

RESEARCH ARTICLE

IMPACT OF TRAINING PROGRAM ON BIOMEDICAL WASTE MANAGEMENT ON THE KNOWLEDGEOF HEALTH-CARE PROFESSIONALS AT A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL,HIMACHAL PRADESH

Dr. Priyanka Rao¹, Dr. Sunite A. Ganju², Dr. R.C Guleria³ and Dr. Lata R. Chandel⁴

- 1. Junior Resident, Department of Microbiology, Shri Lal Bhadur Shastri Government Medical College Mandi at Nerchowk.
- 2. Professor and Head Department of Microbiology, Shri Lal Bhadur Shastri Government Medical College Mandi at Nerchowk.
- 3. Associate Professor Department of Microbiology, Shri Lal Bhadur Shastri Government Medical College Mandi at Nerchowk.
- 4. Assistant Professor Department of Microbiology, Shri Lal Bhadur Shastri Government Medical College Mandi at Nerchowk.

Manuscrimt Info Abstract

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History Received: 05 June 2024 Final Accepted: 08 July 2024 Published: August 2024

Key words: -

Biomedical Waste, Health Care Worker, Amendments, Infection Control, Training Programme

Abstract

Background:Bio medical waste means any waste, generated during the diagnosis, treatment or immunisation of human beings or animals or in research or in health camps testing. The Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India, notified BMW management and handling rules on March 28,1998 under the Environment Protection Act, 1986.Therules were revised and published in 2016 and amendments were added in 2018 and 2019.

Material and Methods: A hospital-based study was conducted to assess the impact of trainings conducted by Department of Microbiology in improving knowledge about BMWM rules at a tertiary care government hospital from August 2022 to September 2023 over a period of one year. For each training, a structured questionnaire comprising of 25 questions was constructed and an individual pre- and post-test questionnaire wasadministered to participants before and after imparting the structured training.

Results: A total of 119 HCWs were imparted training. Out of all participants in the training program, 36.9% were staff nurses, 26% were safaikaramchari, 16.8% were doctors, 13.4% were ward attendants, 3.3% were ward sisters and 3.3% were OTAs. The pre-and post-test scores of different groups showed a statistically significant difference in knowledge regarding BMW rules. (P < 0.05).

Conclusion: We conclude that the training programs are very effective in enhancing the knowledge of participants regarding rules. So repeated training on BMWM is the only way ahead.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2024, All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author:-Dr. Priyanka Rao

Address:-Junior Resident, Department of Microbiology, Shri Lal Bhadur Shastri Government Medical College and Hospital Mandi at Nerchowk.

Introduction:-

"Biomedical waste" means any waste, generated during the diagnosis, treatment and immunization of human beings or animals or research or in health camptesting.¹ Taking cognizanceof the importance of biomedical waste management, the Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India, notified BMW management and handling rules on March 28,1998 under the Environment Protection Act1986, and has undergone amendments from time to time.²The Bio-medical waste management (BMWM) rules were revised and published in 2016 and amendments were added in 2018 and 2019,which are currently applicable. During COVID-19 in 2020,specific guidelines for management of waste generated during diagnostics and treatment of COVID-19 suspected or confirmed patientswere givenin addition to existing practices under the BMW Management Rules, 2016. These rulesdefine categories of various wastes, their modes ofcollection, storage, transportation, and final treatmentmodalitiesfor all BMWgenerating facilities.²

The hospital waste is categorized into hazardous and non-hazardous waste. About 85% of BMW is non-infectious and only 15% is infectious.³ Under the BMWM rules, occupier is the head of the biomedical wastegenerating facility and is liable to take all necessary steps to ensure that biomedical waste is handled without any adverse effect on human health or to the environment.

According to the 2022 annual report, the Central Pollution Control Board, India, has estimated that 3,93,939 health-care facilities in India have generated approximately 705tons biomedical waste per day. With newer diagnostic and treatment modalities, this volume has shown a rising trend. This enforced developers and regulators to focus on segregation, recycling, and waste minimization of BMW.^{1,4}

The BMWM (principle) rules, 2016, and BMWM (amendment) rules, 2018 and 2019includea major penalty to the defaulter. The biomedical waste management rules now follow cradle-to-grave strategy which includes all steps of waste generation, collection, storage, transportation, treatment, and final disposal (incineration, recycling).⁵

The knowledge about the BMW rules among thehospital staff is of paramount importance for the overalleffective functioning and appropriate disposal of waste to avoid any hazardous consequences. Lackof awareness for BMW rules and their updates may make the entireorganization responsible to be blamed for the implications of poor BMW handling and disposal. Induction and refresher trainings forawareness among the providers about various provisions of BMW rules will help in improving the BMWmanagement.

