



**Formulas of the Progression Elements Determinant:**

The subject of this paper confounds two topics: determinants and progressions, so we need to formation formulas and symbols on different PEDs. Consider that  $n \times n$  is order of PED,  $N$  is the terms number of the progression (elements number of PED), such that  $N = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and  $N, k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  are finite numbers; so one can adopt the following formulas:

i) The PED with Arithmetic Progression denoted by  $PED_{(A.P.)}$ , so its general form given by:

$$|PED_{(A.P.)_{n \times n}}| = |s + (N - 1)d| \dots \dots (1)$$

Such that  $s$  the first element of the PDF, i.e. the first term of (A.P.), and  $d \in R$  is the Common Difference.

ii) The PED with Geometric Progression denoted by  $PED_{(G.P.)}$ , so its general form given by:

$$|PED_{(G.P.)_{n \times n}}| = |s \cdot r^{N-1}| \dots \dots (2)$$

Such that  $s$  the first element of the PDF, i.e. the first term of (G.P.), and  $r \in R$  is the Common Ratio.

iii) The value of any PED given by  $D | PED |$ .

iv) And for any PED:

$$N = n^2 \dots \dots (3)$$

**The Row (Column) Progressions**

Let  $[PED]$  be a square matrix,  $[PED]^t$  its transpose, then:

$$D | PED | = D | PED |^t \dots \dots (4)$$

Certainly, that obtaining of the  $|PED|^t$  will change the fashion progression terms by interchange rows and the corresponding columns. This change causes the progression to be cut off, Thus progressions are created in each row or in each column, which are not interrelated, but the common deference ( $d_{common}$ ) or common ratio( $r_{common}$ ), will keep its value. So we can indicate to this kind of PED by:

$$PED_{row(column)} \dots \dots (5)$$

That is tell us the progressions are separated i.e. they not consist from its first element  $a_{11}$  until its last element  $a_{nn}$ . The formula (4) is a generalization of the following two formulas:

$$PED_{row} \dots \dots (6),$$

with  $d_{common}$  or with  $r_{common}$  for every row in PED. And

$$PED_{column} \dots \dots (7),$$

with  $d_{common}$ , or with  $r_{common}$  for every column in PED.

The  $PED_{row(column)}$  may be  $|PED|^t$  or not, so the regularity of the all determinant elements without cutting the gradation is not necessary condition to construct a PED. To distinguish the two types of progressions that are mentioned in formulas (6) and (7), we called them: *row progressions*, and *column progressions*, respectively. One can refer to them in more detail as in the following formulas:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} PED_{row(column)(A.P.)} \\ PED_{row(column)(G.P.)} \end{array} \right\} \dots \dots (8)$$

**Definition:**

The *Row Progressions* are collection of progressions elements determinant, each one of them exists in a row of the determinant with common deference (ratio). However, the deference (ratio) between last term of  $row_i$  progression and first term of the next progression  $row_{i+1}$  is not same.

The terms of the Row Progressions are given by:  $row_1$  progression,  $row_2$  progression, ...,  $row_n$  progression. So, the elements of  $PED_{row(A.P.)}$  given by:

$$PED_{row(A.P.)} = s_{i1} + (N - 1)d \dots \dots (9)$$

Since  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .  $n$  is order of the determinant.

In addition, the elements of  $PED_{row(G.P.)}$  denoted by:

$$PED_{row(G.P.)} = s_{i1} r^{N-1} \dots \dots (10)$$

**Definition:**

The column Progressions are collection of progressions elements determinant, each one of them exists in a column of the determinant with common deference (ratio). However, the deference (ratio) between last term of column<sub>1</sub> progression and first term of the next progression column<sub>i+1</sub> progression is not same. The terms of the Row Progressions are given by: *column<sub>1</sub> progressions, column<sub>2</sub> progressions, ..., column<sub>n</sub> progressions.*

So, the elements of  $PED_{column(A.P.)}$  denoted by:

$$PED_{column(A.P.)} = s_{1j} + (N - 1)d \dots\dots (11)$$

Since  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  is number of column,  $n$  is order of the determinant.

