

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Clinical Study of effectiveness of the *Amritadya guggulu* in Hyperlipidemia (*Medho roga*)

Abstract

Hyperlipidemia is a medical condition characterized by an increase in one or more of the plasma lipids. According to classical writings, Ayurveda referred to hyperlipidemia as *Medo Roga*. The purpose of the study was to study the effect of *Amritadya guggulu* on hyperlipidemia. Methodology: Study was Randomized, Comparative clinical study. with duration of the eight weeks and two months follow up period. 44 Patients were selected randomly from outdoor patient department of Swastavritta clinic , National Ayurveda Teaching Hospital, Borella. Treatment effectiveness is based on subjective and objective parameters. . The University of Colombo's Institute of Indigenous Medicine was provided ethical clearance Total cholesterol, Triglyceride, HDL, LDL, VLDL and Risk Ratio in Lipid profile were assessed before and after the treatment. Results: The majority of them were between age of 50 and 59 i.e. 36.4%. All were married, with females accounting for 79.5%. Most of the participants were Buddhist (90.9%) and Sinhala (93.2%). The large amounts, 75%, lived in urban areas. There was a family history of hyperlipidemia in 33.3%. *Amrithadya guggulu* significantly reduced total cholesterol ($p<0.01$), LDL ($p<0.05$), and risk ratio ($p<0.05$). Significant reduction in BMI and weight ($p<0.01$). Results showed substantial changes in systolic and diastolic blood pressure levels before and after treatment ($p<0.01$). Symptoms of hyperlipidemia included thirst (*Thrusha*), hunger (*Kshuth*), daytime sleepiness (*Swapna*), hyperhidrosis (*Ati Sweda*), and offensive body odor (*Daugandya*), lack of body strength (*Daurbalya*) and difficulty in breathing (*Kshudra Shwasa*) were greatly reduced ($p<0.001$), except for Impaired or decreased Sexual Performance (*AlpaMaithuna*) ($p>0.05$). The present study concluded as *Amritadya guggulu* had significant result on elevated lipid profile and symptoms of in hyperlipidemic patients.

Key words: *Amritadya guggulu*, Hyperlipidemia, Lipid profile, *Medho roga*

32

33

34 **Introduction**

35 A medical disorder known as hyperlipidemia is defined by a decrease in high-density
36 lipoprotein levels and an increase in one or more plasma lipids, such as triglycerides,
37 cholesterol, and plasma lipoproteins, such as very low-density lipoprotein and low-density
38 lipoprotein¹. Hyperlipidemias can be categorized as acquired (also known as secondary)
39 when they arise from another underlying condition that alters the metabolism of lipoproteins
40 and plasma lipids, or familial (also known as primary) when they are brought on by particular
41 genetic defects. An genetic disorder known as familial hypercholesterolemia results in
42 elevated LDL (low-density lipoprotein) cholesterol levels from birth, a 1 in 2 (50%)
43 probability of passing on the mutated gene to each of the offspring, and early heart
44 attacks.² Elevated cholesterol raises the risk of stroke and heart disease. High cholesterol is
45 the cause of one-third of ischemic heart disease worldwide. An estimated 2.6 million fatalities
46 (4.5 percent of all deaths) are attributed to elevated cholesterol. As a risk factor for ischemic
47 heart disease and stroke, elevated total cholesterol is a significant contributor to the disease
48 burden in both the developed and developing worlds.³ Furthermore, throughout the Asia-
49 Pacific area, cardiovascular disease (CVD) is becoming one of the major health concerns.

50 In 2008, the WHO estimated that the prevalence of dyslipidemia, which is defined as blood
51 levels of TC > 5 mmol/L [190 mg/dL], was significantly lower in Southeast Asia (30.3%) and
52 the Western Pacific (36.7%) than in Europe (53.7%) and the Americas (47.7%)⁴. An
53 independent risk factor for ischemic heart disease is hyperlipidemia. In 2019, ischemic heart
54 disease was the top cause of hospital deaths. For the majority of the primary leading causes of
55 mortality, male fatalities are comparatively higher than equivalent female deaths⁵.

56 According to basic concepts in Ayurveda text *Amritadya Guggulu* with bee honey was
 57 suitable drug for Hyperlipidemia and which is indicated in many Ayurveda texts such as
 58 *Govinda Dasji Bhisagratna, (1956) Bhaisajya Rathnavali Volume 2, MedoRoga,Chapter*
 59 *39⁶,Sri Jagadishvara Prasad Tripathy,(1983)Chakradatta,Athisthulya Chikithsa,Chapter,33⁷*
 60 *,Bulusu Sitaram(2010) Bhavaprakasa of Bhavamisra (Original text along with commentary*
 61 *and translation), Madhyamakhandas,Chapter 39⁸.*

62 **Table 1. Ingredients of Amrtadya Guggulu**

Sanskrit name	Scientific name	Part used	Proportion
<i>Amrita</i>	<i>Tinosphora cordifolia</i>	Stem	1 Part
<i>Ela</i>	<i>Elittaria cardamomum</i>	Seed	2 Part
<i>Vidanga</i>	<i>Embelia Ribes</i>	Seed	3 Part
<i>Vatsaka</i>	<i>Holarrhena antidysentrica wall</i>	Stem	4 Part
<i>Kalinga</i>	<i>Holarrhena antidysentrica seed</i>	Seed	5 Part
<i>Pathya</i>	<i>Terminalia chebula</i>	Fruit	6 Part
<i>Amalaki</i>	<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i>	Fruit	7 Part
<i>Guggulu</i>	<i>Commiphora mukul</i>	Oleogum resine (from stem)	8 Part

63
 64 According to the Table 1. drugs were mixed in an increasing order, thus the highest
 65 ingredient being *Guggulu*.

