

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

Effect of Comprehensive Nursing Intervention on self-care practices of patients undergoing hemodialysis.

Abstract

Background: Chronic kidney disease is a prolonged medical condition that impacts the self-care routines of patients receiving hemodialysis. Self-care practices significantly influence the treatment outcomes of patients, and it is essential for nurses to provide appropriate guidance to address the challenges that may arise during hemodialysis sessions.

Objectives: This study aimed to invent comprehensive nursing intervention and evaluate its efficacy on self-care practices.

Design: This study was experimental with a time series design.

Participants: Total enumerative sampling method was used to recruit 106 patients newly recruited for hemodialysis. The participants were randomly assigned to one of two groups: the intervention group given comprehensive nursing intervention, while the control group received routine care.

Measurements: This study was experimental with a time series design. The measurement instrument included structured self-care practice checklist which involves questions related to fistula care, physical activity, dietary modification and fluid restriction. The analysis involves use of chi square and independent t test.

Results: The participants mean age was 47.5 years. Application of comprehensive nursing intervention significantly ($p < 0.05$) improved self-care practice of patients in experimental group as compared to control group.

Conclusion: Comprehensive Nursing Intervention can improve self-care practice of patients undergoing hemodialysis.

KEYWORDS

Comprehensive Nursing Intervention, hemodialysis, self-care practice, fistula care, physical activity, dietary modification, fluid restriction

INTRODUCTION

30 Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is characterized by a gradual decline in kidney function,
31 which may eventually necessitate renal replacement therapies, including dialysis or
32 transplantation. (Vaidya SR, Aeddula NR. 2024). Rapid urbanization, which leads to
33 increased obesity and reduced physical activity, is contributing to shifts in the incidence of
34 risk factors associated with chronic kidney disease (CKD) on a global scale. (Neuen LB
35 2017). Hemodialysis is the predominant treatment option, with renal transplantation
36 following closely behind, while peritoneal dialysis ranks significantly lower. Similar to other
37 developing nations, India faces distinct circumstances and challenges that affect the
38 management of chronic kidney disease. An overview of preventive strategies for chronic
39 kidney disease (CKD) presented by Li PKT et al. (2020) highlights the importance of
40 prevention at three distinct levels. Primary prevention targets the identification of individuals
41 at high risk, the management of obesity, the enhancement of glycaemic control, and the
42 regulation of blood pressure. It also advocates for the reduction of salt intake and the
43 promotion of healthy dietary and lifestyle choices. Secondary prevention focuses on the early
44 detection of CKD, the management of blood pressure, and the reduction of protein
45 consumption. Tertiary prevention encompasses fluid management, nutritional therapy, and the
46 use of pharmacological treatments. The availability of facilities and expertise varies
47 considerably across different regions of the country. (Varughese S, Abraham G. 2018). An
48 integrative review concerning patients undergoing hemodialysis has highlighted the
49 importance of self-care management factors, emphasizing that the patients themselves play a
50 crucial role in formulating effective strategies aimed at improving both physical and
51 psychological outcomes.

52 A concept paper highlighted the importance of self-care practices among hemodialysis
53 patients, focusing on the critical aspects of vascular access maintenance, fluid management,
54 dietary compliance, and adherence to treatment during the hemodialysis process. (Pargaien
55 M, Prakash DK. 2025).

56 A pilot study indicated that a dietary intake monitoring application assisted patients in
57 adhering to their prescribed diets and enabled them to review their previous meals through a
58 reflective mechanism. (Connelly K et.al 2012).

59 Patients undergoing hemodialysis often lack sufficient self-care, which negatively impacts
60 their self-care efficacy and, consequently, their overall quality of life. (Rayyani M, et.al.
61 2014). Therefore, this study aimed to develop a comprehensive nursing intervention for

62 patients receiving hemodialysis and evaluate its effectiveness in improving self-care
63 practices.

64 METHODS

65 A quantitative methodology employing a Randomized Controlled Trial with a Time Series
66 design was utilized to evaluate the efficacy of a comprehensive nursing intervention and the
67 participants were chosen through the total enumerative sampling technique.

68 PARTICIPANTS AND SETTING

69 The study recruited adult patients receiving hemodialysis from dialysis centre Uttarakhand,
70 India. New patients planned for hemodialysis during fistula formation were enrolled in the
71 study. Exclusion criteria included: patients having serious cognitive impairment, unstable
72 medical conditions and patients not able to perform activities of daily living.

