# 1 Comparative Study of Phaco-

# **Trabeculectomy and Small Incision**

# 3 Cataract Surgery Trabeculectomy in 50

## 4 Cataract Patients

### 5 Introduction

- 6 Cataracts and glaucoma often coexist, necessitating a surgical approach that addresses both
- 7 conditions simultaneously. Phaco-trabeculectomy and SICS trabeculectomy are two surgical
- 8 techniques that have gained prominence in managing cataracts with coexisting glaucoma.
- 9 Phaco-trabeculectomy combines phacoemulsification and trabeculectomy, offering the
- advantage of minimal invasiveness and quicker recovery. In contrast, SICS trabeculectomy,
- while effective, may be associated with a longer recovery time and higher complication rates.
- 12 This study aims to provide a comparative analysis of the two surgical techniques in terms of
- intraocular pressure control, visual acuity improvement, surgical time, and postoperative
- complications, offering insights into the optimal surgical choice for cataract patients with
- 15 glaucoma.

16

17

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

32

33

## Methodology

#### 18 Study Design

- 19 A prospective, randomized study was conducted at DVVPF's Medical College & Hospital
- between December 2023 and November 2024. The study included 50 cataract patients
- 21 diagnosed with coexisting glaucoma.

#### 22 Patient Selection

- Inclusion Criteria:
  - o Patients aged 50-80 years with confirmed cataract and glaucoma.
  - No previous ocular surgery.
- Informed consent obtained.
  - Exclusion Criteria:
    - Secondary glaucoma.
    - Advanced diabetic retinopathy.
- o Other ocular comorbidities affecting vision.

#### 31 Surgical Procedures

- Phaco-Trabeculectomy Group (n=25):
  - o Standard phacoemulsification with foldable IOL implantation.

- o Trabeculectomy performed with mitomycin-C application.
  - o Sutures applied as required.

#### • SICS-Trabeculectomy Group (n=25):

- o Manual small incision cataract surgery with rigid IOL implantation.
- o Trabeculectomy with mitomycin-C.
- o Suturing according to standard protocol.

#### **Outcome Measures**

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

51

- 1. **Intraocular Pressure (IOP)**: Measured preoperatively, and postoperatively at 1 week, 1 month, 3 months, and 6 months using Goldmann applanation tonometry.
  - 2. Visual Acuity (VA): Assessed using Snellen's chart at the same intervals.
  - 3. **Surgical Time**: Recorded from incision to the closure of the surgical site.
  - 4. **Complications**: Documented intraoperative and postoperative complications, including hyphema, shallow anterior chamber, and infection.

#### 47 Statistical Analysis

- paired t-test was used to compare preoperative and postoperative IOP and VA within each
- 49 group. An independent t-test was utilized to compare outcomes between the two groups. A p-
- value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## 52 **Results**

### 53 Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

Parameter	Phaco-Trab Group	SICS-Trab Group	p-value
	(n=25)	(n=25)	
Age (mean $\pm$ SD)	$67.4 \pm 8.2$	$68.1 \pm 7.5$	0.721
Male/Female Ratio	14/11	13/12	0.793
Preoperative IOP	$23.8 \pm 2.4$	$24.1 \pm 2.1$	0.598
(mmHg)			
Preoperative VA	$0.54 \pm 0.12$	$0.56 \pm 0.11$	0.455
(logMAR)			

#### 54

55

#### **Intraocular Pressure (IOP) Reduction**

Time Point	Phaco-Trab Group (mmHg)	SICS-Trab Group (mmHg)	p-value
Preoperative	$23.8 \pm 2.4$	$24.1 \pm 2.1$	0.598
1 Week Post-op	$15.3 \pm 1.8$	$16.5 \pm 2.0$	0.032*
1 Month Post-op	$14.9 \pm 1.7$	$16.2 \pm 1.8$	0.017*
3 Months Post-op	$14.5 \pm 1.6$	$15.9 \pm 1.7$	0.014*
6 Months Post-op	$14.3 \pm 1.5$	$15.7 \pm 1.6$	0.011*

#### 57 Visual Acuity Improvement

Time Point	Phaco-Trab Group (logMAR)	SICS-Trab Group (logMAR)	p-value
Preoperative	$0.54 \pm 0.12$	$0.56 \pm 0.11$	0.455
1 Week Post-op	$0.38 \pm 0.10$	$0.42 \pm 0.12$	0.094
1 Month Post-op	$0.34 \pm 0.09$	$0.39 \pm 0.11$	0.047*
3 Months Post-op	$0.32 \pm 0.08$	$0.37 \pm 0.10$	0.039*
6 Months Post-op	$0.31 \pm 0.07$	$0.36 \pm 0.09$	0.034*

\*Statistically significant at p < 0.05.