66 Moreover, they have mentioned that Powder form of *Amritadya Guggulu* administrating
 67 with bee honey that is a *Anupana* (vehicle). *Anupana* is a vehicle taken with medicine and
 68 which assists the action of main ingredient and plays an integral part of absorption of the
 69 medication (*Charaka Samhitha, Chikithsa Adyanaya*)⁹ As well as bee honey has
 70 hypolipidaemic action¹⁰.In this case bee honey will facilitated absorption of *Amritadya*
 71 *Guggulu* to the body.

72 According to Ayurveda theories Hyperlipidemia also caused by imbalance of agni and
 73 increase of *Kapha* and *Medodhathu*. So *Kaphamedhagna* (Reduced *Kapha* and *Medodhathu*)
 74 treatment is essential in this condition. According to the above text books in Ayurveda, which

75 were *Bhaisajya Rathnavali* and *Chakradatta* have mentioned that *Amritadya Guggulu* has
 76 the effect of hypolipideamic actions^{6,7,8}.

77

78

79 **Table 2. Properties of ingredients of *Amritadya Guggulu* according to Ayurveda¹¹**

Name of the ingredient	Rasa	Guna	Virya	Vipaka	Dosha Ghana	Karma
<i>Tinospora Cordifolia</i>	Tiktha, Kasaya Madhura	Guru, Snigda	Ushna	Mdhura	Pitta,vata,k apha shamaka	Rakthashodaka , Deeepana, Pachana
<i>Elittaria cardamomum</i>	Katu. Tikta	Ruksha, Laghu	Seeta	Katu	Pitta,vata,k apha shamaka	Hardya, Muthrajanaka, Deeepana, Pachana
<i>Embelia Ribes</i>	Katu, Tikta,	Lagu, Thishna	Ushna	Katu	Vata,Kaph a shamaka	Deeepana Pachana
<i>Holarrhena antidysentrica wall</i>	Tiktha, Kasaya Katu	Ruksha, Laghu	Sheetha	Katu	Kapha, Piththa- shamaka	Lekhana,Deep ana, Rakthshodaka, Dhathushosha na
<i>Holarrhena antidysentrica seed</i>	Tiktha, Kasaya katu	Ruksha, Laghu	Sheetha	Katu	Kapha, Piththa- shamaka	Deeepana, Sangrahi, Vathanulomaa
<i>Terminalia chebula</i>	Madura, Amla, Katu, Tikta,Kas aya	Ruksha, Laghu	Ushna	Madhura	Vatha- shamaka	Deeepana, Pachanama, Mruduvirecha na
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i>	Madura, Amla, Katu, Tikta Kasaya	Ruksha, Guru	Sheetha	Madhura	Vata, Kapha shamaka Specially pitta- shamana	Dahaprasham ana, Medya, Hardya, Yakruythuththe jaka
<i>Commiphora Mukul</i>	Tiktha, Kasaya, Madura, katu	Purana- Laghu, Theeksh na,Ushn a,,Sara, Sukshm a, Navina	Ushna	Katu	Pitta,,vata, kapha shamaka	Lekhana, Vedanasthapa na, Deeepana, Anulomana

		<i>Pichhil a. Snigda</i>				
--	--	----------------------------------	--	--	--	--

80 *Acharya Charaka* describes in detail the etiology, pathogenesis, pathophysiology, signs and
81 symptoms, complications, prognosis, and management of *sthaulya* in the chapter
82 “*Ashtaunindeetiya Adhyaya*.”¹² *Atisthaulya* is also described in relation to *Nanatmaja Vikara*
83 of *kapha*. *Acharya Charaka* also introduces the concepts of *Bandha* and *Abaddhameda* in
84 relation to *sthaulya*: *Baddhameda* refers to solid or obvious fat of the body, while
85 *Abaddhameda* refers to free or mobile fat. He explains that *Atisantarpana* (over-nourishment)
86 results in obstructive pathology in the *Rakta Marga* (blood channels) and causes morbid
87 *Medodhatu* (fat tissue) and *Kaphadosha*. The text also explains in detail about *Kapha* and
88 *Vata*, their causes for *Vridhhi* (growth) and *Prakopa* (aggravation), and provides a complete
89 picture of the pathophysiology of *Avarana* (blockage)¹³.

90 According to the Table 2.2 Ayurveda each ingredient of *Amritadya guggulu* has properties of
91 *Kapha Vata shamaka* which caused to reduce signs and symptoms of *Medo roga*.

