solubility of the micelles and the amount of time they spend in circulation. Through the increased permeability and retention (EPR) effect, PMs are able to passively target tumours. This occurs when PMs collect in tumour tissues as a result of the leaky vasculature.

Active Targeting:
PMs can be functionalised with ligands that recognise and bind to receptors that are overexpressed on cancer cells, such as folic acid or antibodies. This can further increase the targeting specificity of PMs. Through the utilisation of this active targeting strategy, the drug-loaded micelles are guaranteed to preferentially attach to and be internalised by cancer cells, hence enhancing the effectiveness of the treatment method.

Delivery in reaction to Stimuli:
PMs can also be engineered to release their drug payload in reaction to certain stimuli, such as pH, temperature, or enzyme activity. This type of delivery is known as stimulus-responsive delivery. For instance, in the acidic microenvironment of tumours, pH-sensitive PMs are able to release their encapsulated medications, which guarantees that the therapeutic agents are delivered directly to the location of the tumour.

Applications for the Treatment of Cancer
Considerable research has been conducted on magnetic nanoparticles in order to investigate their potential uses in the treatment of cancer, namely in the fields of magnetic hyperthermia and combination treatments.

Magnetic Hyperthermia Treatment (MHT):
MHT is a treatment that includes the utilisation of magnetic nanoparticles to create localised heat when they are subjected to an alternating magnetic field treatment. The heat that is generated has the potential to cause cancer cells to undergo apoptosis, which makes it an intriguing method for the treatment of solid tumours. It is possible to employ MHT either as a treatment on its own or in conjunction with other therapies, like as chemotherapy or radiation, in order to significantly boost the efficacy of those treatments.

Combination Therapy:
MNPs may be created to contain both chemotherapeutic drugs and magnetic characteristics, which enables a combination of hyperthermia and chemotherapy to be administered to patients. Increased sensitivity of cancer cells to therapy may be achieved by the utilisation of this dual method, which ultimately results in enhanced therapeutic outcomes. Furthermore, the magnetic characteristics of the nanoparticles make it possible for them to be utilised in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which enables real-time monitoring of the therapy.

Not only may MNPs be used for hyperthermia, but they can also be utilised for magnetic ablation, which is a technique that involves the application of a powerful magnetic field in order to cause physical damage to the tissues of the tumour. This method has demonstrated potential in preclinical tests for the treatment of tumours that are difficult to access, such as those that are located in the pancreas or the brain40-47.

Challenges and Prospective Courses of Action
The application of multifunctional nanoparticles in cancer treatment involves a number of obstacles, including the following:

Effectiveness of Targeting:
The complicated microenvironment of the tumour and the possibility that nanoparticles will be removed by the reticuloendothelial system (RES) continue to make it difficult to achieve high targeting efficiency. Incorporating stealth coatings, such as polyethylene glycol (PEG), to elude immune recognition is one of the strategies that may be utilised to increase targeting efficiency. Other strategies include optimising the size, shape, and surface features of nanoparticles.

Drug Resistance:
The development of drug resistance in cancer cells is a significant obstacle that must be overcome in the process of chemotherapy. Through the delivery of medications in conjunction with other therapeutic agents, such as siRNA or CRISPR-Cas9, multifunctional nanoparticles have the potential to assist in the removal of resistance. This is accomplished by concurrently targeting numerous pathways.

Clinical Translation:
In order to get multifunctional nanoparticles from the laboratory to the clinic, it is necessary to overcome regulatory barriers, ensure that they can be reproduced on a large scale, and undertake thorough clinical trials in order to confirm their safety and effectiveness.

The development of more effective targeting techniques, the investigation of novel combinations of therapeutic drugs, and the advancement of the clinical translation of multifunctional nanoparticles should be the primary focusses of study in the future. In addition, the combination of nanoparticles with new technologies, such as immunotherapy and personalised medicine, has the potential to result in cancer therapies that are more effective and more specifically customised to the patient's needs.

Conclusion:-
This paper examines the crucial roles of nanoscale alterations of titanium substrates and the use of magnetic nanoparticles in enhancing osseointegration and bone tissue engineering. The swift advancement in nanotechnology has created new opportunities for augmenting implant efficacy by strengthening essential cell-specific functionalities, resulting in improved integration between implants and biological systems. Nanoscale surface modification approaches for titanium implants significantly impact physicochemical characteristics, hence influencing cellular behaviours and enhancing osseointegration.

