ISSN: 2320-5407



International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

Manuscript No.: IJAR-50362

Date: 22-02-2025

Title: The Use of Physical Restraints in Critical Care Units: Physicians\' and Nurses\' Perspectives and Ethical Considerations

Recommendation:	Rating	Excel.	Good	Fair	Poor
Accept as it is YES Accept after minor revision Accept after major revision Do not accept (<i>Reasons below</i>)	Originality				
	Techn. Quality				
	Clarity				
,	Significance				

Reviewer's Name: Dr Aamina

Reviewer's Decision about Paper:

Recommended for Publication.

Comments (Use additional pages, if required)

<u>Reviewer's Comment / Report</u>

This study on **physical restraints in critical care units** presents a **well-structured analysis** of the perspectives of **physicians and nurses**, incorporating **ethical and legal concerns**. Below is an **evaluation** of key strengths:

Strengths:

□ Relevance and Significance

- The study addresses a critical and controversial issue in ICU management.
- It balances medical necessity with ethical concerns, making it relevant to both healthcare providers and policymakers.

□ Clear Objectives and Methodology

International Journal of Advanced Research

Publisher's Name: Jana Publication and Research LLP

www.journalijar.com

REVIEWER'S REPORT

- The research **effectively combines qualitative and quantitative methods** (questionnaire, interviews, observational data).
- The survey of 100 healthcare professionals provides a strong foundation for analysis.
- The inclusion of **real-life case studies** strengthens the study's **practical implications**.

□ Ethical and Legal Considerations

- The study **acknowledges ethical dilemmas**, emphasizing **patient autonomy**, **dignity**, **and risks of harm**.
- Discusses cultural and regional differences, making it relevant for global policy development.

□ Quantitative Data and Trends Identified

• The study presents numerical data on restraint justification (e.g., 92.5% of physicians use restraints for agitation), providing objective insights.

Final Verdict:

□ This study is highly relevant and methodologically sound.