

RESEARCH ARTICLE

HIGH DOSE RATE BRACHYTHERAPY IN THE TREATMENT OF OPERATED ENDOMETRIAL CANCERS: ABOUT 60 CASES

H. Fares, M. Taouchikht, S. Abdou, A. Lachgar, K. Nouni, H. El Kacemi, T. Kebdani and K. Hassouni Radiotherapy Department, National Institute of Oncology, Rabat, Morocco.

Manuscript Info

Manuscript History

Published: July 2024

Key words:-

Cancer, Toxicity

Received: 05 May 2024

.....

Final Accepted: 09 June 2024

Brachytherapy, Dosimetry, Endometrial

Abstract

Introduction:Endometrial cancer is the third gyneco-mammary cancer in the radiotherapy-brachytherapy department of the RABAT national oncology institute.Brachytherapy has an important place in the therapeutic strategy.

The objective of the study: Is to analyze the coverage of target volumes as well as the dose to organs at risk with three-dimensional dosimetric planning and toxicity assessment.

Material and methods:This is a retrospective study of 60 cases of operated endometrial cancers treated by high dose rate brachytherapy without external radiotherapy or chemotherapy collected in the radiotherapy department of the National Institute of Oncology RABAT between January 2018 and December 2020. A dosimetric scan without injection was performed at the first session and was used to delineate the clinical anatomic target volume (CTV). We evaluated the D100 of the clinical target volume and the D2 cm3 of the bladder, rectum and small intestine. We also analyzed acute genitourinary and gastrointestinal toxicity.

Results: The average age of the patients was 49 years. Endometrioid carcinoma accounted for 98.5%, with one case of mucinous carcinoma. All patients underwent surgery. The brachytherapy protocol used was 3×7 Gy (75%) or 4×5.5 Gy (17%) in weekly sessions; A single patient received a reduced total dose of 19.8 Gy in 3 fractions of 5.5 Gy due to a high rectal dose. The average target volume coverage (D100) was 96.50%. The average D2 cm3 per session received by the bladder, rectum and small intestine were 4.06 Gy, 4.9 Gy and 2.8 Gy, respectively. Regarding genitourinary toxicity, we noted two grade 1 cystitis, one grade 2, two grade 1 mucositis and one grade 2. For gastrointestinal toxicity, no cases of grade greater than 1 were noted. No grade 3 or 4 toxicities were recorded.

Conclusion:High dose rate brachytherapy has an important place in the therapeutic strategy for endometrial cancer. The very low toxicity recalls the good tolerance of this treatment whichapplies to the entire population.

Copy Right, IJAR, 2024,. All rights reserved.

.....

Corresponding Author:-H. Fares Address:-Radiotherapy Department, National Institute of Oncology, Rabat, Morocco.

Introduction:-

Endometrial cancers are the third most common tumor site in women.

The positive diagnosis is based on the histological study of an endometrial biopsy or the staged curettage product.

CT or MRI evaluates penetration into the myometrium, towards the isthmus and the cervix and looks for ectopic lesions and iliac or lumbo-aortic lymphadenopathy.

The main treatment remains total hysterectomy with bilateral adnexectomy and bilateral iliac subvenous lymph node sampling. However, after surgery alone, the locoregional recurrence rate is 4 to 20%, reduced from 0 to 5% after postoperative brachytherapy of the vaginal fundus. Postoperative brachytherapy is most often carried out on an outpatient basis using three or four fractions, at a high dose rate.

Our study aims to analyze the coverage of target volumes as well as the dose to organs at risk with threedimensional dosimetric planning and toxicity assessment.

Materials and Methods:-

The patients:

Patients were identified using medical records

Computerized, between January 2018 and December 2020 within the radiotherapy department of the National Institute of Oncology Rabat.

All patients underwent primary surgery (hysterectomytotal colpohysterectomy with or without lymph node dissection) and without external radiotherapy or chemotherapy and were treated with high dose rate brachytherapy.

Planning technique:

Before the first HDR-VB procedure, dosimetric and localization planning was undertaken. Patients underwent a pelvic examination to assess the integrity of the vaginal cuff and to look for signs of residual or recurrent disease.

The brachytherapy device was a standard applicator placed at each session after gynecological examination (figure 1). A dosimetric scan without injection was performed at the first session and was used to delineate the anatomoclinical target volume (CTV) equal to the vaginal mucosa over a height ranging from the upper third to the upper two thirds of the vagina and the organs at risk (rectum, bladder, small intestine). We evaluated the D100 (dose receiving 100% of the prescribed dose) of the clinical target volume and the D2 cm3 (dose in 2 cm3 of the volume) of the bladder, rectum and small intestine.



Figure 1:-Brachytherapy room.

Results :-

Patient and tumor characteristics are described in Table (1). The average age of the patients was 49 years; the majority of patients included in this study were pathological stage I (85%), 15% of patients were stage II.