Furthermore, the application of magnetic nanoparticles has significant potential in therapeutic settings, especially in drug and gene delivery systems, targeted cancer therapy, and bone tissue engineering. Their inherent superparamagnetic characteristics and compatibility with various treatments render them exceptionally adaptable in improving therapeutic results. Although these developments possess significant potential, more research and clinical trials are essential to comprehensively evaluate and enhance their long-term usefulness in clinical environments. The incorporation of these nanotechnologies signifies a revolutionary method in bone tissue creation and implantology, potentially enhancing patient results substantially.

References:-
1.Bucak S, Yavuztürk B, Sezer AD. Magnetic nanoparticles: synthesis, surface modifications and application in drug delivery. Recent Advances in Novel Drug Carrier Systems. 2012 Oct 31;2:165-200.
2.Ling W, Wang M, Xiong C, Xie D, Chen Q, Chu X, Qiu X, Li Y, Xiao X. Synthesis, surface modification, and applications of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. Journal of Materials Research. 2019 Jun;34(11):1828-44.
3.Mahdavi M, Ahmad MB, Haron MJ, Namvar F, Nadi B, Rahman MZ, Amin J. Synthesis, surface modification and characterisation of biocompatible magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications. Molecules. 2013 Jun 27;18(7):7533-48.
4. Wang LS, Hong RY. Synthesis, surface modification and characterization of nanoparticles. Advances in nanocomposites—synthesis, characterization and industrial applications. 2011 Apr 19;19:289-323.
5. Jang JH, Lim HB. Characterization and analytical application of surface modified magnetic nanoparticles. Microchemical Journal. 2010 Mar 1;94(2):148-58.
6. Zhu N, Ji H, Yu P, Niu J, Farooq MU, Akram MW, Udego IO, Li H, Niu X. Surface modification of magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles. Nanomaterials. 2018 Oct 9;8(10):810.
7. Ribeiro TP, Flores M, Madureira S, Zanotto F, Monteiro FJ, Laranjeira MS. Magnetic bone tissue engineering: reviewing the effects of magnetic stimulation on bone regeneration and angiogenesis. Pharmaceutics. 2023 Mar 23;15(4):1045.
8. Xia Y, Sun J, Zhao L, Zhang F, Liang XJ, Guo Y, Weir MD, Reynolds MA, Gu N, Xu HH. Magnetic field and nano-scaffolds with stem cells to enhance bone regeneration. Biomaterials. 2018 Nov 1;183:151-70.
9 .Gu W, Wu C, Chen J, Xiao Y. Nanotechnology in the targeted drug delivery for bone diseases and bone regeneration. International journal of nanomedicine. 2013 Jun 25:2305-17.