92 **Objectives**

93 To study the effect of the *Amritadya guggulu* on Hyperlipidemic patients.

94 **Methodology**

95 Present study was Randomized, Comparative clinical study. Duration of the clinical
96 research was eight weeks and two months follow up period. 44 Patients were selected
97 randomly from outdoor patient department of *Swastavritta* clinic from, National Ayurveda
98 Teaching Hospital(NATH), Borella. Ethical Clearance was granted by Ethics Review
99 Committee of Institute of Indigenous Medicine, University of Colombo. Patients were
100 registered for the study, after collecting their consent his/her willingness to the volunteer
101 participation for the research. Patients were d examine their general health condition with
102 relevant blood tests.

103 Inclusion criteria:-Patients who exhibit high levels of total cholesterol, LDL, VLDL,
104 triglycerides, and lower HDL (serum total cholesterol >240 mg/dl, HDL < 40 mg/dl, serum
105 triglycerides >150 mg/dl, LDL >160 mg/dl, and VLDL >30 mg/dl) and in between the ages
106 of 30 and 75 years were included in the study.

107 Exclusion criteria: Patients with known heart diseases (eg :- Angina, Congenital heart
108 diseases), with severe hypertension (>160/100Hgmm), age below 29 and over 76 years, and
109 pregnant and lactating mothers, patients with chronic disease conditions -excluded.

110 Each selected patients were screened with lipid profile test, liver function tests, fasting blood
111 sugar, serum creatinine, and GFR tests before starting the treatment and after completing the
112 8-week period. Data on socio-demographic characteristics such as age, gender, religion,
113 ethnicity, and others were gathered through a questionnaire. Patients visited the clinic once
114 more after the follow-up period for a final. check-up.

115 Patients were prescribed with *Amritadya Guggulu* powder 7.5g mixed with one and half
116 teaspoon of bee honey, Morning (Around 8.00 a.m.) and evening(Around 8.00 p.m.) after
117 meal according to the prescription in *Bhaisajya Rathnavali* ⁶.

118 After the treatment period, each patient was assessed through lipid profile, liver function tests
119 (SGPT, SGOT), serum creatinine, eGFR and fasting blood sugar tests. The signs and
120 symptoms of *Medoroga*(Hyperlipidemia)as*Thrusha* (Thirst),*Kshuth* (Hunger),*Swapna*
121 (Daytime Sleepiness) ,*Ati Sweda* (Hyperhidrosis) *Daurgandya* (Offensive Body Odor).
122 *Daurbalya* (lack of Body Strength) and *Kshudra Shwasa* (Difficulty in breathing) and
123 *Maithuna* (Impaired or decreased Sexual Performance)and complications of *Medoroga*
124 (Hyperlipidemia) were assessed before and after treatment. Additionally physical
125 examinations (wight, waist circumference, MUAC -mid-upper arm circumference and vital

126 signs blood pressure, pulse, and respiratory rate) were measured at each weekly clinic visit.

127 Efficacy of the treatment is determined on subjective and objective parameters.

128

129

130

131

132 Results

133 **Table 3. Distribution of the Sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents.**

Characteristics	Frequency (N)	Percentage %
Age		
30-39	5	11
40-49	9	21
50-59	16	36
60-69	9	21
70-79	5	11
Gender		
Male	9	20.5
Female	35	79.5
Civil status		
Unmarried	0	0
Married	44	100
Monthly income		
Below 10000	21	47.7
10000-20000	1	2.3
20000-30000	6	13.6
30000-40000	1	2.3
40000-50000	5	11.4
Above 50000	10	22.7
Occupation		
Government	5	11.4
Private	12	27.3
Self occupation	3	6.8
Not engaged in occupation	24	54.5
Religion		
Buddhist	40	91
Catholic	2	4.5
Hindu	2	4.5
Race		
Sinhala	41	93.2
Tamil	3	6.8
Education		
Primary	5	11.4

Up to O/L	18	40.9
Up to A/L	15	34.1
Degree	6	13.6
Living area		
Urban	33	75.0
Suburban	7	15.9
Rural	4	9.1
Family history		
Yes	15	34.1
No	29	65.9

134 In the present study as shown in Table 3. Most of them were 50-59 age group i.e.36.4%.All
135 were married and female 79.5% .Most individuals in private employment (27.3%) and a
136 larger number not engaged in any occupation (54.5%),Higher percentage (47.7%) of them
137 earned below 10,000 Sri Lankan Rupees. The majority of participants were Buddhist 90.9%.
138 Sinhala ethnicity was predominant 93.2%.Most of the respondents were education level up to
139 O/L(40.9%). A majority lived in urban areas 75%. Family history of hyperlipidaemia 33.3%.