A nationwide survey that was conducted in 25 districts across twenty states by the International Clinical Epidemiology showed that improper pretreatment of BMW at source, improper terminal disposal and a lack of proper dedicated infrastructure are the major challenges in biomedical waste management. Numerous studies on the knowledgeBMWM and handling rules, 1998, exist, but studies on BMWM Rules, 2016, and BMWM (Amendment) Rules, 2018 and 2019, are scarce. Just teaching about the rules without assessing the depth of understanding can lead to a lot of hazards related to biomedical waste management.^{1,6,7,8}

With thisbackground, we conducted this operational research assess the improvement in knowledge among the hospital staff working in this hospital. The present study focused to see the impact of training of HCWs for BMWM in our tertiary care hospital.

Aimsandobjective:-

1.To assess the existing knowledge of BMW management rules among healthcare professionals

2.To evaluate the impact of a training program onBMW management on the knowledge of health-care workers (HCWs).

Material and Methods:-

Ahospital-based study was conducted to assess the impact of trainings conducted by theDepartment of Microbiology in improving knowledgeabout BMWM rules at a tertiary care government hospital from August 2022 to September 2023over a period of one year. The ethical approval was given by the ethical committee vide letter number HFW(H)/SLBSGMC/IEC/2018-145. A total of five trainings were conducted with a batch size of twenty-five each.

For each training, a structured questionnaire comprising 25 different questions wasconstructed in the English language. For sanitation workers, a customised questionnaire in Hindi was made. Apre- and post-test questionnaire was administered to each participant before and after imparting the structured training.

Questionnaire validation: A selfadministered, pre-tested, and structured questionnaire with close-ended multiplechoice questions on BMWM was prepared and circulated to the HCWs at the beginning of the training. The questionnaire was designed with novel elements adapted from literature and with assistance from peer experts. It was validated through a pilot study.⁹To ensure better comprehension of the questions, a mock pilot test was conducted with five participants from each group of HCWs. The questions were kept simple, clear, and straightforward, avoiding any leading questions to prevent bias.

The HCWs, including doctors, nursing personnel and sanitation workers, participated in this training program. Audiovisual aids, game cards, question-answer sessions and skill-based learning techniqueswere used to make it more informative. After completion of training, post-test questionnairewas given, and both pre- and post-tests wereanalyzed. A correct response was given a score of one, and each wrong answer was scored as zero.

Statistical-analysis

Statistical analysis was done by calculating the overall mean score difference of pre- and post-test, and categorywise subgroup analysis was done to see the gain and impact of training.

Results:-

A total of 119 HCWs received training. Out of these participants, 100(84.03%)were females and 19(15.9%)were males.

Tusici, Conder (160 distribution of Dirit) training participants				
Gender	Number	Percentage		
Male	19	15.97%		
Female	100	84.03%		
Total	119	100%		

Table1:- Gender wise distribution of BMW training participants

Tuble 2. - Distribution of participants among unreference in evol.				
Designation	Number	Percentage		
Doctors	20	16.8%		
Ward sisters	04	3.3%		
Staff sisters	44	36.9%		
Safai karamchari	31	26%		
OTA	04	3.3%		
Ward attendants	16	13.4%		

Table 2:- Distribution of participants among different HCWs.

Out of all participants in the training program, 44(36.9%) were staff nurses, 31(26%), were safaikaramchari, 20(16.8%) were doctors, 16(13.4%) were ward attendants, 4(3.3%) were ward sisters and 4(3.3%) were OTAsas depicted in Table-2, Fig-1

Table 3:- Categories wise pre-test and post- test mean score.

Designation	Pre- test average score	Post -test average score	Average Gain
	Maximum score-25	Maximum score- 25	
Doctors	16.80	22.70	+ 5.73
Ward sisters	11.5	16.7	+5.08
Staff nurses	13.4	20.2	+6.66
Ward attendants	23.6	24.1	+0.26
OTA	13.5	22.00	+8.36
Safaikaramchari	22.03	23.96	+1.70





Fig 2:- Categories wise pre-test and post- test mean score.



The comparison between the pre-and post-test mean scores shows that there is an average gain score of +5.73, +5.08, +6.66, 0.26, +8.36 and +1.70 among doctors, ward sisters, staff nurses, ward attendants, OTAs andsfaikaramchari, respectively.