10 .Li S, Wei C, Lv Y. Preparation and application of magnetic responsive materials in bone tissue engineering. Current Stem Cell Research & Therapy. 2020 Jul 1;15(5):428-40.
11. Sensenig R, Sapir Y, MacDonald C, Cohen S, Polyak B. Magnetic nanoparticle-based approaches to locally target therapy and enhance tissue regeneration in vivo. Nanomedicine. 2012 Sep 1;7(9):1425-42.
12. Ribeiro TP, Flores M, Madureira S, Zanotto F, Monteiro FJ, Laranjeira MS. Magnetic bone tissue engineering: reviewing the effects of magnetic stimulation on bone regeneration and angiogenesis. Pharmaceutics. 2023 Mar 23;15(4):1045.
13 Xia Y, Sun J, Zhao L, Zhang F, Liang XJ, Guo Y, Weir MD, Reynolds MA, Gu N, Xu HH. Magnetic field and nano-scaffolds with stem cells to enhance bone regeneration. Biomaterials. 2018 Nov 1;183:151-70.
14 Khalifeh JM, Zohny Z, MacEwan M, Stephen M, Johnston W, Gamble P, Zeng Y, Yan Y, Ray WZ. Electrical stimulation and bone healing: a review of current technology and clinical applications. IEEE reviews in biomedical engineering. 2018 Jan 30;11:217-32.
15. Shuai C, Yang W, He C, Peng S, Gao C, Yang Y, Qi F, Feng P. A magnetic micro-environment in scaffolds for stimulating bone regeneration. Materials & Design. 2020 Jan 5;185:108275.
16.Shuai C, Yang W, He C, Peng S, Gao C, Yang Y, Qi F, Feng P. A magnetic micro-environment in scaffolds for stimulating bone regeneration. Materials & Design. 2020 Jan 5;185:108275.
17. Zhao Y, Fan T, Chen J, Su J, Zhi X, Pan P, Zou L, Zhang Q. Magnetic bioinspired micro/nanostructured composite scaffold for bone regeneration. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces. 2019 Feb 1;174:70-9.
18. Hu S, Zhou Y, Zhao Y, Xu Y, Zhang F, Gu N, Ma J, Reynolds MA, Xia Y, Xu HH. Enhanced bone regeneration and visual monitoring via superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticle scaffold in rats. Journal of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. 2018 Apr;12(4):e2085-98.
19. Dasari A, Xue J, Deb S. Magnetic nanoparticles in bone tissue engineering. Nanomaterials. 2022 Feb 24;12(5):757.
20. Kim JJ, Singh RK, Seo SJ, Kim TH, Kim JH, Lee EJ, Kim HW. Magnetic scaffolds of polycaprolactone with functionalized magnetite nanoparticles: physicochemical, mechanical, and biological properties effective for bone regeneration. Rsc Advances. 2014;4(33):17325-36.
21.Agalya P, Kumar GS, Prabu KM, Cholan S, Karunakaran G, Hakami J, Shkir M, Ramalingam S. One-pot ultrasonic-assisted synthesis of magnetic hydroxyapatite nanoparticles using mussel shell biowaste with the aid of trisodium citrate. Ceramics International. 2022 Oct 1;48(19):28299-307.
22. Ardelean IL, Ficai D, Sonmez M, Oprea O, Nechifor G, Andronescu E, Ficai A, Titu MA. Hybrid magnetic nanostructures for cancer diagnosis and therapy. Anti-Cancer Agents in Medicinal Chemistry (Formerly Current Medicinal Chemistry-Anti-Cancer Agents). 2019 Jan 1;19(1):6-16.
23. Soares PI, Ferreira IM, Igreja RA, Novo CM, Borges JP. Application of hyperthermia for cancer treatment: recent patents review. InTopics in anti-cancer research 2014 Nov 28 (Vol. 3, pp. 342-383). Bentham Science Publishers USA.
24. Baig MS, Haque MA, Konatham TK, Mohammad BD, Yahya BA, Saffiruddin SS, Siddiqui FA, Khan SL. Recent Advancements in Hyperthermia-Driven Controlled Drug Delivery from Nanotherapeutics. Recent Advances in Drug Delivery and Formulation: Formerly Recent Patents on Drug Delivery & Formulation. 2022 Dec 1;16(4):270-86.
25. Khizar S, Elkalla E, Zine N, Jaffrezic-Renault N, Errachid A, Elaissari A. Magnetic nanoparticles: Multifunctional tool for cancer therapy. Expert opinion on drug delivery. 2023 Feb 1;20(2):189-204.
26.Malhotra N, Audira G, Chen JR, Siregar P, Hsu HS, Lee JS, Ger TR, Hsiao CD. Surface modification of magnetic nanoparticles by carbon-coating can increase its biosafety: evidences from biochemical and neurobehavioral tests in zebrafish. Molecules. 2020 May 11;25(9):2256.
27. Rascol E, Pisani C, Dorandeu C, Nyalosaso JL, Charnay C, Daurat M, Da Silva A, Devoisselle JM, Gaillard JC, Armengaud J, Prat O. Biosafety of mesoporous silica nanoparticles. Biomimetics. 2018 Aug 15;3(3):22.
28. Wang Z, Long Y, Fan J, Xiao C, Tong C, Guo C, Chen X, Liu B, Yang X. Biosafety and biocompatibility assessment of Prussian blue nanoparticles in vitro and in vivo. Nanomedicine. 2020 Sep;15(27):2655-70.
29. Su H, Song X, Li J, Iqbal MZ, Kenston SS, Li Z, Wu A, Ding M, Zhao J. Biosafety evaluation of Janus Fe3O4-TiO2 nanoparticles in Sprague Dawley rats after intravenous injection. International Journal of Nanomedicine. 2018 Oct 31:6987-7001.
30. Gonzalez-Fernandez MA, Torres TE, Andrés-Vergés M, Costo R, De La Presa P, Serna CJ, Morales MP, Marquina C, Ibarra MR, Goya GF. Magnetic nanoparticles for power absorption: Optimizing size, shape and magnetic properties. Journal of Solid State Chemistry. 2009 Oct 1;182(10):2779-84.
31. Kolhatkar AG, Jamison AC, Litvinov D, Willson RC, Lee TR. Tuning the magnetic properties of nanoparticles. International journal of molecular sciences. 2013 Jul 31;14(8):15977-6009.