In addition, the elements of  $PED_{column(G.P.)}$  are denoted by:

$$PED_{column(G.P.)} = s_{1j}r^{N-1} \dots\dots (12)$$

Since  $i$  and  $j$  are as in the formulas (9), (10), and(11).

**Evaluation Of Progressive Elements Determinant**

Because of the different types of  $PED$ , the rules are needed to evaluate them, and covering these types.

**Rule**

If the order of  $PED$  is  $n = 2$  then:

i)  $D \left| PED_{(A.P.)_{2 \times 2}} \right| = -2d^2, d \in R$  is the common difference.

ii)  $D \left| PED_{(G.P.)_{2 \times 2}} \right| = 0.$

*Proof:* i) if  $N$  is increasing:

$$\begin{aligned} D \left| PED_{(A.P.)_{2 \times 2}} \right| &= D |s + (N - 1)d| \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} s & s + d \\ s + 2d & s + 3d \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -2d^2. \end{aligned}$$

and if  $N$  is decreasing, it is clear that:

$$\begin{aligned} D \left| PED_{(A.P.)_{2 \times 2}} \right| &= D |s + (N - 1)d| \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} s + 3d & s + 2d \\ s + d & s \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -2d^2 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:* ii) if  $N$  is increasing:

$$D \left| PED_{(G.P.)_{2 \times 2}} \right| = D |s \cdot r^{N-1}| = \begin{vmatrix} s & sr \\ sr^2 & sr^3 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

and if  $N$  is decreasing, it is clear that:

$$D \left| PED_{(G.P.)_{2 \times 2}} \right| = D |s \cdot r^{N-1}| = \begin{vmatrix} sr^3 & sr^2 \\ sr & s \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

**Rule**

If the order of  $PED$  is  $n \geq 3$ ,  $n$  finite set, then the  $PED_{(G.P.)}$  or  $PED_{(A.P.)}$  equal to, zero.

*Proof:* The Laplace Expansion for any determinant is given by the following formula:

$det(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} a_{ik} A_{ik} (-1)^{i+j} a_{ik} A_{ik}, 1 \leq i \leq n$  [5],[6]. Depending on this formula can be written as the expansion of  $PED_{(A.P.)}$  as follows:

$$D |s + (N - 1)d| = (-1)^{i+j} [s + (n - 1)d] |s + (N - 1)d|_{(i-1) \times (k-1)} \dots (13)$$

And the expansion of  $PED_{(G.P.)}$  as follows:

$$D |s \cdot r^{n-1}| = (-1)^{i+k} (s \cdot r^{n-1}) |s \cdot r^{n-1}|_{(i-1) \times (k-1)} \dots (14)$$

Such that  $s$  is the first element in  $PED_{(G.P.)}$  and in  $PED_{(A.P.)}$ .

Given that  $n \geq 3$  for order of  $PED$  require using the *mathematical induction* to prove this rule.

a) To prove that  $D \left| PED_{(A.P.)_{n \times n}} \right| = 0, n \geq 3$ , by using first row to find expansion of the determinant:

Step 1.  $n = 3$ , then:

$$D \left| PED_{(A.P.)_{3 \times 3}} \right| = \begin{vmatrix} s & s + d & s + 2d \\ s + 3d & s + 4d & s + 5d \\ s + 6d & s + 7d & s + 8d \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -6sd^2 + 6sd^2 + 6d^2 - 6d^2 = 0$$

Step2.  $n > 3, n < k$ , are finite sets, then:

$$D | PED_{(A.P.)_{n>3}} | = [s + (1 - 1)d](-1)^{1+1}|s + (N - 1)d|_{1 \times (n-1)} + [s + (2 - 1)d](-1)^{1+2}|s + (N - 1)d|_{(n-1) \times (n-2)} + \dots + [s + (N - 2)d](-1)^{1+(k+2)}|s + (N - 1)d|_{1 \times (k-2)} + [s + \{N - (k - 1)\}(-1)^{1+(k-1)}|s + (N - 1)d|_{1 \times (k-1)} + \dots + [s + (N - 1)d](-1)^{1+k}|s + (N - 1)d|_{1 \times k} = 0$$

So,  $PED_{(A.P.)} = 0$  for the order  $n \geq 3$ .