73 Based on the literature previously published (Mansouri et al., 2020), a calculation for sample
74 size was performed. The mean \pm standard deviation was extracted from the before mentioned
75 article to attain an 80% power (B) at a significance level of 5% (α). The formula utilized for
76 the sample size calculation is expressed as follows: $n = 2SD^2 \times (Z\alpha/2 + Z\beta)^2 / d^2$. The
77 determined sample size amounted to 90. Taking into account a 10% dropout rate, the
78 researcher subsequently enrolled 106 patients across both the experimental and control
79 groups.

80 INTERVENTION

81 Comprehensive Nursing Intervention

82 The intervention for this study was formulated by the researcher following an evaluation of
83 patients' needs through the implementation of focused group discussions. The component of
84 Comprehensive nursing intervention encompassed educating patients about the anatomy and
85 function of the kidneys, as well as the operation and significance of hemodialysis. Instruction
86 was provided on the hygiene practices related to the fistula, care for the fistula arm, and the
87 essential Do's and Don'ts associated with it. Additionally, a demonstration was conducted on
88 how to assess the functionality of the fistula. The importance of dietary management was
89 emphasized, including guidance on food items that should be consumed or avoided by
90 patients undergoing hemodialysis, along with a demonstration on potassium leaching.
91 Strategies for managing thirst were also taught, alongside the significance of exercise and

92 sleep hygiene. Furthermore, demonstrations were provided on appropriate exercises,
93 including asanas and breathing techniques, tailored for patients receiving hemodialysis.

94 OUTCOME MEASURE INSTRUMENT

95 Self-Care Practice Checklist: To assess the self-care practices of patients undergoing
96 haemodialysis, a self-care practice checklist was created, comprising twenty questions based
97 on a six-point Likert scale. This checklist focused on two primary areas: fistula care and
98 physical activity. The Likert scale included the following response options: Six to seven days
99 a week (5), Four to five days a week (4), Two days a week (3), Three days a week (2), Once a
100 week (1), and Never (0). The tool contained a total of twenty questions, with fourteen items
101 framed positively and six items framed negatively, necessitating reverse scoring for the latter.
102 Additionally, a dichotomous checklist with twenty-eight questions was utilized to evaluate
103 dietary modifications and fluid restrictions. This dichotomous response format offered
104 options of yes (1) and no (0), with nine items framed positively and nineteen items requiring
105 reverse scoring. A higher score indicated better self-care practices, with the scoring range
106 spanning from a minimum of 0 to a maximum of 148.

107 STUDY PROCEDURE

108 Participant recruitment

109 New patients enrolled for hemodialysis having new fistula formation within three weeks of
110 fistula formation and meeting inclusion criteria were enrolled in the study. Total 116 patients
111 were eligible for the study eight patient did not meet inclusion criteria and two patients
112 denied to participate in study.

113 Random assignment and homogeneity analysis

114 This study was a Randomised Controlled Trial with time series design. The participants were
115 assigned to control and experimental groups at random through the Sequentially Numbered
116 Opaque Sealed (SNOOSE) method. To evaluate the homogeneity of demographic and clinical
117 characteristics between the intervention and control groups, an independent t-test and a chi-
118 square test were employed (refer to Table 1). This approach was taken to confirm that any
119 outcomes observed could be directly linked to the implementation of the comprehensive
120 nursing intervention, rather than to any pre-existing disparities between the two groups.

121

122 Participant instruction

123 Once baseline data for the study were obtained, the intervention group received six session of
124 comprehensive nursing intervention. Participants were encouraged to practice fistula care, use
125 of reuseable ice cubes, potassium leaching of food items and practice of coordination/
126 strengthening exercise and yoga daily. Follow up of all participants were made for a period of
127 six months.

128 Data collection

129 A researcher gathered in-person data from 106 participants at a hemodialysis centre within an
130 Indian hospital while the patients were waiting for their hemodialysis treatment. The
131 investigator provided a questionnaire focused on self-care practices, which the participants
132 could complete on their own or have read to them by the researcher.