140 **Table 4. Effect of the treatment on lipid profile before and after by using Paired sample**
141 **T test.**

	Mean		Mean Def.	Std. D.	SEM	95% C.I.D		T value	P value
	BT	AT				Lower	Upper		
Total Cholesterol	265.15	244.87	20.28	44.828	6.75	6.65	33.91	3.00	.004
Triglycerides	171.69	175.07	-3.38	56.800	8.56	-20.64	13.88	-.39	.695
HDL	52.95	52.69	.26	9.1588	1.38	-2.53	3.039	.18	.855
LDL	178.32	157.14	21.18	46.352	6.98	7.09	35.278	3.03	.004
VLDL	34.77	34.57	.20	11.917	1.79	-3.42	3.820	.11	.913
Risk Ratio	5.20	4.85	.35	1.094	.16	.02078	.6860	2.14	.038

142 BT-Before Treatment, AT-After treatment, Mean Def.-Mean Difference, Std.D.-Slandered Deviation, SEM-
143 Slandered error of mean, C.L.D-Confidence Interval Difference.

144 There was a statistically significant reduction in total cholesterol (mean difference = 20.28, p
 145 = 0.004), indicating a positive effect of the treatment. There was higher mean difference. As
 146 well as a significant reduction in LDL levels was observed (mean difference = 21.18, p =
 147 0.004), suggesting that the treatment had a positive impact on LDL cholesterol. However
 148 significant change was not found in VLDL levels (mean difference = 0.20, p = 0.913

149 Also significant change was observed in the risk ratio (mean difference = 0.35, p = 0.038),
 150 which indicates an improvement in cardiovascular risk. Significant change was not observed
 151 in triglyceride levels (mean difference = -3.38, p = 0.695), suggested that the treatment did
 152 not have a notable effect on triglycerides. Result was found as significant change was not
 153 observed in HDL levels (mean difference = -0.26, p = 0.855), indicating that the treatment did
 154 not affect the good cholesterol.

155 **Table 5. Effect of treatment on BMI within group before and after by using Paired**
 156 **sample T test.**

	Mean		Mean diff.	SD	SEM	95% C I. D.		T value	P value
	BT	AT				Lower	Upper		
BMI	26.900	26.039	.861	1.730	.260	.335	1.388	3.303	.002

157
 158 The Paired Samples T-Test results indicated a statistically significant reduction in Body Mass
 159 Index (BMI) before and after the treatment. The mean difference in BMI is 0.861, with a
 160 standard deviation of 1.730. With a p-value of 0.002 and a t-value of 3.303, the results show
 161 that the reduction in BMI after treatment is statistically significant at a 95% confidence level.

162 **Table 6. Effect of treatment on Liver function and Kidney function test parameters**
 163 **within group before and after by using Paired sample T test**

	Mean		Mean def.	Std. d.	SEM	95% C.I.D.		T value	p value
	BT	AT				Lower	Upper		

SGPT	31.334	31.227	.106	18.544	2.795	-5.531	5.744	.038	.970
SGOT	32.911	28.584	4.327	21.279	3.208	-2.142	10.796	1.349	.184
Se. Cre.	.799	.842	-.042	.108	.016	-.075	-.009	-2.58	.013
GFR	102.641	82.370	20.271	124.792	18.813	-17.66	58.212	1.078	.287

164

165 .

166 Within Group the Paired Samples T-Test results for liver and kidney function parameters
 167 showed the following: SGPT with mean difference = 0.106, and p-value of 0.970, indicating
 168 no significant change. SGOT with mean difference = 4.327, and p-value of 0.184, indicating
 169 no significant change. For kidney function, Serum Creatinine: Mean difference = -0.042, with
 170 a p-value of 0.013, indicating a statistically significant change. eGFR with mean difference =
 171 20.271, and p-value of 0.287, indicating no significant change. The results suggested that
 172 there were no significant changes in liver function (SGPT and SGOT) or eGFR, but there was
 173 a significant change in serum creatinine levels within Group before and after the treatment,
 174 with a p-value of 0.013.

175 **Table 7. Effect of treatment on weight before and after by using Paired sample T test.**

	Mean		Mean def.	Std. d.	SEM	95% C.I.D		T value	P value
	AT	BT				Lower	Upper		
weight	64.415	62.523	1.892	3.7769	.5694	.743	3.040	3.323	.002

176 In the group the Paired Samples T-Test results showed a statistically significant reduction in
 177 weight before and after the treatment. The mean weight difference is 1.892, with a standard
 178 deviation of 3.7769. With a t-value of 3.323 and a p-value of 0.002, this change is significant
 179 at a 95% confidence level. Reduction in weight is treatment effectively reduced weight within
 180 Group .

181 **Table 8. Effect of treatment on FBS before and after by using Paired sample T test.**

	Mean		Mean def.	S.D.	SEM	95% C.I.D		T value	P value
	BT	AT				Lower	Upper		

FBS	109.25	113.16	-3.918	15.198	2.291	-8.539	.702	-1.710	.094
-----	--------	--------	--------	--------	-------	--------	------	--------	------

182

183 Paired Samples T-Test results indicated that there was no statistically significant change in
 184 fasting blood sugar (FBS) within Group before and after the treatment. The mean difference
 185 in FBS is -3.918, with a standard deviation of 15.198. The t-value is -1.710, and the p-value
 186 is 0.094, which is greater than 0.05, showing that the change is not statistically significant.