By the same way can prove the rule (3.3) when  $N$  is decreasing.

b) To prove that  $D | PED_{(G.P.)_{n \times n}} | = 0, n \geq 3$ , by using first row to find expansion of the determinant:

Step1.  $n = 3$ , then:

$$D | PED_{(G.P.)_{3 \times 3}} | = D |s \cdot r^{n-1}| = s[sr^4 \cdot sr^8 - sr^5 \cdot sr^7] - sr[sr^3 \cdot sr^8 - sr^5 \cdot sr^6] + sr^2[sr^3 \cdot sr^7 - sr^4 \cdot sr^6] = 0$$

Step2.  $n > 3, n < k$ , are finite sets, then:

$$D | PED_{(G.P.)_{n>3}} | = D |s \cdot r^{N-1}| = sr^{1-1}(-1)^{1+1}|s \cdot r^{n-1}|_{1 \times (n-1)} + sr^{2-1}(-1)^{1+2}|s \cdot r^{n-1}|_{1 \times (n-2)} + \dots + sr^k(-1)^{1+k}|s \cdot r^{n-1}|_{1 \times k} = 0$$

So,  $PED_{(G.P.)} = 0$ , for the order  $n \geq 3$ .

By the same way, can prove the rule (4.2) when  $N$  is decreasing.

Independent on the rules (4.1), and (4.2) that are mentioned above, one can write the following rule.

**Rule**

If  $n \geq 3$  is the order of determinant,  $n$  is finite set, then  $D | PED_{row(column)} | = 0$ .

*Proof:* by proof method of rule (4.2).

**Rule**

If the order of  $PDF$  is  $n \geq 3$ , then  $D | PED_{row} |^t = D | PED_{column} |$ , and  $D | PED_{column} |^t = D | PED_{row} |$ .

*Proof:* The common deference or common ratio in  $PED_{row}$  and in  $PED_{column}$  is the same value. And by using the Laplace Expansion can complete the prove.

**Rule**

If  $n = 2$  is the order of  $PED_{(G.P.)}$ , then:

i)  $D | PED_{row(G.P.)} |^t = D | PED_{column(G.P.)} |$ , and

ii)  $D | PED_{column(G.P.)} |^t = D | PED_{row(G.P.)} |$ ,

provided that they have same common ratio.

*Proof:* it is clear.

**Examples**

the following examples are explaining and applying the mentioned rules:

a)  $A = \begin{vmatrix} 20 & 100 & 0 \\ 22 & 102 & 2 \\ 24 & 104 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$  is  $PED_{column(A.P.)}$ ,  $d_{common} = 2$ ,  $det(A) = 0$ , and

$A^t = \begin{vmatrix} 20 & 22 & 24 \\ 100 & 102 & 104 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix}$  is  $PED_{row(A.P.)}$ ,  $d_{common} = 2$ ,  $det(A^t) = 0$ .

b)  $B = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{vmatrix}$  is  $PED_{row(A.P.)}$ ,  $d_{common} = 1$ ,  $det(B) = 0$ , and

$B^t = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 4 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 8 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{vmatrix}$  is  $PED_{column(A.P.)}$ ,  $d_{common} = 1$ ,  $det(B^t) = 0$ .

c)  $C = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 6 & 4 \\ 4 & 12 & 8 \end{vmatrix}$  is  $PED_{column(G.P.)}$ ,  $r_{common} = 2$ ,  $det(C) = 0$ , and