133 Table 1 Baseline participant profiles between the intervention and control group

Sociodemographic Characteristics	Experimental group (52) n (%)	Control group (54) n (%)	χ^2/ t value	p value
Age Mean\pmSD	47.5 \pm 12.86	48.5 \pm 14.60	0.67	0.50
Gender Male Female	28 (54) 24 (46)	32(60) 22 (40)	0.31	0.57
Martial status Married Unmarried Divorced/ Widow/Widower	41 (79) 07 (13) 04 (8)	44(81) 11(10) 10(09)	1.28	0.52
Education qualification No formal Education Primary Education Middle School High School Intermediate Graduate Post Graduate	12 (23) 06 (11) 09 (17) 11 (21) 08 (15) 02 (04) 04 (08)	07 (13) 07 (13) 07 (13) 12 (22) 15 (28) 02 (04) 04 (07)	3.78	0.70
Residency Rural Urban Semi urban	35 (67) 15 (29) 02 (04)	38 (70) 15 (28) 01 (02)	0.41	0.81

Family Income per month in Rupees.				
Less than 10,000	36 (69)	33 (61)	8.78	0.10
10,001- 20,000	03 (06)	10 (19)		
20,001 – 30,000	09 (17)	04 (07)		
30,001 – 40,000	0	03 (06)		
40,001-50,000	02 (04)	02 (03)		
More than 50,000	02 (04)	02 (04)		
Employment/ job status			1.70	0.88
Private job	05 (10)	08 (15)		
Government job	00 (00)	01 (02)		
Self employed	06 (12)	06 (11)		
Homemaker	15 (29)	14 (26)		
Unemployed	24 (46)	23 (43)		
Retired	02 (04)	02 (04)		
Receiving health benefits from			3.77	0.28
Ayushman Card	50 (96.15)	49 (90.74)		
Health insurance	01 (1.92)	04 (7.41)		
Personal money	01 (1.92)	01 (1.85)		
Years since diagnosed with CKD Mean±SD	0.73 ± 1.32	0.70 ±1.07	0.68	0.49
Hemodialysis Session per week			3.86	0.42
1 times	04 (7.69)	04 (7.41)		
2 times	35 (67.31)	36(66.67)		
3 times	13(25.00)	14 (25.92)		
Diabetes mellitus			0.11	0.73
Yes	18(34.62)	17(31.48)		
No	34(65.38)	37(68.52)		
Hypertension			0.90	0.34
Yes	30 (57.69)	36 (66.67)		
No	22 (42.31)	18 (33.33)		
BMI			0.50	0.61
Underweight	15 (28.85)	18 (33.33)		
Normal Weight	34 (65.38)	30 (55.56)		
Overweight	03 (5.77)	06 (11.11)		

134

135 Data analysis

136 Demographic and clinical characteristics were evaluated through descriptive statistics. The
137 homogeneity of the groups at the baseline of the study was examined utilizing the chi-square
138 test, Fisher's exact test, and two-tailed independent t-tests. The impact of the intervention was
139 subsequently assessed at 12 and 24 weeks.

140 Table 2 Comparison of Self-care practice scores between experimental and control group at

Domains of self-care practice	Experimental group (52) Mean± SD	Control group (54) Mean± SD	t- test	p-value
Fistula Care	24.15 ± 4.4	22.48 ± 3.4	2.23	0.025
Physical Activity	18.71 ± 4.5	17.85 ± 4.8	1.35	0.175
Dietary Modification	14.73 ± 2.2	13.57 ± 2.7	1.99	0.046
Fluid restriction	3.73 ± 1.1	3.75 ± 1.3	0.08	0.930
Total Self-care Practice	61.32 ± 08.13	57.60 ± 07.47	2.41	0.017

141 baseline

142 ***Independent t test, p < 0.05**

143 Table no 3 Changes in self-care practice of patients undergoing haemodialysis from Baseline
144 to 12 weeks and Baseline to 24 weeks

Variable		Experimental group (52) Mean ± SD Median (min, max)	Control group (54) Mean ±S D Median (min, max)	p-value (between group)
Fistula Care	Baseline to 12 weeks	9.96 ± 4.07 0 (-3, 10)	-0.43 ± 3.60 0 (-8, 15)	< 0.001
	Baseline to 24 weeks	9.11 ± 5.24 10 (-3, 20)	-1.34 ± 9.11 -0.5 (-16, 20)	< 0.001
Activity	Baseline to 12 weeks	29.64 ± 9.46 31 (-5, 42)	-1.18 ± 6.99 -2 (-11, 44)	< 0.001
	Baseline to 24 weeks	32.07 ± 9.49 34 (-6, 46)	2.21 ± 6.67 -2.5 (-12, 38)	< 0.001
Dietary Modification	Baseline to 12 weeks	5.19 ± 3.31 5 (-2, 16)	-2.69 ± 3.30 -3 (-10, 8)	< 0.001
	Baseline to 24 weeks	5.41 ± 2.69 5 (-1, 10)	-3.46 ± 3.93 -3.5(-14,11)	< 0.001
Fluid restriction	Baseline to 12 weeks	2.96 ± 1.21 3 (0, 5)	-0.20 ± 1.26 0(-3, 5)	< 0.001
	Baseline to 24 weeks	3.09 ± 1.23 3(-1, 5)	-0.48 ± 1.30 0 (-4, 3)	< 0.001

145

146 Ethical considerations

147 To maintain confidentiality, participant records, which were designated by numerical
148 identifiers, were securely stored apart from the measurement data in a locked file drawer.