Among patients with stage I disease, 88% were atstage IA and 12% at stage IB. 45% of patients had grade 1 disease, 35% of patients had grade 2 disease, and 20% of patients had grade 3 tumors

Endometrioid carcinoma accounted for 98.5%, with one case of mucinous carcinoma. All patients underwent surgery

Table 1:-Patient characteristics (N=60).			
Clinical data	%		
Middle age	49		
STADIUM			
Ι	85		
Π	15		
Grade			
1	45		
2	35		
3	20		
Histological type			
Endometrioid carcinoma	98.5		
mucinous carcinoma	1.5		

The brachytherapy protocol used (figure 2) was 3×7 Gy (75%) or 4×5.5 Gy (17%) in weekly sessions; A single patient received a reduced total dose of 19.8 Gy in 3 fractions of 5.5 Gy due to a high rectal dose.



Figure 2:- Brachytherapyprotocolsused.

The average target volume coverage (D100) was 96.50%.

The average D2 cm3 per session received (Table 2) by the bladder, rectum and small intestine were 4.06 Gy, 4.9 Gy and 2.8 Gy, respectively.

Table 2:- The average D2 cm3 per session received by the organs at risk.

Organs at risk	D2 cm3 /session
Bladder	4.06 Gy
Rectum	2.9Gy
Small intestine	2.8Gy

No cases of significant bladder toxicity have been reported for the group of patients analyzed. The rate of acute urinary reactions (<90 daysfrom start of RT) grades 1 and 2 was 14% and 2%, respectively. The rate of lateurinary reactions(>90 daysafter RT) grades 1 and 2 were 7% and 3%, respectively . Urinary reactionsmost commonly included dysuria, as well as urinary frequency and tract infection surinary, which may be due to insertion of the bladder catheter.

No grade 3 or greater urinary toxicity was observed.

There have been no reports of significant rectal toxicity in ourstudy population (Table 3).

Table 3:-Assessment of brachytherapy toxicity.

Toxicities	%
Urinary	
Acute grade 1	14
Grade 2	2
Late grade 1	7
Grade 2	3
Gastrointestinal	_

The 3-year overall survival rates were 95%. Of the 60 patients included in this study, there were a total of 4 failures (5%). Tworecurrenceslocoregionallocalizations developed mainly at the lymph node level and 2 patients presented secondary localizations at the pulmonary level. There was no recurrence at the vaginal cuff.

Discussion:-

Endometrial cancer is the most common gynecological cancer in developed countries. In 2019, 63,000 new cases were diagnosed worldwide (1).

The overall prognosis is favorable because more than 80% of these cancers are diagnosed at an early stage.

It is a cancer preferentially affecting women after menopause because in nine out of ten cases, it occurs in a patient over 50 years old (2). Philippe and Charpin place the average age between 59-60 years (3). Other studies reveal an average age of 57.5 years, (4).

It is a hormone-dependent cancer and the main "environmental" risk factors correspond to situations of endogenous and exogenous hyperestrogenism (estrogen-progestin contraception, hormonal treatment for menopause) (5).

Other demonstrated risk factors for endometrial cancer include being overweight, diabetes, and tamoxifen treatment (5).

Genetic factors can also play a role in the genesis of endometrial cancers. They are dominated by Lynch syndrome (5).

Metrorrhagia is present in 75 to 90% at initial clinical presentation. When they occur in postmenopausal patients, they are associated with endometrial cancer in 3 to 20% of cases (6).

The diagnosis of endometrial cancer remains based on the pathological analysis of material obtained during an endometrial biopsy. According to the new European recommendations, histological types are now grouped into endometrioid or non-endometroid (serous, clear cell, carcinosarcoma), rather than type 1 and type 2.

We also note the change in the grade which is now qualified as low grade (former grade 1-2) or high grade (former grade 3) (7).

The general pre-therapeutic assessment must include family history, assessment of comorbidities with geriatric assessment if indicated, and physical examination including a pelvic examination.

The imaging assessment should include an endovaginal or endorectal pelvic ultrasound or a pelvic MRI to determine the depth of myometrial invasion and whether or not the cervical stroma is affected.

Depending on the clinical findings and the pathological analysis, other imaging examinations (thoraco-abdominopelvic CT, MRI, PET scanner, ultrasound) may be requested to assess ovarian, lymph node involvement, or another metastatic location.

In addition to its crucial role in staging, surgery represents the cornerstone of curative treatment of endometrial cancer. Hysterectomy with bilateral adnexectomy is the standard surgical procedure for endometrial cancer.

The new definition of groups at risk of recurrence currently includes molecular classification if analyzes are available (7). p53abn identifies a high-risk group regardless of stage (except stage IA), histology and grade [IV,B]. POLE mut is associated with an excellent prognosis and adjuvant treatmentcouldbeavoided in stages I-II of the disease (8). In the absence of a molecularclassification, the group exposed to lowriskisdefined as havingendometrioidadenocarcinoma of pathological stage IA, limited to the endometrium or invadinglessthan 50% of the myometrium, grades 1 and 2 withembolisms. vascularsystems absent or focal.

