



REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR- 50404

Date: 24/02/2025

Title: "Hepatic Abscess Complicated by DIC in a Diabetic Patient: A Diagnostic and Therapeutic Challenge,"

Recommendation:

- ✓ Accept as it is
- Accept after minor revision.....
- Accept after major revision
- Do not accept (*Reasons below*)

Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Originality		✓		
Techn. Quality		✓		
Clarity		✓		
Significance		✓		

Reviewer Name: Dr. S. K. Nath

Date: 25/02/2025

Reviewer's Comment for Publication:

The paper presents a rare but critical complication in diabetic patients, making the study both relevant and important for clinical practice. The case is well-structured, clearly detailing the patient's symptoms, diagnostic procedures, treatment plan, and progression. While the discussion touches on key issues, it could benefit from deeper analysis of alternative treatment options, particularly for managing DIC. More comparison with similar cases in existing literature would add depth to the argument.

Reviewer's Comment / Report

Strengths

1. **Relevant Clinical Case:** The paper presents a rare but critical complication in diabetic patients, making the study both relevant and important for clinical practice.
2. **Clear Case Presentation:** The case is well-structured, clearly detailing the patient's symptoms, diagnostic procedures, treatment plan, and progression.
3. **Strong Clinical Relevance:** The paper effectively links the patient's diabetic status to increased susceptibility to severe infections and complications such as DIC.
4. **Comprehensive Management Approach:** The multidisciplinary approach to managing the patient—antibiotic therapy, abscess drainage, and intensive care intervention—is well-detailed and reflects real-world clinical practices.
5. **Use of Visual Aids:** References to CT scans and figures enhance understanding of the diagnostic and treatment process, though the images themselves seem to be missing in this version.

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Areas for Improvement

- 1. Language and Grammar:** Some sentences are overly complex or repetitive. For example: "*Diabetic patients are more susceptible to severe infections due to altered immunity...*" could be simplified and made more concise. Minor typos and awkward phrasing should be corrected for clarity.
- 2. Missing Visuals:** The paper references several figures (CT scans and drainage procedures), but they are not provided. Including these images would greatly enhance the paper's effectiveness and clarity.
- 3. Discussion Depth:** While the discussion touches on key issues, it could benefit from deeper analysis of alternative treatment options, particularly for managing DIC. More comparison with similar cases in existing literature would add depth to the argument.
- 4. Lack of Statistical Data:** Including broader statistics on hepatic abscesses in diabetic patients and the incidence of DIC complications would strengthen the clinical relevance of this case study.
- 5. Conclusion Needs Expansion:** The conclusion briefly summarizes the case but could offer stronger recommendations for clinical practice, particularly for early detection and prevention strategies in diabetic patients.
- 6. Formatting and Citations:** The references are appropriate but should follow a consistent citation style (e.g., APA, AMA). Formatting of the figures section should be clearer, ensuring that the visual aids are properly integrated into the text.