149 Electronic files were safeguarded on an encrypted computer. Access to the research
150 information was restricted solely to the study researcher.

151 RESULTS

152 Baseline personal profiles

153 As shown in Table 1, 106 patients receiving haemodialysis were enrolled in the study.
154 Participants' mean age was 47.5 (SD = 12.86). They had received haemodialysis for a mean
155 of 0.73 (SD = 1.32) months. Most participants were married, lived with family members,
156 reported no formal education, residing in rural area, having monthly family income of less
157 than 10,000 rupees per month and were unemployed. No significant differences in
158 demographic and clinical characteristics were found between intervention and control groups
159 at baseline (all $p > 0.05$)

160 Changes in self-care practice

161 The mean baseline fistula care score was 24.15 (SD = 04.40), physical activity score was
162 18.71 (SD = 4.50), dietary modification score was 14.73 (SD = 2.20), fluid restriction score
163 was 3.73 (SD = 1.10) indicating moderate self-care practice. For all the variables (Fistula
164 Care, Activity, Dietary Modification, and Fluid Restriction), the experimental group showed
165 significant improvements compared to the control group at both 12 and 24 weeks. The p -
166 values indicate that these differences are statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) for all measures.

167 DISCUSSION

168 Study's findings align with existing literature demonstrating the positive impact of
169 educational interventions on self-care practices among hemodialysis patients. Several studies
170 have reported significant improvements in patients' adherence to treatment regimens,
171 including fluid and dietary restrictions, following structured educational programs.

172 For instance, a study published in the *International Journal of Health Sciences* evaluated the
173 effect of an educational intervention on hemodialysis patients' knowledge and adherence
174 levels. The results indicated that the intervention group experienced a significant increase in
175 knowledge regarding disease management, fluid adherence, and dietary adherence compared
176 to the control group. Notably, adherence improved across all domains, with statistically
177 significant enhancements in fluid and dietary restrictions (Dsouza B et al. 2023)

178 Similarly, research featured in the *Journal of Education and Health Promotion* assessed the
179 impact of education based on the PRECEDE model on self-care behaviours in hemodialysis
180 patients. The findings revealed that the educational intervention effectively elevated the level
181 of self-care behaviours among participants, emphasizing the importance of tailored
182 educational programs in promoting better health practices. (Mohammed AW .et.al. 2023)

183 Furthermore, a study in the *Journal of Renal Care* examined the effects of a hemodialysis
184 patient education program on adherence to fluid and dietary restrictions. The study concluded
185 that the training provided to hemodialysis patients positively influenced their compliance
186 with diet and fluid restrictions, leading to improved adherence (Başer E, Mollaoğlu M. 2019)

187 These studies, among others, corroborate findings that structured educational interventions
188 can significantly enhance self-care practices in hemodialysis patients, particularly concerning
189 fluid restriction and dietary modifications. Implementing such programs can empower
190 patients to manage their condition more effectively, potentially leading to improved health
191 outcomes.

192 Limitations

193 The results of the study were influenced by the participants' adherence to the comprehensive
194 care intervention, which encompassed multiple domains. This complexity contributed to
195 lower adherence rates and inconsistent application of the intervention. Additionally, the
196 participants were aware of their group assignments, which may have introduced bias into the
197 self-reported outcomes.

198 Implications for clinical practice and future research

199 Enhancing the value of future investigations involves exploring the adherence to self-care
200 practice. Particular emphasis should be placed on qualitative responses to contribute to the
201 development and refinement of the intervention. Face-to-face interviews instead of written
202 self-reports can further enrich the qualitative data. This expanded approach aims to foster a
203 comprehensive understanding of the intervention's potential benefits.

204 CONCLUSIONS

205 In summary, this study provides compelling evidence to support the efficacy of
206 comprehensive nursing intervention on self-care practice among patients receiving
207 haemodialysis. User-friendly and cost-effective supplementary modalities

208 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

209 Minu Pargaen: Principal project leader who conceived the study, invented comprehensive
210 nursing intervention, recruited the participants and drafted and revised the manuscript.

211 Dr. Kamli Prakash: Helped to select the study, helped in formulating the intervention and
212 guided during the study period.