$C^t = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 6 & 12 \\ 2 & 4 & 8 \end{vmatrix}$  is  $PED_{row(G.P.)}$ ,  $r_{common} = 2$ ,  $det(C^t) = 0$ .

d)  $D = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 16 & 32 \end{vmatrix}$  is  $PED_{row(G.P.)}$ ,  $r_{common} = 2$ ,  $det(D) = 0$ , and  $D^t = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 16 \\ 4 & 32 \end{vmatrix}$  is  $PED_{column(G.P.)}$ ,  $r_{common} = 2$ ,  $det(D^t) = 0$ . And the convers is true. On other hand may be  $D = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 16 \\ 4 & 32 \end{vmatrix}$  is  $PED_{row(G.P.)}$ ,  $r_{common} = 8$ ,  $det(D) = 0$ , and  $D^t = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 16 & 32 \end{vmatrix}$  is  $PED_{column(G.P.)}$ ,  $r_{common} = 8$ ,  $det(D^t) = 0$ . And so on.

### Comment:

If  $n = 2$  is the order of  $PED$ , then  $D|PED_{row(column)(A.P.)}| \neq -2d^2$ . For example the following  $PED_{row(column)(A.P.)}$ :  $Z = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 10 \\ 2 & 11 \end{vmatrix} = -9$ , whereas the  $d_{common} = 9$  for  $PED_{row(A.P.)}$ , and the  $d_{common} = 1$  for  $PED_{column(A.P.)}$ . This exemption requires interpretation. The cause reasonable is the terms in  $PED_{row(column)(A.P.)}$ , when its order  $n = 2$  are not as in the row(column) geometric progression, for this reason, the mentioned exemption is not subject to Rule (4.1), although  $PED^t_{row(column)(A.P.)} = PED_{column(row)(A.P.)}$ ,  $n = 2$ , and conversely.

### The Results:-

Instead of the Laplace Expansion method to find the values of the Progressive Elements Determinant can use the following properties, which are added to the known properties of the determinants. Let's take that  $n$  is set of finite natural numbers:

1. The determinant with order  $n = 2$ , and its elements constitute arithmetic progression start from the first element  $a_{11}$  until the last element  $a_{nn}$  equal to,  $-2d^2$ , such that  $d$  is the common deference.
2. The determinant with order  $n = 2$ , and its elements constitute geometric progression start from the first element  $a_{11}$  until the last element  $a_{nn}$ , row after row, equal to, zero.
3. The determinant with order  $n \geq 3$ , and its elements constitute arithmetic (geometric) progression start from the first element  $a_{11}$  until the last element  $a_{nn}$  equal to, zero.
4. The transpose of determinant with order  $n = 2$ , and its elements in any row constitute row geometric progression, equal to the determinant which its column constitute columns geometric progression, with same ratio, and each one of the determinants that are mentioned is equal to zero.
5. The determinant with order  $n \geq 3$ , and its elements in any row(column) constitute row(column) arithmetic (geometric) progression, equal to zero.

### References:-

1. Jim hefferon (2017): "linear algebra", <http://joshua.smcvt.edu/linearalgebra>.
2. Vermont usa 05439, third edition.
3. David cherney, tom denton, rohit thomas and andrew waldron (2013): "linear agebra", first edition, davis california.
4. R. A. Rosen baum and g. Philip johnson (1984): "calculus - basic concepts and applications", cambridge university press, qa303. R69 1984 515 83-14257, isbn 0 521 250129, p. 24-25 [4].murray r. Spiegel (1956):"college algebra", schaum's outline series in mathematics, mcgraw-hill book company, p.140-141
5. David poole (2005): "linear algebra- a modern introduction". Cengage learning. Isbn 0-534-99845-3, p.265-267 .
6. Harvey e. Rose (2000): "linear algebra- apure mathematical approach". Springer, isbn 3-7643-6905-1, p.57-60 .