187 **Table 9. Effect of treatment on Systolic and Diastolic blood pressure within group**
 188 **before and after by using Paired sample T test.**

			Mean	SD	SEM	95% CID		T value	P value
	AT	BT				Lower	Upper		
Systolic .BP	121.14	109.55	11.591	11.603	1.749	8.063	15.118	6.627	.001
Diastolic .BP	76.59	71.14	5.455	8.478	1.278	2.877	8.032	4.268	.001

189 The Paired Samples T-Test results indicate significant changes in both systolic and diastolic
 190 blood pressure within the group on before and after the treatment. The mean difference was
 191 11.591, and p-value of 0.001, indicating a statistically significant reduction in systolic blood
 192 pressure. The mean difference is 5.455, with a p-value of 0.001, indicating a statistically
 193 significant reduction in diastolic blood pressure. Both p-values were well below the 0.05,
 194 suggesting that the treatment had a significant effect on reducing both systolic and diastolic
 195 blood pressure within group .

196 **Table 10. Effect of the symptoms before and after on the treatment by using Wilcoxon**
 197 **signed rank test.**

Symptoms	Z value	Mean Rank		P value
		Negative	Positive	
Thirst	-5.23	17.58	15.00	.001
Hunger	-4.80	15.00	00.00	.001

Sleepiness	-4.94	18.24	27.50	.001
Hyperhidrosis	-4.09	12.20	7.50	.001
Body Odor	-4.96	14.50	00.00	.001
Sexual performance	-.677	8.88	7.00	.499
Body strength	-4.75	18.38	20.50	.001
Difficult in breathing	-5.23	18.00	00.00	.001

198 In study group Thirst, Hunger, Sleepiness, Hyperhidrosis, Body Odor, Body Strength, and
 199 Difficulty in Breathing all showed statistically significant improvements after treatment, with
 200 p-values <0.001. The Z-values for these symptoms are relatively high (ranging from -4.09 to
 201 -5.23), indicating substantial changes in symptom severity from pre-treatment to post-
 202 treatment. However there were not Significant Improvement in Sexual Performance($p>0.05$).
 203 Sexual performance has a p-value of 0.499, indicating no statistically significant change. The
 204 Z-value for sexual performance is -0.677, much lower than for the other symptoms. This
 205 result suggests that the treatment did not have a measurable effect on sexual performance in
 206 this group.

207 **Table 11. Effect of the symptoms of Santhoma, Xanthelasma and Arcus cornea before**
 208 **and after treatment by using Wilcoxon signed rank test.**

Symptoms	Z value	Mean Rank		P value
		Negative	Positive	
Arcuscorneae	0.00	00.00	00.00	1.000
Santhoma	0.00	00.00	00.00	1.000
Xanthalesma	-1.00	00.00	00.00	1.000

209

210 In group B all three symptoms Arcus cornae, Santhoma, and Xanthalesma have p-values of
 211 1.000. This indicates that there was no measurable improvement in these symptoms after

212 treatment. Arcus corneae and Santhoma has a Z-value of 0.000, which suggested that there
 213 was no observed change in severity for any of these symptoms.

214

215

216

217

218 **Table 12. Effect of the complication before and after on the treatment.**

Symptoms	Z value	Mean Rank		P value
		Negative	Positive	
Pendulous abdomen	-1.73	00.00	2.00	.083
Pendulous Buttocks	-1.73	00.00	2.00	.083
Pendulous Breast	-1.73	00.00	2.00	.083

219

220 In group B the p-values for all three parameters Pendulous Abdomen, Pendulous Buttocks,
 221 and Pendulous Breast was 0.083. These values were still above the standard threshold of
 222 0.05, meaning they are not statistically significant. The Z-values for all three symptoms were -
 223 1.732, indicating some change was observed, but it was not substantial enough to reach
 224 statistical significance.

225 **Table 13. Treatment's effect on the lipid profile both before and after treatment follow-**
 226 **up using the Paired Sample T test.**

	Mean		Mean def.	SD	SEM	95% CID		T value	p value
	AT	BT				Lower	Upper		
Follow up TC	263.54	223.16	40.38	42.944	8.766	22.241	58.508	4.606	.001
Follow up Try	156.39	160.66	-4.27	59.337	12.112	-29.326	20.785	-.353	.728

follow up HDL	56.912	54.791	2.12	13.971	2.851	-3.778	8.020	.744	.465
Follow up LDL	176.17	136.24	39.93	45.789	9.346	20.602	59.272	4.273	.001
follow up VLDL	31.254	32.133	-.879	11.888	2.426	-5.899	4.140	-.362	.720
Follow up risk ratio	4.7804	4.220	.56	1.100	.224	.095	1.024	2.493	.020

227

228 The Paired Samples T-Test results showed statistically significant changes in Total
229 Cholesterol with the mean difference is 40.38, and the p-value is 0.001, indicating a
230 statistically significant change in total cholesterol levels after the follow-up. LDL with the
231 mean difference was 39.93, and p-value of 0.001, showing a significant increased in LDL
232 levels after the follow-up. Risk Ratio with the mean difference is 0.56, and p-value of 0.020,
233 indicating a significant change in the risk ratio after the follow-up.