It is shown in Table-3, Fig-2

Groups	P value
Doctors, n=20	<0.0001**
Ward sisters, n=4	0.0837
Staff nurses, n=44	<0.0001**
OTA,n=4	0.0130*
Ward attendants, n=16	0.2031
Sfaikaramchari, n=31	0.0003**

Table 4:- Comparison of knowledge among pre – test and post-test groups.

Table 4: The paired t-test is applied to the pre- and post-test scores of different groups and the difference in knowledge regarding BMW rules among pre and post-test groups is found to be statistically significant (P < 0.05). On designation wise analysis, it is found that the doctors, staff nurses, safaikaramchari and OTAs have significant P value.

Discussion:-

All the healthcare professionals must understand the BMW rules, 2016 and the amendments made by the government from time to time. In our study, the difference in mean knowledge score regarding BMW rules between pre- and post-test was found to be statistically significant (P < 0.05) on the paired t-test analysis. The substantial improvement in the score can be attributed to the training program administered to the participants and is evident between pre- and post-test scores regarding BMWM rules. The training program improved the knowledge of healthcare professionals. The findings are comparable to those of other studies.¹⁰In other studies, the mean difference between the post- and pre-test knowledge scores regarding BMW management was also found to be statistically significant and show similar results, i.e., significant improvement inknowledge about different aspects of BMW handling.¹¹ Also, the experimental study conducted by Veera M. and Sai K reports that there is improvement in practices related to BMWM after the implementation of the training program. Their findings are in congruence with the present study. In our study in the group of ward attendants the P value is not significant the reason being that they are routinely engaged with biomedical waste management work and also tothe regular onsite training given by the department of Microbiology during weekly infection control rounds has helped them to improve their knowledge and attitude.

Conclusion:-

We conclude that the training programs very effective in increasing the knowledge of participants regarding rules. Repeated and comprehensive training in both vertical and horizontal modes on BMWM is the only way forward for the better implementation of BMWM rules. Compulsory regular training programs and regular assessments should be included in the yearly performance assessment of all HCWs to increase compliance.

Bibliography:-

1. Parida A, Capoor MR, Bhowmik KT. Knowledge, attitude, and practices of Bio-medical Waste Management rules, 2016; Bio-medical Waste Management (amendment) rules, 2018; and Solid Waste Rules, 2016, among health-care workers in a tertiary care setup. J Lab Physicians. 2019 Oct;11(04):292–6.

2. Mathur V, Hassan M, Dwivedi S, Misra R. Knowledge, attitude, and practices about biomedical waste management among healthcare personnel: A cross-sectional study. Indian J Community Med. 2011;36(2):143.

3. Prüss A, Emmanuel J, Stringer R, Pieper U, Townend W, Wilburn S, et al. Safe management of wastes from health-care activities / edited by A. Prüss [et al] [Internet]. 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 [cited 2024 Aug 1]. Available from: https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/85349

4. Patil GV, Pokhrel K. Biomedical solid waste management in an Indian hospital: a case study. Waste Manag. 2005 Jan;25(6):592–9.

5. Capoor MR, Bhowmik KT. Current perspectives on biomedical waste management: Rules, conventions and treatment technologies. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2017;35(2):157–64.

6. Nema A, Pathak A, Bajaj P, Singh H, Kumar S. A case study: biomedical waste management practices at city hospital in Himachal Pradesh. Waste Manag Res J Sustain Circ Econ. 2011 Jun;29(6):669–73.

7. Anand P, Jain R, Dhyani A. Knowledge, attitude and practice of biomedical waste management among health care personnel in a teaching institution in Haryana, India. Int J Res Med Sci. 2016;4246–50.

8. Berad A, Jagirdar P, Yadavannavar M. Biomedical waste management: A study of knowledge, attitude, and practices in a tertiary health care institution in Bijapur. Indian J Community Med. 2010;35(1):170.

9. Sri ESK, Vaithy KA, Shanmugasamy K, Srinivasan S. Analysis of cognizance and practices of biomedical waste management principle rules among health professional workers in a teaching hospital with special emphasis on COVID-19 pandemic: A critical appraisal on the current state and way forward. J Educ Health Promot. 2023;12:136.

10. Singh S, Dhillon B, Shrivastava NityanandAK, Kumar B, Bhattacharya S. Effectiveness of a training program about bio-medical waste management on the knowledge and practices of health-care professionals at a tertiary care teaching institute of North India. J Educ Health Promot. 2020;9(1):127.

11. Sankhala N, Saxena D, Bankwar V. Effect of training among health care workers on change in knowledge regarding biomedical waste management according to new rules of 2016. Int J Med Sci Public Health. 2018;7(11):831.