#### 59 Surgical Time

56

Parameter	Phaco-Trab Group (minutes)	SICS-Trab Group (minutes)	p-value
Surgical Time	$45.2 \pm 6.4$	$59.1 \pm 7.3$	<0.001*

\*Statistically significant at p < 0.05.

### 61 Complications

<b>Complication Type</b>	Phaco-Trab Group (n=25)	SICS-Trab Group (n=25)
Hyphema	2	4
Shallow Anterior Chamber	1	3
Endophthalmitis	0	1
Choroidal Detachment	1	2
Total	4	10

62

63

64

65

66

## **Discussion**

- 67 This study demonstrates the comparative efficacy of phaco-trabeculectomy and SICS
- trabeculectomy in managing cataracts with coexisting glaucoma. The phaco-trabeculectomy
- 69 group showed superior outcomes in terms of IOP reduction, visual acuity improvement, and
- 70 fewer complications.
- 71 Intraocular Pressure Control: Phaco-trabeculectomy consistently achieved lower
- 72 postoperative IOP levels, likely due to the precise control offered by phacoemulsification and

- 73 the effective filtration provided by trabeculectomy. This finding aligns with previous studies
- highlighting the effectiveness of combined procedures in managing intraocular pressure in
- 75 glaucoma patients.
- 76 Visual Acuity Improvement: Patients in the phaco-trabeculectomy group experienced a
- 77 more significant improvement in visual acuity, reflecting the minimal invasiveness and
- superior IOL technology associated with phacoemulsification. The use of foldable IOLs in
- 79 phaco-trabeculectomy offers better optical quality and visual outcomes.
- 80 **Surgical Time**: The shorter surgical time in the phaco-trabeculectomy group is noteworthy,
- 81 reflecting the efficiency of modern phacoemulsification techniques. Reduced surgical time
- 82 correlates with less intraoperative stress and faster patient recovery.
- 83 **Complications**: The phaco-trabeculectomy group had fewer complications, suggesting a
- more favorable safety profile. The higher complication rates in the SICS-trabeculectomy
- group may be attributed to the manual nature of the procedure and the larger incision
- 86 required, which increases the risk of postoperative issues.
- 87 **Limitations**: This study's limitations include a relatively small sample size and a single-
- 88 center design, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. Further multicenter
- 89 studies with larger cohorts are needed to validate these results.

## **Conclusion**

90

91

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107108

109

110

- 92 Phaco-trabeculectomy is superior to SICS trabeculectomy in managing cataract patients with
- oexisting glaucoma, offering better intraocular pressure control, visual acuity outcomes, and
- 94 fewer complications. These findings support the adoption of phaco-trabeculectomy as a
- 95 preferred surgical approach in this patient population.

### References

- 1. **Lichter PR, Musch DC, Gillespie BW, et al.** (2001). Interim clinical outcomes in the Collaborative Initial Glaucoma Treatment Study comparing initial treatment randomized to medications or surgery. *Ophthalmology*, *108*(11), 1943-1953.
- 2. **Singh K, Shrivastava A.** (2009). Intraocular pressure fluctuations: how do they affect the optic nerve? *Current Opinion in Ophthalmology*, 20(2), 90-94.
- 3. **Zhao Y, Chen X, Wang X.** (2017). Comparison of visual outcomes and complications after phacoemulsification versus manual small incision cataract surgery in highly myopic eyes. *BMC Ophthalmology*, *17*(1), 65.
- 4. **Francis BA, Singh K, Lin SC, et al.** (2011). Novel glaucoma procedures: a report by the American Academy of Ophthalmology. *Ophthalmology*, *118*(7), 1466-1480.
- 5. **Tham CC, Kwong YY, Leung DY, et al.** (2009). Phacoemulsification versus manual small-incision cataract surgery for coexisting cataract and glaucoma: a prospective, randomized controlled trial. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*, 148(3), 368-375.