213 Dr. Sanchita Pugazhendi: Guided during the study period. and approved the final manuscript.

214 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

215 The authors would like to thank the nurse in charge and nurses for helping them recruit the
216 study participants at the haemodialysis departments in the Nephroplus dialysis centre.

217 CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

218 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

219 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

220 Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created in this study.

221

222 REFERENCES

223 Başer E, Mollaoğlu M.(2019) The effect of a hemodialysis patient education program on fluid
224 control and dietary compliance. Hemodial Int.23(3):392–401. Available
225 from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/hdi.12744>

226 Connelly K, Siek KA, Chaudry B, Jones J, Astroth K, Welch JL. (2012) An offline mobile
227 nutrition monitoring intervention for varying-literacy patients receiving hemodialysis: a
228 pilot study examining usage and usability. Journal of the American Medical Informatics
229 Association. 19(5):705–712. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1136/amiajnl-2011-
230 000732](https://doi.org/10.1136/amiajnl-2011-000732)

231 Dsouza B, Prabhu R, Unnikrishnan B, Ballal S, Mundkur SC, Chandra Sekaran V, et al.
232 (2022) Effect of Educational Intervention on Knowledge and Level of Adherence
233 among Hemodialysis Patients: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Glob Health Epidemiol
234 Genomics.2023(1), 4295613. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/4295613>

- 235 Lukman NA, Leibing A, Merry L. (2020) Self-Care Experiences of Adults with Chronic
236 Disease in Indonesia: An Integrative Review. *Int J Chronic Dis.*:1379547. Available
237 from:<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7468663/>
- 238 Li PKT, Garcia-Garcia G, Lui SF, Andreoli S, Fung WWS, Hradsky A, et al (2020). Kidney
239 Health for Everyone Everywhere – From Prevention to Detection and Equitable Access
240 to Care. *KDD.*:6(3):136–43. Available from: [https://www.karger.com/Article/ FullText/
241 506528](https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/506528)
- 242 Mansouri S, Jalali A, Rahmati M, Salari N. (2020) Educational supportive group therapy and
243 the quality of life of hemodialysis patients. *BioPsychoSocial Medicine.* 15;14.
244 .Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13030-020-00200-z>
- 245 Mohammed A W , Abdel- Mordy AM , Mohamoud AA.(2023). Effect of Educational
246 Intervention on Self- Care Behaviours of Hemodialysis Patients: Based on PRECEDE
247 Model. *Egyptian Journal of Health Care.* 14 (3) 264 – 284. Available from:
248 [https://ejhc.journals.ekb.eg/article_316046_3e06ad49801582b5b05c063e838d5e03.pdf
249 ?utm_source=chatgpt.com](https://ejhc.journals.ekb.eg/article_316046_3e06ad49801582b5b05c063e838d5e03.pdf?utm_source=chatgpt.com)
- 250 Neuen BL, Chadban SJ, Demaio AR, Johnson DW, Perkovic V. (2017) Chronic kidney
251 disease and the global NCDs agenda. *BMJ Glob Health.* 2 (2). 1-4 Available from:
252 <https://gh.bmj.com/content/2/2/e000380>
- 253 Pargaian M, Prakash DK. (2025) Significance of self - care practices adopted by patients
254 undergoing Hemodialysis: Concept Article. *Journal of Emerging Technology and
255 Innovative Research* .12 (1). 426-430. Available from:
256 <https://www.jetir.org/view?paper=JETIR2501175>
- 257 Rayyani M, Malekyan L, Forouzi M, Razban F. (2014) Self-care Self-efficacy and Quality of
258 Life among Patients Receiving Hemodialysis in South-East of Iran. *Asian Journal of
259 Nursing Education and Research.*4 (2).165-171
- 260 Vaidya SR, Aeddula NR. (2024) Chronic Kidney Disease. In: *StatPearls.* Treasure Island
261 (FL): StatPearls Publishing; Available from:
262 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK535404/>

263 Varughese S, Abraham G. (2018) Chronic Kidney Disease in India: A Clarion Call for
264 Change. Clinical Journal of American Society of Nephrology. 13(5):802–804. Available
265 from: <https://cjasn.asnjournals.org/content/13/5/802>

266 Yasin F, Khraim F, Santos M, Forgrave D, Hamad A. (2024) Factors influencing self-care
267 management in adult hemodialysis patients: An integrative review. Qatar Med Journal.
268 (1):12.1-15 Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC11037095/>

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

UNDER PEER REVIEW IN IJAR