234 There were no statistically significant changes of Triglycerides with the mean difference was
235 -4.27, and p-value of 0.728, indicating no significant change in triglyceride levels. HDL with
236 the mean difference was 2.12, and p-value of 0.465, indicating no significant change in HDL
237 levels. VLDL with the mean difference is -0.879, with a p-value of 0.720, indicating no
238 significant change in VLDL levels.

239 **Table 14: Treatment effects on FBS, kidney function test, and liver function test before**
240 **and after follow-up using the Paired Sample T test.**

	Mean		Mean	SD	SEM	95% C.I.D		t value	P value
	AT	BT				Lower	Upper		
Follow-up SGOT	23.916	23.625	.291	9.87	2.014	-3.876	4.459	.145	.886
Follow-up SGPT	24.500	24.083	.416	11.57	2.362	-4.469	5.303	.176	.862
Follow-up S.cr.	.775	.733	.041	.10	.0219	-.003	.087	1.900	.070
follow-up	116.95	86.724	30.229	168.07	34.307	-40.740	101.199	.881	.387
Follow-up FBS	115.12	106.04	9.083	48.84	9.971	-11.543	29.710	.911	.372

241

242 Table 14. represented the results of a paired samples t-test, comparing pre- and follow-up
243 values for various parameters (SGOT, SGPT, serum creatinine, GFR, and FBS) across group.

244 According to the Observations SGOT : No significant difference (p = .886).SGPT : no
245 significant differences (p = .862).

246 Serum Creatinine.: not significant (p = .070).GFR : no significant differences (p = .387).FBS
247 : no significant differences (Group B: p = .372).The results of the Paired Samples Test
248 indicate that there were no statistically significant differences between the pre- and follow-up
249 measurements across all variables. Overall, the analysis suggests that there were no
250 substantial changes in SGOT, SGPT, serum creatinine, GFR, or FBS levels from pre- to
251 follow-up measurements in group.

252 Discussion

253 Hypolipidemic Action of *Amritadya Guggulu* according to Ayurveda

254 According to the antient text *Amritadya Guggulu* contained *Amrita* (*Tinosphora*
255 *cordifolia*),*Ela*(*Elittaria cardamomum*),*Vidanga*(*Embelia Ribes*),*Vatsaka* (*Holarrhena*
256 *antidysentrica wall*),*Kalinga*(*Holarrhena antidysentrica seed*),*Pathya* (*Terminalia*
257 *chebula*),*Amalaki* (*Phyllanthus emblica*) and *Guggulu*(*Commiphora mukul*) in increasing
258 quantity. Most of the ingredients were kaphahara in mode of action. As the drugs are mixed
259 in an increasing order, thus the highest ingredient being *Guggulu* which was
260 *Kaphahara*⁹.Additionally, the largest concentration of *Guggulu* is seen in *Shuddha Guggulu*.
261 Furthermore, it possesses the qualities of *Katu rasa*, *laghu ruksha guna*, *Ushnaveerya*,
262 *Katuvipaka*, and *KaphaVata Shamaka*. Additionally, it has the following qualities: *Kleda-*
263 *Meda Shoshaka* (scrap out excess *Meda* and *Kapha*), *Srotovishodhaka* (open the
264 microchannels), *Paachana* (improves digestive power), *Deepana* (enlighten the *Agni*), and
265 potent in *Lekhana* property¹⁴. According to Ayurvedic teachings, hyperlipidemia is also
266 brought on by an increase of *Kapha* and *Medodhathu* and an imbalance in *Agni*. Therefore,
267 treatment with *Kaphamedhagna* is crucial for this illness. Therefore, all of these constituent
268 qualities assisted in eliminating excess *Meda* and *Kapha* and dismantling the
269 pathophysiology of hyperlipidemia.

270 The resin known as *guggul* (gum guggul) is made by the *Commiphora mukul*. Guggulipid,
271 which is extracted from *guggul*, contains plant sterols (guggulsterones E and Z), which are its
272 bioactive compounds. Research has shown that guggulipid significantly lowers serum total
273 cholesterol, LDL, and triglycerides while increasing HDL¹⁵.

274 In connection with *sthaulya*, *Acharya Charaka* also presents the ideas of *Baddha* and
275 *Abaddhameda*: *Abaddhameda* denotes free or mobile fat, whereas *Baddhameda* denotes solid
276 or visible body fat¹³. *Abaddha medas*, which travel throughout the body. *Medovilayana*
277 (liquefaction of fat) will result from *Tikta*, *Katu*, and *Kashaya rasas*. Drugs that are *Rooksha*,
278 *Sookshma*, and *Ushna* in nature, such *Guggulu*, *Haritaki*, *Vidanga*, and *Guduchi*, penetrate
279 deeper channels and eliminate *Sanga* or blockage. Because fat deposits in the arteries,
280 obstruction in the case of hyperlipidemia may manifest as atherosclerosis. Therefore, it aids
281 in the liquefaction of these fatty obstructions due to the aforementioned qualities.
282 *Theekshnagni* are calmed by drugs like *Ela*, *Amalaki*, and *Kutaja* by their *Sheeta veerya*.
283 *Haritaki* is 3rd highest ingredient amongst the ingredients, which is *Kashaya rasa*
284 *pradhana* and best *Vatanulomana*¹⁶.

