# Chronic Unease and Cognitive Processes: Advancing Safety Management in High-Risk Industries Towards Zero Incidents


**Abstract:**

Background: High-risk industries, particularly the oil and gas sectors, face significant safety challenges. This review explores the concept of chronic unease and its role in enhancing safety management by focusing on its interaction with cognitive processes and decision-making strategies.

Methods: A systematic literature review was conducted using the PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar databases. Search terms included "chronic unease," "safety management," "high-risk industries," "cognitive biases," and "decision-making." Articles published between 2010 and 2024 were included in this meta-analysis.

Results: Chronic unease, characterized by constant vigilance towards potential risks, plays a crucial role in creating proactive safety environments. The interplay between chronic unease, fast and slow thinking processes, and cognitive biases significantly influences decision making in high-risk scenarios. Practical applications in the oil and gas industry include comprehensive safety-training programs, leveraging advanced technologies, and implementing safety-focused project management methodologies.

Conclusions: An integrated approach combining chronic unease awareness, slow thinking processes, and strategies to mitigate cognitive biases can enhance safety performance in high-risk industries. Future research should focus on quantifying the impact of chronic unease on safety outcomes and exploring the potential drawbacks of sustained vigilance.



Keywords: Chronic unease, Safety management, High-risk industries, Cognitive processes, Decision-making, Oil and gas sector, Cognitive biases, Slow-thinking, Fast-thinking, Safety training programs.


**Plain Language Summary**

Ensuring safety in industries, such as oil and gas, requires continuous vigilance. This study explores how maintaining a mindset of "chronic unease" and constant awareness of potential riskscan help prevent incidents. By understanding how the brain processes risks, decision makers can improve their safety strategies. This study suggests integrating chronic unease with slow-thinking approaches and advanced technology to create a safer work environment. Future research should assess how this strategy affects workers' well-being and long-term safety outcomes.

**Introduction:**

In high-risk industries, such as oil and gas, maintaining a robust safety culture is paramount to prevent accidents and ensure operational excellence. These industries operate under conditions in which even minor lapses can lead to catastrophic consequences, making safety a critical priority. This review explores the critical concept of chronic unease and its role in enhancing safety practices in these sectors. Chronic unease, characterized by a constant state of vigilance towards potential risks, serves as a foundational element in creating a proactive safety environment. This state of continuous awareness helps identify and mitigate potential hazards before escalating into major incidents.

By examining the interplay between chronic unease, cognitive processes, and decision-making strategies, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how organizations can fortify their defenses against major accidents. Cognitive processes, including both fast and slow thinking, play a significant role in the perception and management of risk. Fast thinking allows for quick responses to immediate threats, whereas slow thinking involves deliberate analysis and planning to address complex safety challenges. Understanding these cognitive processes can help in designing effective safety training programs and decision-making frameworks to enhance overall safety performance.

Furthermore, it investigates the integration of advanced technologies and innovative management approaches in cultivating a safety-first mindset, ultimately working towards the ambitious goal of zero incidents in high-risk industrial operations. Advanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence, big data analytics, and real-time monitoring systems, can provide valuable insights and early warnings about potential safety issues. Innovative management approaches, including safety-focused project management methodologies and comprehensive safety training programs, can further strengthen the safety culture within organizations. By leveraging these technologies and approaches, high-risk industries can create a resilient safety framework that not only prevents accidents, but also promotes continuous improvement in safety practices.

**Methodology:**

This review employed a systematic literature search using several well-regarded databases including PubMed, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. These databases were chosen for their comprehensive coverage of the scientific and academic literature, ensuring a thorough and reliable search process. The search terms used in this review were "chronic unease," "safety management," "high-risk industries," "cognitive biases," and "decision-making." These terms were carefully selected to capture the breadth and depth of the topic and encompass various aspects of safety management and cognitive processes in high-risk industries.