There is no change in adjuvant treatment compared to the 2016 recommendations. Therapeutic abstention remains remains recommended (7). The group exposed to intermediaterisk includes patients with Stage IB low-grade endometrioid denocarcinoma, absent or focal emboli; Stage IA high-grade endometrioid, absent or focal emboli; Non-endometroid stage IA without myometrial infiltration. As in 2016, vaginal brachytherapy remains recommended. Therapeutic abstention can be discussed for patients under the age of 60 (7). The brachytherapy protocol used in our studywas 3×7 Gy (75%); then in other studies the protocol used is 5×6 Gy with good local control and minimal acute and chronic toxicity (9). In our study, 60 woment reated with HDR-VB who presented a good local control with low rates of acute or lateurinary toxicity.

These results are similar to other reports in the literature (Table 4)

Authors	year	NOT	Protocol	Dose rate	Median follow- up (months)	Loco- Regional control	Vaginal relapses	Grade 3 and 4 late complications (%)
Anderson et al [10].	2000	102	3×5Gy	HDD	49	97%	1%	0
Atahan et al [11]	2008	128	5×5.5Gy	HDD	48	98%	0.8%	0
Nount et al [12]	2010	213	3×7Gy	HDH	45	96%	1.8%	2 of grade 3
Sorbe et al [13]	2012	263	Variable	HDD	62	95%	2.8%	1.6%(grade3)

Table 4:-	Results of	f posto	perative	vaginal	brachythe	erapy.
I able II	results o	poblo	perative	, aginai	oracingtine	nup j.

N: effective; HDD: high dose rate

Conclusion:-

High dose rate brachytherapy has an important place in the therapeutic strategy of endometrial cancer. It allows an improvement in the vaginal local control rate.

References:-

- 1. Mills KA, Lopez H, Sun L, Cripe JC, Litz T, ThakerPH, et al. endometrial cancers with minimal, noninvasive residual disease on final pathology: whatshouldwe do next? Gynecol Oncol Rep 2019;29:20-4
- Querleu D, Planchamp F, Narducci F, Morice P, Joly F, Genestie C, et al. National Cancer Institute; French Society of Gynecological Oncology. Clinical practice guidelines for the management of patients withendometrial cancer in France: recommendations of the Institut National du Cancer and the Société Française d'Oncologie Gynecologique. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2011;21(5):945–50
- 3. Philippe E, Charpin C (2015) Precancerous Lesions and Carcinomas of the Endometrium. In: Endometrial Cancers. S. Karger AG, pp 45–
- 4. Collinet P, Poncelet É, Vinatier D (2008) Endometrial cancer. J GynecolObstet Biol la Reprod 37:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0368-2315(08)75574-1
- 5. Claire Sénéchal Genetic and environmental risk factors for endometrial cancers Bull Cancer 2015; 102:256–269
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin, clinical management guidelines for obstetrician gynecologists, number 65, August 2005: management of endometrial cancer. ObstetGynecol2005; 106:413
- 7. H. Aza is What is new for the management of endometrial cancer ? Update on the 2021 EuropeanrecommendationsGynecologyObstetricsFertility&Senology 49 (2021) 691–697
- 8. A. Oaknin et al. Endometrial cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guideline for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of oncology Volume 33 -Issue 9 2022
- 9. Toxicity and effectiveness after adjuvant vaginal brachytherapyusing 30 Gy in 6 fractions for stages I and II endometrial cancer Jessica D. Arden, MD, PhD,a,1Jonathan Dokter, BS,b,1
- 10. Muayad F. Almahariq, MD, PhD, Kimberly Marvin, BS, Sirisha R. Nandalur, MD, Zaid Al-Wahab, MD, Jill Gadzinski, MD, Barry Rosen, MD, Maha Saada Jawad, MD,*
- 11. Anderson JM, Stea B, Hallum AV, Rogoff E, Childers J. High-dose-rate postoperative vaginal cuff irradiation alone for stage IB and IC endometrial cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2000;46:417–25
- 12. Atahan IL, Ozyar E, Yildiz F, Ozyigit G, Genc M, Ulger S, et al. Vaginal highdose rate brachytherapy alone in patients withintermediate- to high-riskstage I endometrial carcinoma after radical surgery. Int J Gynecol Cancer2008;18:1294–9
- 13. Nout RA, Smit VT, Putter H, Jurgenliemk-Schulz IM, Jobsen JJ, Lutgens LC, et al. Vaginal brachytherapy versus pelvicexternalbeamradiotherapy for patients withendometrial cancer of high-intermediaterisk (PORTEC-2): an open-label,
- 14. non-inferSorbe B, Horvath G, Andersson H, Boman K, Lundgren C, Pettersson B. External pelvic and vaginal irradiation versus vaginal irradiation alone as postoperative therapy in medium-risk endometrial carcinoma a prospective randomized study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012;82:1249–55iority, randomized trial. Lancet 2010;375:816–23.