285 A statistically significant decrease in total cholesterol was seen in our study (mean difference
286 = 20.28, p = 0.004), suggesting that the treatment was effective. The mean difference was
287 greater. Additionally, a significant decrease in LDL levels was observed (mean difference =
288 21.18, p = 0.004), indicating that the therapy improved LDL cholesterol. The risk ratio also
289 showed a significant change in our study (mean difference = 0.35, p = 0.038), indicating a
290 reduction in cardiovascular risk.

291 **Effect on Lipid profile**

292 A previous study found that one group received 30 days of treatment with *Amritadya*
293 *Guggulu*, and another group received 30 days of treatment with *Amritadya Guggulu*
294 combined with *Yavamalaki Choorna*. Both groups' total cholesterol, LDL, VLDL, and
295 triglycerides were statistically significant at p<0.001, but the group that only received
296 treatment for *Amritadya Guggulu* exhibited a statistically significant decrease in HDL
297 (P=0.043)¹⁶.

298 **Antiobesity effect**

299 Additional findings showed that the Body Mass Index (BMI) decreased statistically
300 significantly both before and after the treatment. The results demonstrated that the decrease in

301 BMI following therapy is statistically significant at a 95% confidence level, with a mean
302 difference of 0.861 and a p-value of 0.002. According to a previous study, *Amrithadya*
303 *guggulu* treatment within a group was substantially linked with BMI ($p < 0.001$).¹⁶.

304 Weight loss before and after treatment was statistically significant, according to the results of
305 our study; this difference is significant at a 95% confidence level. Weight loss is a treatment
306 that successfully decreased weight in the study group. A previous study found a strong
307 correlation between weight and receiving *Amrithadya guggulu* within a group ($p < 0.001$).¹⁷.

308

309 **Effect on Blood pressure**

310 With a p-value of 0.001, the medication significantly decreased the group's systolic and
311 diastolic blood pressure in the current study. According to previous research, *guggulu's*
312 hypotension activity was caused by *Meda Shoshana* (lowering *Meda*), *Srotovishodhana*
313 (cleaning the channels), and *Lekhana* (scraping) properties, which are dominant in the body's
314 circulatory system²⁰

315 **Effect on Sign and symptoms of Medoroga**

316 Thirst, hunger, sleepiness, hyperhidrosis, body odor, body strength, and difficulty breathing
317 all exhibited considerable improvement in the current investigation, indicating that treatment
318 significantly improved these symptoms. According to a previous study, *Amritadya Guggulu* is
319 useful in managing *medogoga* since it possesses *Rasa- Katu, Tikta, Kashaya, Guna- Laghu,*
320 *Ruksha* and *Virya- Ushna, Vipaka- Katu,* and *Dosha Karma- Kapha Vatashamaka*. The drug's
321 components were clearly detected in *Kapha*-predominant pathologies by its *Rasapanchaka*
322 (Five taste)¹⁸. It resulted in the symptoms becoming less severe.

323 *Arcus cornae*, *Santhoma* and *Xanthasma* did not exhibit any statistically significant changes
324 when compared before and after. Additionally, no statistically significant alterations were
325 seen in the pendulous breast, pendulous buttocks, or pendulous abdomen. This implied that
326 the medication had no effect on these physical traits. It can result in a longer treatment period
327 than two months. However, a previous study found that when hyperlipidemic individuals
328 received the Ayurvedic medications *Navaka Guggulu* and *Sthaulyahara Kashaya*, the results
329 showed statistically significant cases of pendulous breast, pendulous buttocks, and pendulous
330 abdomen.¹⁹.

331 **Conclusion**

332 *Amrithadya guggulu* treatment resulted in a statistically significant decrease in the study
333 group's total cholesterol ($p<0.01$), LDL ($p<0.05$), and risk ratio ($P<0.05$). Weight and Body
334 Mass Index (BMI) decreased statistically significantly ($p<0.01$). Both the diastolic and
335 systolic blood pressures changed significantly before and after the treatment, according to the
336 results with $p<0.01$. Symptoms of hyperlipidemia of *Thrusha* (Thirst), *Kshuth*
337 (Hunger), *Swapna* (Daytime Sleepiness), *Ati Sweda* (Hyperhidrosis), *Daurgandya* (Offensive
338 Body Odor), *Daurbalya* (lack of Body Strength) and *Kshudra Shwasa* (Difficulty in
339 breathing) ($p<0.001$) were statistically significant observed ($p<0.05$), except *Alpa Maithuna*
340 (Impaired or decreased Sexual Performance) ($p>0.05$). *Amritadya Guggulis* considered to be
341 a effective Ayurvedic drug for the treatment of hyperlipidemia.