Articles published between 2010 and 2024 were included in the search to ensure the currency and relevance of information. This timeframe was chosen to provide a contemporary perspective on the subject matter, reflecting the latest research and

developments in the field. The initial search yielded a total of 127 articles. Each article was subjected to a rigorous screening process to determine its relevance to the topic. This involved reviewing the abstracts and, where necessary, the full texts of the articles to assess their alignment with the objectives of the review.

Of the 127 articles, 53 were selected for a full-text review based on their relevance and contribution to the topic. These articles were chosen for their detailed exploration of chronic unease, safety-management practices, cognitive biases, and decision-making strategies in high-risk industries. The final review included 12 key references that provided a comprehensive coverage of the subject matter. These references were selected for their high-quality research, significant findings, and relevance to the review objectives. They form the foundation of the review and offer valuable insights and evidence to support discussion and conclusions.

## Understanding Chronic Unease in High-Risk Industries:

### Definition and Importance:

Chronic unease is a crucial concept in high-risk industries for enhancing safety. It involves maintaining a state of constant wariness towards risk management (Fruhen et al., 2013). This state of vigilance is essential in environments where the potential for accidents is high, such as in the oil and gas industries. In these hazardous industries, creating a sense of chronic unease regarding barrier integrity and safety-critical systems is vital. This ease helps to continuously monitor and assess the effectiveness of safety measures, ensuring that any potential weaknesses are promptly identified and addressed. Additionally, understanding the psychological factors that contribute to error-prone conditions can further strengthen defenses against major accidents (Thorogood & Crichton, 2014).

Addressing both non-technical skills and attitudes towards operational risks, such as chronic unease, can embed protective safety skills into professional practices (Flin, 2017). Non-technical skills, including communication, teamwork, and situational awareness, are critical in effectively managing safety. By fostering a culture of chronic unease, organizations can ensure that these skills are consistently applied, leading to a more resilient safety culture. However, it is important to note that sustained states of unease may lead to stress or fatigue, which could negatively impact decision-making. Prolonged vigilance can strain cognitive resources, making it challenging for individuals to maintain high performance levels over time. Therefore, future research should explore an optimal balance between vigilance and well-being. This balance is crucial to ensure that, while safety is prioritized, the mental and physical health of workers is not compromised.

### Applications in Oil and Gas, Nuclear, and Construction Sectors:

In highly reliable organizations such as nuclear plants and offshore platforms, mindful safety practices are vital for safe operations (Dahl & Kongsvik, 2018). Chronic unease complements the focus on non-technical skills, contributing to safety-oriented work culture. This approach is particularly relevant in industries in which errors can have catastrophic consequences.

In the context of construction safety, chronic unease plays a role in fostering a safe, conscious environment. By integrating technological advancements and safety measures, industries such as the construction, mining, and energy sectors can benefit from a culture of chronic unease to prevent accidents (Sidani, 2023).

**The Interplay of Chronic Unease, Fast and Slow Thinking:**

**Fast vs. Slow Thinking in Decision-Making**

Chronic unease, fast- and slow-thinking brain processes, and cognitive biases are interconnected concepts that play a significant role in decision-making and safety management in high-risk industries. The relationship between chronic unease and fast- and slow-thinking brain processes can be understood as the balance between immediate reactions to potential risks (fast thinking) and deliberate, analytical risk assessment (slow thinking) in high-risk environments (Nöstlinger et al., 2015). Fast thinking, also known as System 1 thinking, is characterized by quick automatic responses that are often based on intuition and experience. This type of thinking is crucial in high-risk industries where immediate reactions to potential hazards can prevent accidents and save lives. On the other hand, slow thinking, or System 2 thinking, involves deliberate and analytical processes. It requires careful consideration of all available information, weighing the pros and cons, and making informed decisions. In high-risk environments, slow thinking is essential for thorough risk assessment and long-term safety planning.