32. Gazeau F, Lévy M, Wilhelm C. Optimizing magnetic nanoparticle design for nanothermotherapy.
33. Ghazanfari MR, Kashefi M, Jaafari MR. Optimizing and modeling of effective parameters on the structural and magnetic properties of Fe3O4 nanoparticles synthesized by coprecipitation technique using response surface methodology. Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials. 2016 Jul 1;409:134-42.
34. Parikh N, Parekh K. Technique to optimize magnetic response of gelatin coated magnetic nanoparticles. Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine. 2015 Jul;26(7):202.
35.Fuentes-García JA, Carvalho Alavarse A , Moreno Maldonado AC , Toro-Córdova A , Ibarra MR, Goya GF. Simple sonochemical method to optimize the heating efficiency of magnetic nanoparticles for magnetic fluid hyperthermia. ACS omega. 2020 Oct 7;5(41):26357-64.
36. Shah RB, Khan MA. Nanopharmaceuticals: challenges and regulatory perspective. Nanotechnology in Drug Delivery. 2009:621-46.
37. Türeli NG, Türeli AE. Good manufacturing practices (GMP) of magnetic nanoparticles. InClinical applications of magnetic nanoparticles 2018 Feb 6 (pp. 475-484). CRC Press.
38. Desai N. Challenges in development of nanoparticle-based therapeutics. The AAPS journal. 2012 Jun;14(2):282-95.
39. Sandoval B. Perspectives on FDA's regulation of nanotechnology: emerging challenges and potential solutions. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety. 2009 Oct;8(4):375-93.
40. Yallapu MM, Othman SF, Curtis ET, Gupta BK, Jaggi M, Chauhan SC. Multi-functional magnetic nanoparticles for magnetic resonance imaging and cancer therapy. Biomaterials. 2011 Mar 1;32(7):1890-905.
41. Tietze R, Zaloga J, Unterweger H, Lyer S, Friedrich RP, Janko C, Pöttler M, Dürr S, Alexiou C. Magnetic nanoparticle-based drug delivery for cancer therapy. Biochemical and biophysical research communications. 2015 Dec 18;468(3):463-70.
42. Li RN, Da XH, Li X, Lu YS, Gu FF, Liu Y. Functionalized magnetic nanoparticles for drug delivery in tumor therapy. Chinese Physics B. 2021;30(1):017502.
43. Huang J, Li Y, Orza A, Lu Q, Guo P, Wang L, Yang L, Mao H. Magnetic nanoparticle facilitated drug delivery for cancer therapy with targeted and image-guided approaches. Advanced functional materials. 2016 Jun;26(22):3818-36.
44. Aram E, Moeni M, Abedizadeh R, Sabour D, Sadeghi-Abandansari H, Gardy J, Hassanpour A. Smart and multi-functional magnetic nanoparticles for cancer treatment applications: clinical challenges and future prospects. Nanomaterials. 2022 Oct 12;12(20):3567.
45. Avval ZM, Malekpour L, Raeisi F, Babapoor A, Mousavi SM, Hashemi SA, Salari M. Introduction of magnetic and supermagnetic nanoparticles in new approach of targeting drug delivery and cancer therapy application. Drug metabolism reviews. 2020 Jan 2;52(1):157-84.
46. Aslam H, Shukrullah S, Naz MY, Fatima H, Hussain H, Ullah S, Assiri MA. Current and future perspectives of multifunctional magnetic nanoparticles based controlled drug delivery systems. Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology. 2022 Jan 1;67:102946.
47. Khizar S, Elkalla E, Zine N, Jaffrezic-Renault N, Errachid A, Elaissari A. Magnetic nanoparticles: Multifunctional tool for cancer therapy. Expert opinion on drug delivery. 2023 Feb 1;20(2):189-204.