342 **References**

- 343 1. Shattat G. F. A Review Article on Hyperlipidemia: Types, Treatments and New Drug
344 Targets. *Biomed Pharmacol J* 2014;7(2)
- 345 2. National Human Genome Research Institute. About familial hypercholesterolemia
346 [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2024 Dec 16]. [https:// www. Genome .gov/ 25520184 /learningabout-](https://www.Genome.gov/25520184/learningabout-familial-hyperchol)
347 [familial-hyperchol](https://www.Genome.gov/25520184/learningabout-familial-hyperchol)
- 348 3. World Health Organization, The Global Health Observatory, [https:// www. who. int/data/](https://www.who.int/data/gho/indicator-metadata-registry/imr-details/3236)
349 [gho/ indicator-metadata-registry/imr-details/3236](https://www.who.int/data/gho/indicator-metadata-registry/imr-details/3236)
- 350 4. Chao-Feng Lin a b 1, Ya-Hui Chang c 1, Shih-Chieh Chien d, Yueh-Hung Lin b, Hung-Yi
351 Yeh a ,Epidemiology of Dyslipidemia in the Asia Pacific Region, *International Journal of*
352 *Gerontology*, Volume 12, Issue 1, March 2018, Pages 2-6
- 353 5, Ministry of Health Sri Lanka. Annual health bulletin Sri Lanka 2019 [Internet]. Colombo:
354 Ministry of Health Sri Lanka; 2019 [cited 2024 Dec 16], [http://www.health. gov.](http://www.health.gov.lk/moh_final/english/public/elfinder/files/publications/AHB/2020/AHB%202019.pdf)
355 [lk/moh_final/english/public/elfinder/files/publications/AHB/2020/AHB%202019.pdf](http://www.health.gov.lk/moh_final/english/public/elfinder/files/publications/AHB/2020/AHB%202019.pdf)
- 356 6. Govinda Dasji Bhisagratna. *Bhaisajya Rathnavali*, Volume 2. Medo Roga, Chapter 39.
357 *Chaukhamba Sanskrit Sansthan*; 1956.p551
- 358 7. P.V.Sharma. *Chakradatta: Athisthulya Chikithsa*, Chapter 33. *Chaukhamba Sanskrit*
359 *Series*; 1983.p308

- 360 8. K.R.Srikanthamurthi,(Original text along with commentary and translation).
361 Madhyamakhanda, Chapter 39[13]. Chaukhamba Sanskrit Series; 2002.p502
- 362 9. Mehatre DD. Utility and importance of Anupana: A review. International Journal of Herbal
363 Medicine. 2014;2(4):31–34
- 364 10.Moon KJ, Rahman KA, Rahman H, Afroz R, Amin MR, Alima F, et al. Lipid-lowering
365 effect of natural honey in comparison to atorvastatin on hyperlipidemic rats. Journal of Dhaka
366 Medical College. 2017;26(2).
- 367 11. Ayurveda Pharmacopoeia. Volume 1, Part 3. Department of Ayurveda
- 368 12.Agnivesa, Charaka, Dridhabala. Charaka Samhita, Su/12/4. In: Sharma PV, editor. Delhi:
369 Chaukhamba Sanskrit Pratishthan; 2014. p. 144.
- 370 13.Agnivesa, Charaka, Dridhabala. Charaka Samhita, Ni./4/7. In: Sharma PV, editor. Delhi:
371 Chaukhamba Sanskrit Pratishthan; 2014. p. 270.
- 372 14. Sandeep Singh ,S. G. Chavan , M. A. Hullur,2016, A clinical study on hyperlipidemia
373 with medohar guggulu and lekhaniya mahakashaya , International Ayurvedic Medical
374 Journal, Volume 4; Issue 05; april;- 2016
- 375 15.Catherine Ulbricht et al,2005,Guggul for hyperlipidemia: A review by the Natural
376 Standard Research Collaboration, Complementary Therapies in Medicine Volume 13, Issue 4,
377 December 2005, Pages 279-290
- 378 16. Komala A, Santosh V R,2021,A comparative clinical evaluation of Amritadyaguggulu
379 with and without Yavamalaki choornain the management of dyslipidemia, International
380 Journal of Research in Ayush and Pharmaceutical Sciences, October2021| Vol 5| Issue 10
- 381 17.Chhabra S, et al. A comparative clinical study of the efficacy of amritadya guggulu with
382 triphala kwatha and with madhudak in the management of Medoroga (obesity). Int J
383 Ayurvedic Herb Med. 2015;5(6):xx-xx
- 384 18. Raina T. Mode of action of amritadya guggulu in the management of sthoulya with
385 respect to obesity. Ayur Pharma Res. 2018;6(8):49-54.
- 386 19. Prasad SVLN, et al. Efficacy and safety of an Ayurvedic regimen in Medoroga. Indian J
387 Tradit Knowledge. 2009;8(3):379-386.
- 388 20. Mamata Bhagwat1, Shrilatha Kamath,2022, Effect of shuddha guggulu on metabolic
389 syndrome - a case report, International Journal of Ayurvedaand Pharma Research
390 IJAPR | September 2022 | Vol 10 |