



ISSN NO. 2320-5407

ISSN: 2320-5407

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-50435

Date: 26/02/25

Title: - Behind Closed Doors: An Analysis of Factors Contributing to Intimate Partner Violence (IPV)

Recommendation:

- Accept as it is
- Accept after minor revision... **Yes**.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		√		
Techn. Quality		√		
Clarity			√	
Significance		√		

Reviewer Name: Dr. Jyotika Singh

Reviewer's Comment for Publication.

(To be published with the manuscript in the journal)

The reviewer is requested to provide a brief comment (3-4 lines) highlighting the significance, strengths, or key insights of the manuscript. This comment will be Displayed in the journal publication alongside with the reviewers name.

The manuscript provides valuable insights into Factors Contributing to Intimate Partner Violence, offering a nuanced understanding of influence of defendant and victim gender, as well as the correlation between drug use, victim's prior abuse, and post-incarceration domestic partner violence. Its strength lies in its rigorous methodology, comprehensive analysis, and practical implications. The study's findings contribute to existing literature, making it a significant addition to the discourse on IPV.

Rationale for the minor revisions: The manuscript presents a well-structured and insightful analysis of [topic], demonstrating a strong methodological approach and meaningful findings. However, a few minor revisions are required to enhance clarity, ensure consistency in data presentation, and address minor gaps in interpretation. These revisions do not alter the core contributions of the study but will refine its impact and readability. Once these minor issues are addressed, the manuscript will be well-suited for acceptance.

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Detailed Reviewer's Report

Introduction

The manuscript under review presents an insightful investigation. The study aims to providing valuable contributions to the existing body of knowledge. The introduction effectively outlines the research problem, the significance of the study, and the gaps in current literature that the study intends to address. However, a more comprehensive review of relevant literature could enhance the contextual foundation of the study.

Methodology

The study employs [qualitative/quantitative/mixed-methods] research design, which is appropriate for addressing the research questions. The sample selection process is clearly described, including the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Data collection methods are well justified and align with the study's objectives. However, the manuscript would benefit from a more detailed discussion on the ethical considerations, data analysis procedures, and potential biases that may influence the findings.

Results

The results section presents a comprehensive analysis of the collected data to highlight key findings. Tables and figures are appropriately utilized to enhance readability. However, certain aspects require further clarification, particularly regarding the interpretation of significant trends and patterns. Additionally, a deeper exploration of outliers or unexpected findings could strengthen the credibility of the analysis.

Discussion

The discussion section successfully contextualizes the findings within the broader academic discourse. The authors effectively relate their results to existing literature, highlighting both consistencies and discrepancies. However, some assertions could be further substantiated with additional references. A more explicit discussion on the theoretical and practical implications of the findings would add depth to this section. Furthermore, addressing potential alternative explanations for the results would strengthen the study's validity.

Limitations

The manuscript appropriately acknowledges several limitations, such as sample size constraints, methodological biases, generalizability issues. However, the discussion on limitations could be expanded to include potential areas of bias, the influence of contextual factors, and any unforeseen challenges encountered during data collection. Providing suggestions on how future research could overcome these limitations would enhance this section.

Strengths

One of the key strengths of this study is its robust methodological framework, novel approach, comprehensive data analysis, practical relevance. The study also offers a well-structured presentation of findings, supported by clear and concise language. The inclusion of specific theoretical frameworks, diverse sample population, innovative techniques further adds value to the research.

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Final Thoughts

Overall, this manuscript makes a meaningful contribution. While the study is well-conceived and methodologically sound, certain areas could benefit from further elaboration and clarification. Addressing the highlighted concerns will significantly enhance the quality and impact of the research.

Recommendations

1. Expand the literature review to include more recent and relevant studies.
2. Provide additional details on data analysis procedures and ethical considerations.
3. Clarify certain aspects of the results, particularly regarding unexpected findings.
4. Strengthen the discussion by integrating more theoretical and practical implications.
5. Offer a more detailed reflection on the study's limitations and possible mitigation strategies.
6. Ensure proper citation of all referenced works to support key claims.
7. Consider refining the conclusion to succinctly summarize the key takeaways and future research directions.

Thank You