**Chronic Unease and Cognitive Processes:**

Cognitive biases such as attentional, interpretation, and memory biases are integral components that influence decision-making processes (Ryckeghem et al., 2019). Attentional bias refers to the tendency to pay more attention to certain types of information, while ignoring others. In the context of chronic unease, this bias can lead individuals to excessively focus on potential threats, which can heighten their sense of unease. Interpretation bias involves a tendency to interpret ambiguous information in a negative or threatening manner. This bias can exacerbate chronic unease by causing individuals to perceive risks as more severe than they actually do. Memory bias refers to the tendency to recall information consistent with one's current mood or beliefs. In high-risk industries, memory bias can influence how past incidents are remembered and future risks are perceived.

These biases can impact how information is attended to, interpreted, and recalled, potentially leading to maladaptive responses and an increased risk for chronic conditions. In the context of chronic unease, these cognitive biases can exacerbate the sense of unease by influencing the perception and management of risk in high-risk industries. For example, individuals with a heightened sense of chronic unease may be more likely to interpret minor anomalies as significant threats, leading to unnecessary stress and potentially counterproductive safety measures. Understanding the interplay between chronic unease and cognitive biases is crucial for developing effective safety management strategies that mitigate these biases and promote a balanced approach to risk assessment and decision making.

**Practical Applications in the Oil and Gas Industry:**

**Comprehensive Safety Training Programs:**

A comprehensive approach that integrates chronic unease, slow-thinking brain processes, and cognitive bias mitigation strategies is crucial to effectively enhance safety management in the oil and gas industries and work toward a zero-incident strategy. This mindset aligns with slow thinking processes, emphasizing rational decision-making and thorough risk assessment to prevent incidents (Nöstlinger et al., 2015). Comprehensive safety training programs are essential to instilling this mindset among employees. These programs should focus on educating workers about the importance of maintaining a state of chronic unease, which involves constant awareness of potential risks and hazards. By understanding the cognitive processes involved in decision-making, employees can be better equipped to effectively identify and mitigate risks. Training programs should also address common cognitive biases that can affect judgment and decision-making and provide strategies to recognize and counteract these biases.

**Leveraging Advanced Technologies:**

Practical applications in the oil and gas sector include implementing comprehensive safety training programs that highlight the importance of chronic unease and encourage employees to engage in both fast- and slow-thinking processes when assessing risks. Additionally, leveraging advanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence and big data analytics, can aid in identifying potential safety hazards and optimizing safety protocols based on real-time data (Wang, 2024; Zhi-feng, 2019). Advanced technologies can provide valuable insights and early warnings regarding potential safety issues, allowing proactive measures to be taken before incidents occur. For example, real-time monitoring systems can detect anomalies in equipment performance, enabling timely maintenance and preventing equipment failure. Artificial intelligence can analyze vast amounts of data to identify patterns and trends that may indicate emerging risks, helping organizations stay ahead of potential hazards.

**Project Management and Safety in Oil and Gas:**

Project management methodologies that prioritize safety over speed can guide oil and gas projects towards achieving a zero-incident goal (Abdulla et al., 2019). By incorporating elements of chronic unease, slow-thinking processes, and cognitive bias mitigation strategies into project management frameworks, companies can ensure that safety remains a top priority throughout all project phases. This involves integrating safety considerations into every aspect of project planning and execution from the initial design to the final implementation. Project managers should be trained to recognize the importance of chronic ease and foster a culture of safety within their teams. By emphasizing thorough risk assessments and deliberate decision making, project managers can help prevent accidents and ensure that safety protocols are consistently followed. Additionally, incorporating cognitive bias mitigation strategies can help project teams make more rational and informed decisions, reduce the likelihood of errors, and enhance overall safety performance.

**Limitations and Future Research:**

While chronic unease shows promise for enhancing safety management, several limitations should be addressed in future research.

