



REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-50533

Date: 07-03-2025

Title: A Scientific Approach for Evaluating Learning Effectiveness with respect to Different Parameters Associated with a Training Program

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is.....**YES**.....
- Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality	√			
Techn. Quality		√		
Clarity		√		
Significance			√	

Reviewer's Name: Shafiya Akhter

Reviewer's Decision about Paper: **Recommended for Publication.**

Comments (*Use additional pages, if required*)

Reviewer's Comment / Report

Abstract: The abstract provides a concise summary of the research, clearly outlining the objectives, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. The language is precise, and the content effectively conveys the essence of the study.

Introduction: The introduction is well-structured, providing adequate background information and a clear statement of the research problem. The rationale for the study is well-articulated, and the objectives are clearly stated, setting a strong foundation for the paper.

Literature Review: The literature review demonstrates a comprehensive understanding of existing research in the field. Relevant studies are well-integrated, and the discussion highlights the gaps the current study aims to address. The citations are appropriately placed and support the argument effectively.

Methodology: The methodology section provides a clear and detailed description of the research design, data collection, and analysis techniques. The chosen methods are well-justified, and the explanation ensures reproducibility. Ethical considerations and limitations are acknowledged where necessary.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Results: The results are presented logically and coherently, with appropriate use of tables, figures, and statistical analysis. The findings are well-articulated, and the presentation enhances the overall readability of the section.

Discussion: The discussion effectively interprets the results, linking them back to the research question and existing literature. The implications of the findings are clearly stated, and any discrepancies are addressed thoughtfully. The section maintains a critical and analytical perspective.

Conclusion: The conclusion summarizes the key findings succinctly and reinforces the significance of the study. It effectively ties back to the research objectives and suggests broader implications. The concluding remarks provide a strong closure to the paper.

References: The references are formatted consistently and correctly, adhering to the required citation style. The sources cited are relevant and credible, supporting the overall integrity of the research.

Overall Assessment: The paper is well-structured, comprehensive, and presents a thorough investigation of the research topic. The language is clear and professional, and the arguments are logically developed. The study contributes valuable insights to the field and maintains high academic standards.