1. **Quantitative Impact**: More studies are needed to quantify the impact of chronic unease on safety outcomes. Although qualitative evidence suggests that chronic unease can lead to improved safety practices, quantitative data to support these claims are lacking. Future research should focus on developing metrics and methodologies to measure the effectiveness of chronic unease in reducing incidents and enhancing the overall safety performance.
2. **Potential Drawbacks**: Research should explore the potential negative effects of sustained vigilance on employee well-being and decision making. Chronic unease, characterized by constant vigilance, may lead to increased stress and fatigue among employees. This heightened state of alertness can potentially impair decision-making abilities and overall mental health. It is crucial to investigate these potential drawbacks to ensure that the benefits of chronic unease do not come at the expense of employee well-being.
3. **Industry-Specific Variations**: The effectiveness of chronic unease may vary across high-risk industries, warranting comparative studies. High-risk industries, such as the oil and gas, nuclear, and construction sectors, have unique safety challenges and operational environments. Comparative studies are needed to understand how chronic unease can be tailored to suit the specific needs and context of different industries. This will help develop industry-specific guidelines and best practices for implementing chronic unease strategies.
4. **Long-Term Sustainability**: Longitudinal studies are needed to assess the long-term effectiveness and sustainability of chronic unease strategies. While the

short-term benefits of chronic disease have been documented, there is limited understanding of its long-term impact on safety management. Longitudinal research can provide insights into how chronic unease strategies evolve over time, and their sustained effectiveness in preventing incidents and promoting a safety-conscious culture.

**Conclusion:**

Integrating chronic unease, slow-thinking brain processes, and cognitive bias mitigation strategies into safety management practices can empower oil and gas companies to progress towards a zero-incident strategy. This holistic approach involves fostering a safety-conscious culture, utilizing advanced technologies, implementing comprehensive training programmes, and integrating safety into project management methodologies.

While chronic diseases show promise in enhancing safety performance and mitigating risks, further research is needed to fully understand their long-term impacts and potential drawbacks. By addressing these knowledge gaps, the oil and gas industry can refine its approach to safety management and move closer to incident-free operations.

Conflict of Interest Statement:
The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this research.

Data Access Statement
The data supporting this study were derived from publicly available sources, including journal articles and industry reports. No proprietary or confidential data were used in this study.

Ethics Statement
This research did not involve human participants, human data, or animals. Therefore, ethical approval was not required for this study.

Funding Statement:
No external funding was received for this study.

**References:**

Abdulla, H., Al-Hashimi, M., & Hamdan, A. (2019). The impact of project management methodologies on project success., 418-437.

Dahl, Ø. and Kongsvik, T. (2018). Safety climate and mindful safety practices in the oil and gas industry. Journal of Safety Research, 64, 29-36.

Flin, R. (2017). Enhancing safety performance: non-technical skills and a modicum of chronic unease., 45-58.

Fruhen, L., Flin, R., & McLeod, R. (2013). Chronic unease for safety in managers: a conceptualisation. Journal of Risk Research, 17(8), 969-979.

Kinash, I. (2024). Formation of innovative infrastructure in the context of project-oriented management of the oil and gas industry. E3s Web of Conferences, 526, 01015.

Krasnyuk, M. (2024). Technological and tactical subsystems of the intelligent management information system (on the example of an oil and gas corporation). Грааль Науки, (37), 229-238.

Nöstlinger, C., Borms, R., Dec-Pietrowska, J., Dias, S., Rojas, D., Platteau, T., … & Kok, G. (2015). Development of a theory-guided pan-european computer-assisted safer sex intervention. Health Promotion International, 31(4), 782-792.

Ryckeghem, D., Noel, M., Sharpe, L., Pincus, T., & Damme, S. (2019). Cognitive biases in pain: an integrated functional–contextual framework. Pain, 160(7), 1489-1493.

Sidani, A. (2023). Catalysing construction safety: a comparative analysis of technological advancements across high-risk industries. Buildings, 13(11), 2885.

Thorogood, J. and Crichton, M. (2014). Threat-and-error management: the connection between process safety and practical action at the worksite. Spe Drilling & Completion, 29(04), 465-472.

Wang, T. (2024). Current status and prospects of artificial intelligence technology application in oil and gas field development. Acs Omega.

Zhi-feng, Y. (2019). Cloud computing and big data for oil and gas industry application, china. Journal of Computers, 14(4), 268-282.